



**QORTI CIVILI
PRIM' AWLA
(GURISDIZZJONI KOSTITUZZJONALI)**

**ONOR. IMHALLEF
JOSEPH ZAMMIT MC KEON**

Seduta tat-23 ta' Ottubru, 2012

Referenza Kostituzzjonal Numru. 6/2011

wara Rik. Gur. Nru. 62/2010/AE

Anthony Camilleri f`ismu proprju u bhala mandatarju generali ta` ohtu assenti minn dawn il-gzejjer Carmen Xuereb, John Camilleri, Agnes Camilleri f`isimha proprju u f`isem binha assenti minn dawn il-gzejjer David Camilleri, Kevin Camilleri u Natalie mizzewga lil Manuel Hili, Michael Camilleri

kontra

Marija mart Peter Paul Camilleri, I-istess Peter Paul Camilleri ghal kull interess li jista` jkollu, Lilian mart John Gatt u Mary Louise mart Raymond Muscat u b`digriet tat-12 ta` Jannar 2011 il-qorti awtorizzat it-trasfuzjoni tal-gudizzju f`isem il-konvenuti Marija Camilleri, Robert Camilleri, Mary Louise Muscat, Lilian

**Gatt, Stephen Camilleri, u Denis Camilleri wara l-mewt
ta` Peter Paul Camilleri**

II-Qorti :

I. Preliminari

B`digriet moghti fit-18 ta` Frar 2011 fil-kawza fl-ismijiet premessi, il-Qorti tal-Magistrati (Għawdex) Gurisdizzjoni Superjuri Sezzjoni Generali ordnat riferenza kostituzzjonali sabiex din il-Qorti *tagħti decizjoni dwar jekk l-Artikolu 615(1) tal-Kodici Civili kif kien jaqra qabel l-emendi introdotti bl-Att XVIII tal-2004 jilledix id-dritt fundamentali tat-testatur u tal-werrieta tieghu għat-tgawdija tal-propjeta` kif garantit permezz tal-Artikolu 1 tal-Ewwel Protokol tal-Konvenzjoni Ewropeja ghall-Protezzjoni tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem.* (“il-Konvenzjoni”).

Quddiem il-Qorti tal-Magistrati (Għawdex) Gurisdizzjoni Superjuri Sezzjoni Generali, l-atturi fil-kawza fl-ismijiet premessi qegħdin jitkolu l-hlas ta` l-porzjoni rizervata mill-wirt ta` