



MALTA

QORTI TA' L-APPELL

ONOR. IMHALLEF

EDWINA GRIMA

Seduta tal-25 ta' Frar, 2015

Appell Civili Numru. 33/2012

Trebee Ltd.

Vs

Id-Direttur tal-Kuntratti, Government Pharmaceutical Services

Il-Qorti,

Rat id-decizjoni mogtija mill-Bord ta' Revizjoni Dwar il-Kuntratti Pubblici fit-23 ta' April 2012, fejn giet ipprounzjata is-segwenti decizjoni fl-ismijiet premessi:-

"1. The Public Contracts Review Board agrees with the interpretation given to the specifications by the evaluation board wherein it was argued that the

implant did not have to be compatible with both the 3 tesla with the magnet removed and the 1.5 tesla with the magnet in place but that it had to be compatible with either one or the other.

2. *This Board, whilst appreciating the fact that the evaluation board chose to be inclusive rather than exclusive in its interpretation because although there were four manufacturers of these implants yet only one, represented by the appellant company, produced implants compatible with both the 3 tesla removable magnet and the 1.5 fixed magnet and had the evaluation board opted for this interpretation it would have excluded the other three manufacturers, yet predominantly, feels that such reasoning remained valid because, clinically, the discussion was ongoing as to whether it was beneficial to have removable magnet and, as a consequence, albeit it was too early to state if it was better to have a 3 tesla with removable magnet, yet it was a must that the product had to be at least compatible with a 1.5 tesla with fixed magnet. Also, as argued by the chairman of the evaluation board, once, presently, there was not enough evidence as to whether having removable magnets was a bonus, it was reasonable for one to opt for what was objectively – from a cost/benefit perspective – available at the moment. Such interpretation tends to accentuate the real scope of the specifications. In this context this Board also accepts the logic behind the reasoning made by the evaluation board, wherein, inter alia, it was argued that, considering that this contract was to run for three years the evaluation board had also to take into account the element of price and opt for a cheaper product so long as it was technically compatible and presently available being also fully cognizant of the fact that one could not rely on speculation as to what could take place in the next five or ten years when one hardly knew what developments might take place in the next two years.*

In view of the above, this Board finds against the appellant company.

However, having taken full cognizance of the fact that since there could have been a remote possibility that the specification, as state, could have given rise to a potential misinterpretation of scope, the Public Contracts Review Board feels that the filing of the objection by the appellant company was not made in a frivolous manner and, as a result, it recommends that the deposit paid for the appeal to be lodged be reimbursed.”

Illi s-socjeta appellanti aggravata b'din id-decizjoni ressjet l-appell tagħha fit-termini tas-segwenti aggravvji:

1. Illi l-Bord ta' Revizjoni dwar il-Kuntratti Pubblici interpreta hazin il-klawsola dwar *it-technical specifications* tal-*Cochlear Implants* a fol.37 tat-tender document u dan billi minkejja li dik il-klawsola kienet miktuba b'mod car u inkewivoku inghatat tifsira li ma kenitx kompatibbli mal-kliem uzat.
2. Illi l-fatt illi il-klawsola giet interpretata b'mod differenti mit-tifsira ordinarja tagħha wasslet sabiex is-socjeta appellanti sofriet pregudizzju kbir il-ghaliex hija setghet offriet prodott bhal dak li gie offrut mill-bidders l-ohra bi prezz ferm irhas izda billi *it-technical specifications* tat-tender kienu ighidu mod iehor hija offriet prodott kif specifikat bil-konsegwenza illi l-offerta tagħha kienet iktar għalja u għalhekk giet skartata. Dan imur kontra d-dettami tal-gustizzja naturali u anke tal-principji tat-trasparenza essenzjali fil-għalli pubblici.

Illi mill-fattispecje li sawwru dana il-kaz johorg illi fl-20 ta' Settembru 2011 id-Dipartiment tal-Kuntratti għan-nom tal- Government Pharmaceutical Services kien habbar sejha pubblika ghall-offerti għal-provvista ta' *cochlear implants* li huwa apparat elettroniku li meta jigi impjantat b'operazzjoni kirurgika jghin persuni li jkollhom diffikulta' fis-smiegh. Illi sussegwentement għal dan gie nominat Kumitat ta' Evalwazzjoni kompost minn membri esperti f'dana il-

