COURTS' OF MAGISTRATES(MALTA)
AS COURT OF CRIMINAL INQUIRY
MAGISTRATE DR JACQUELINE PADOVANI LL.D. (IMLI)

TODAY, 23<sup>RD</sup> AUGUST 2001

POLICE
INSPECTOR PIERRE MICALLEF GRIMAUD
INSPECTOR SANDRO ZARB
VS
SUFEIAN M YOUNIS HAMZA
MOHAMED E M HAMAN

The Court.

After having seen the charges brought against the accused Sufeian M Younis Hamza 20 years, son of Mohamed and Fahria, born in benghazi (Libja) on 11<sup>th</sup> May 1981, residing at 2A Charlies Guest House, Msida Sea Front, Msida holder of Libjan passport no H-111727 and Mohamed E M Haman, 21 years, son of Hadi and Fathia, born in Benghazi (Libja) on 22<sup>nd</sup> July 1980, residing at 2A Charlie's guest house, Msida Sea Front, Msida holder of Libjan passport no 114084 and charge them with having on 12 August 2001, at about 1.45am in St Julians reviled, threatened or caused a bodily harm ta' PC1141 Noel Tanti and PC473 Martin Gatt, persons lawfully charged with a publicduty, while in the act of discharging their duty or because of hving discharged such duty, or with intent to intimidate or unduly influence them in the discharge of such duty, in breach of Article 95(1) of the Laws of Malta.

Charging them for having on the same date, time, place and ciscumstances, assaulted or resisted by violence or active force, not amounting to public violence, any person lawfully charged with a public duty when in the execution of the law or of a lawful order issued by a

competent authority, in breach of Article 96 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of

Malta.

Charging them for having on the same date, place and circumstances,

assaulted PC1141 Noel Tanti and PC473 Martin Gatt and caused them

slight bodily harm as certified by Dr P Farrugia MD and Dr D Attard MD

of St Luke's Hospital in breach of Article 221 of Chapter 9 of the Laws

of Malta.

Charging them for having on the same date, time, place and

circumstances, at night time, disturbed the repose of the inhabitant by

rowdiness or bawling, or in any other manner, in breech of Article

338(m) of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

Having seen the evidence.

Having seen the concent of the Attorney General and of the accused so

that the case will be dealt sommarily.

Having seen the note of proceedings dated 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2001 where the

accussed pleaded that they are guilty.

Having heard the oral submissions.

Deliberates:

On their own admission, the court finds the accused guilty as charged and

after having seen art 95(1), 96, 221, 338(m) of Chap 9 nd Art 15 of Chap

217, acquits the accused on order that they do not commit another crime

with in the next eighteen months and issue a removal order against them.

**MAGISTRATE** 

MARICA CARUANA

DEP.REG.