



MALTA

**COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA)
AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE**

**MAGISTRATE DR.
DOREEN CLARKE**

Sitting of the 19th March, 2015

Number. 310/2015

Police

(Inspector Hubert Cini)

vs

Kalina Katelieva

Dimitrov Dimitar Svilenov

The Court

Having seen the charges brought against

Kalina Katelieva, of 31 years of age, daughter of Dimitrova and Margerita nee' Tudurova, born in Bulgaria on the 27th of October, 1983 and residing at 1, Flat 6, St. Philip Street, Birzebbugia and holder of a Bulgarian Identity Card number 8310279270; and

Dimitrov Dimitar Svilenov, of 35 years of age, son of Svilen and Snejanka nee' Angelova born in Bulgaria on the 23rd of September, 1979 and residing at no 1, Flat 6, St.Philip Street, Birzebbugia and holder of a Bulgarian Identity Card Number 380619116.

Charged with having by means of several acts which, even if committed at different times, constitute violations of the same provisions of the law, and were committed in pursuance of the same design, in breach of Article 18, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta

1. On the 18th of March, 2015 at about 17:15 hrs in Pjazza Antoine De Paule, Paola, Malta from the shop known as Eurosport and from the shops known as Debenhams and Pull & Bear situated in the Main Street Shopping complex situated in Pjazza Antoine De Paule, Paola, Malta committed theft of clothes which value does not exceed €232.94 in breach of Article 261, 284 of Chapter 9 of the laws of Malta.
2. At the same time, place, dates and circumstances, knowingly received or purchased any property which has been stolen, misplaced or obtained by means of any offence whether committed in Malta or abroad, or knowingly took part in any manner whatsoever, in the sale or disposal of the same time, in violation of Section 334 of the Criminal Code Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

Informal Copy of Judgement

Having seen sections 18, 284 and 334 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

Having seen that during the hearing held today the defendants admitted the first charge brought against them and that they confirmed this admission of guilt even after having been given time to reconsider their plea.

Having heard the prosecuting officer declare that the second charge was brought as an alternative to the first charge.

Having heard the submissions of the parties regarding the penalty to be meted out.

Having seen the acts of the proceedings.

Having considered

That the defendants admitted the first charge brought against them; this is consequently sufficiently proved.

The second charge was brought as an alternative to the first charge which was admitted; the Court is consequently going to abstain from taking further cognisance of this second charge.

With regards the penalty to be meted out the Court took into consideration the nature of the offence of which the defendants are being found guilty, their cooperation with the police, and their admission at an early stage of the proceedings.

For these reasons the Court, whilst abstaining from taking further cognisance of the second charge, after having seen sections 18 and 284 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, on their admission finds defendants guilty of the first charge brought against them and by application of section 22 of Chapter 446 of the Laws of Malta discharges them on condition that they do not commit an other offence in the period of two years.

The Court explained to the defendants in ordinary language the significance of this judgement and of the consequences should they commit an other offence in the period of two years.

< Final Judgement >

-----END-----