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

qasam sabiex issir l-evalwazzjoni tal-offerti sottomessi mill-operaturi. Billi gie stabilit minn dana il-Kumitat illi z-zewg offerti sottomessi kienu jissodisfaw il-htigijiet teknici indikati fit-*tender document*, kwindi il-kuntratt kellu jigi assenjat skont klawsola 33 lill-offerent bl-irhas prezz. F'dan il-kaz l-offerent kienet is-socjeta Charles Degiorgio Limited li ressaq l-irhas offerta. Illi s-socjeta appellanti ressqtet l-oggezzjoni tagħha skont il-ligi għal din ir-rakkmandazzjoni billi dehrilha illi kien biss il-prodott tagħha li kien konformi teknikament mat-*tender document* u mhux dak tal-*preferred bidder* billi il-prodott tagħha kien konformi mal-klawsola mertu ta' dana l-appell li jaqra hekk:

"It should be compatible with a 3 tesla MRI with the magnet removed and 1.5 tesla with the magnet in place. Please provide documentation to prove this. If removal of magnet is necessary, safety and reduced risks should also be documented."

Illi l-Bord tar-Revizjoni dwar Kuntratti Pubblici cahad l-oggezzjoni imressqa mis-socjeta appellanti u a tenur tar-Regolament 85(5) tar-Regolamenti dwar il-Kuntratti Pubblici s-socjeta ressqtet l-appell u dana dwar l-interpretazzjoni mogħtija mill-Bord ta' din il-klawsola.

Id-Direttur tal-Kuntratti jilqa' għal dana l-appell billi jishaq illi din il-Qorti ta' revizjoni ma għandhiex tissindika apprezzament li sar minn nies esperti li jikkomponu il-Kumitat ta' Evalwazzjoni. Dan wasal għal decizjoni, f'kamp purament tekniku, illi l-offerti kienu kollha konformi teknikament mal-ispecifikazzjonijiet tat-*tender* u kwindi l-ghażla kellha necessarjament taqa' fuq il-valur ta'l-offerti billi tigi aggudikata lill-irhas wahda. Jishaq inoltre illi skont ir-regolament 85(9) tar-Regolamenti dwar il-Kuntratti Pubblici id-decizjonijiet tal-Bord ta' Revizjoni huma finali u konkluzivi dwra l-ghoti tal-kuntratti tant illi ir-regolament 85(8)(b) sahansitra jagħtihom l-istess effett ta' titolu eżekkutiv u

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

jistghu jigu enforzati bis-sahha tal-artikolu 273 tal-Kapitolo 12 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta.

Fuq l-istess linja iwiegeb id-Direttur Central Procurement Services Unit, għa Government Pharmaceutical Services, fejn ghalkemm jaqbel illi it-terminologija uzata fil-klawsola surriferita ma huwiex wieħed felici, madanakollu għandha tigi interpretata li tfisser illi l-prodott seta' ikun kompatibbli kemm ma' specifikazzjoni "*3 tesla MRI with the magnet removed*" , kif ukoll ma' "*1.5 tesla MRI with the magnet in place*" u mhux necessarjament mat-tnejn f'daqqa billi il-kliem "*if removal of magnet is necessary, safety and reduced risks should also be documented*" hekk jimplikaw.

Il-perm tal-kwistjoni allura kienet qed iddur fuq il-kelma "**and**" uzata f'dina l-klawsola billi is-socjeta appellanti tishaq illi t-tender tagħha kienet l-unika wahda li ipprovdiet prodott li kien kompatibbli maz-zewg rekwiziti (cioe' 3 tesla u 1.5 tesla) billi l-preferred bidder offra prodott kompatibbli ma' 1.5 tesla biss. Illi dwar l-interpretazzjoni ta' klawsoli f'kuntratti l-gurisprudenza id f'id mal-ligi fasslet regoli li għandhom jigu osservati meta tinsorgi l-htiega ta' interpretazzjoni ta' klawsola kontrattwali:

Dan iwassal biex logikament l-gudikant jehtieglu jgharbel u jara, bejn iz-zewg interpretazzjonijiet possibbli, hekk posti quddiemu, liema minnhom hi l-izjed verosimili u konsistenti in subjecta materja ma' l-oggett tal-ftiehim (Art 1005 tal-Kodici Civili) u l-izjed attinenti għal presumibbli volonta' tal-kontraenti. Kif ravvisat fis-sentenza "Elena Micallef –vs- Edwardo Ciantar", 3 ta' Jannar 1884 (Vol X p345), "quando insorgono difficolta' sulla intelligenza delle condizioni di un contratto e sulle sue conseguenze, la Legge fornisce alcune norme indicative e dimostrative e criteri direttivi, come mezzi d'interpretazione, senza vincolare la libertà del giudicante, tenuto a prendere in calcolo le circostanze speciali in ciascun caso". Dan kollu jikkonduci, b'necessita' guridika, ghall-ezami ta' dawk il-kanoni ta' l-ermenewtika applikabbli għal kuntratti in generali, ben analizzati fid-deċizjoni a Vol XII p265. (App.Inf. Clementino Caruana vs Emanuela Agius – deciza 22 ta' Novembru 2002)

Il-Qorti għalhekk kellha tistħarreg dwar l-uzu ta' hekk imsejjha *cochlear implants* (jew kif inhuma komunement magrufa bhala *hearing aids*) sabiex tasal

ghall-interpretazzjoni tal-klawsola mertu ta' dan il-gudizzju billi it-terminologija uzata ma hijiex wahda felici. Wahda mir-rekwiziti ta' dawn il-cochlear implants hija li iridu ikunu kompatibbli ma' proceduri ta' MRI jew ahjar *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* billi l-effetti manjetici li għandhom dawn l-implants tista' ikollha effett fuq ir-rizultat tal-MRI għal pazjent li għandu dana l-apparat installat. Allura huwa necessarju illi dan l-apparat ikun kompatibbli ma' *magnetic field* ta' 1.5 *tesla* jew inkella ma' dak ta' 3 *tesla* izda f'dan l-ahhar kaz bil-kalamita tal-apparat tkun tista' titneħha. Dana ghaliex MRI b'*imaging* ta' 3 *tesla* jista' joffri imagini iktar cara minn dik b'qawwa ta' 1.5. L-appellant iż-żgħid illi jidher tkomprex minn id-dokumenti jidher kien fit-tender document jindikaw illi l-apparat kellu ikun konformi maz-zewg specifikazzjonijiet billi dawn kien kumulattivi u mhux alternattivi għal xulxin bl-użu tal-kelma "and". Ighid illi li kieku il-klawsola kellha tinfitiehem b'dan il-mod huwa kien jitfa' offerta għal apparat b'wiegħed biss min dawn l-ispecifikazzjonijiet u kien ikun jista' jinkonkorri mal-bidders l-ohrajn.

"Qabel ma jigi ttrattat il-meritu tal-appell tajjeb illi din il-Qorti, qabel xejn, tirribadixxi li bhala Qorti tat-“tielet istanza” f'dawn it-tip ta' kazijiet, ma hux mistenni li din tidhol biex tezamina d-dettalji teknici ta' kull offerta biex tara jekk offerta partikolari tissodisfax jew le r-rekwiziti teknici mitluba fis-sejha ghall-offerti. Din il-Qorti, kif kostitwita, la tista' u lanqas għandha x-xjenza teknika mehtiega biex tevalwa materji li jmorrū lil hinn mill-kompetenza tagħha. Kif qalet il-Qorti Ewropea ta' Gustizzja (ECJ), f'kaz numru T-300/07 fl-ismijiet Evropaiki Dynamiki v. Commission, deciza fid-9 ta'Settembru, 2010:

"As a preliminary point, it should be recalled that the Commission enjoys a broad margin of discretion with regard to the factors to be taken into account for the purpose of deciding to award a contract following an invitation to tender. Review by the Court is limited to checking compliance with the procedural rules and the duty to give reasons, the correctness of the facts found and that there is no manifest error of assessment or misuse of powers (see, to that effect, Case T-145/98 ADT Projekt v Commission [2000] ECR II-387, paragraph 147; Case T-148/04 TQ3 Travel Solutions Belgium v. Commission [2005] ECR II-2627, paragraph 47; and Case T-437/05 Brink's Security Luxembourg v. Commission [2009] ECR II-0000, paragraph 193."

Dak il-kaz, hu veru, kien jolqot kaz mistharreg minn kummissjoni ewropeja, pero` , il-principju jibqa' li, bhala qorti ta' revizjoni, il-kompetenza ta' din il-Qorti hija necessarjament cirkoskritta.” (App.Sup – Steelshape Limited vs Direttur tal-Kuntratti et. deciza 7 ta' Awwissu 2013.

Tradott dan l-insenjament ghal din il-vertenza, huwa evidenti illi din il-Qorti ma tistax u lanqas għandha il-kompetenza illi iccaqalaq dak mistharreg mill-Kunitat tal-Evalwazzjoni kompost minn esperti in materja li dehrilhom illi l-prodotti li kellhom ghall-ezami quddiemhom kien kollha *technically compliant mat-tender specifications* u li allura kien kollha kompatibbli mal-klawsola mertu ta' dana l-appell. Illi ghalkemm minn qari tal-klawsola in kontestazzjoni għandu johrog illi it-terminologija uzata fl-ewwel frazi tindika illi z-zewg specifikazzjonijiet huma kumulattivi, madanakollu bil-frazi sussegamenti, imbagħad huwa evidenti illi dan ma kellux ikun il-kaz billi il-klawsola tindika illi jekk l-prodott ta'l-offerent ikun kompattibbi biss ma' 3.0 tesla MRI, allura huwa irid jipprovi dokumentazzjoni illi jiggarrantixxi is-sigurta tal-prodott. Dan ghaliex kif jidher mis-sottomissjoni magħmula minn Mario Said li kien membru tal-bord ta'l-agġudikazzjoni u konsulent “*clinically the discussion was ongoing as to whether it was beneficial to have a removable magnet and, as a consequence, albeit it was too early to state if it was better to have a 3 tesla with removable magnet, yet it was a must that the product had to be at least compatible with a 1.5 tesla with fixed magnet.*” U kien għalhekk minhabba in-nuqqas ta' certezza dwar is-sigurta li jista' joffri il-prodott għal pazjent illi giet imposta il-kundizzjoni fuq l-offerent illi jekk il-prodott kien kompatibbli ma’ “3 tesla MRI” kellu ikun hemm id-dokumentazzjoni li tiggarantixxi s-sigurta tieghu, kuntrarjament għal prodott l-iehor. Għalhekk kieku kien il-kaz illi l-ispecifikazzjonijiet kien kumulattivi, bhal kif isostni l-appellant, kienet tkun superfluwa il-kundizzjoni l-ohra dwar il-provvista ta-dokumenti dwar is-sigurta tal-prodott.

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

Din l-interpretazzjoni hija imsaħha bl-evalwazzjoni magħmula mill-Kumitat tal-Evalwazzjoni u l-experti li jikkomponuh li mill-*expertise* tagħhom necessarjament feħmu dak li riedet tfisser din il-klawsola u li allura ma setgħtex ikollha tifsira differenti kif qed jipprova jagħtiha l-appellanti.

Johrog mill-atti illi kien fatt risaput illi s-socjeta appellanti biss kellha dan it-tip ta' prodott x'toffri, u allura ma kienx ikun hemm in-necessita għas-sejha ghall-offerti sabiex isir l-akkwist, ghaliex din setghet issir “by direct order” u dan is-socjeta appellanti messha kienet tafu. Tant hu hekk illi mill-atti quddiem il-Bord ta’ Revizjoni jirrizulta is-segwenti:

“Mr. Marlon Sultana, representing the contracting authority, informed those present that there were no previous calls for tenders to purchase this product but a direct order had been placed for a product compatible with both the 3 tesla without magnet and 1.5 tesla with the magnet fixed.”

Dan necessarjament ifisser illi kien fatt stabbilit għal min huwa intiz f'dana il-qasam illi fuq is-suq kien hemm operatur wieħed biss li kellu prodott kompatibbli maz-zewg specifikazzjonijiet izda ghall-ewwel darba saret is-sejha ghall-offerti x'aktarx ghaliex il-prodott b'wahda biss miz-zewg specifikazzjonijiet kien irhas fil-prezz. Inoltre kien ukoll fatt risaput illi l-benefiċċji ta’ prodott kompatibbli ma’ “3 tesla MRI” fejn allura l-imaging meta isir l-ezami ikun iktar car, ma humiex affermati minn studji xjentifici u dana minħabba r-riskju ghall-pazjent fejn ikollha titneħha il-kalamita (magnet) qabel isir l-ezami. Stabbilit dan, allura, ir-ragunament magħmul mill-Kumitat ta’l-Evalwazzjoni illi jagħzlu prodott illi kellu is-sigurta kollha ghall-pazjent abbinat mal-fatt illi kien qed jipprezenta l-orħos prezz, kien wieħed gust u din il-Qorti ta’revizjoni hija tal-fehma illi ma għandhiex tiddisturba dan l-apprezzament iktar u iktar meta is-socjeta appellanti necessarjament kienet edotta minn dawn

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

il-fatti u setghet wasslet ghall-interpretazzjoni gusta tal-klawsola fid-dawl tal-istudji xjentifici li isawwru dana l-prodott.

Ghaldaqstant ghal dawn il-motivi, l-appell qed jigi michud u id-decizjoni tal-Bord qed tigi ikkonfermata.

L-ispejjez ikunu ghak-karigu tas-socjeta appellanti.

< Sentenza Finali >

-----TMIEM-----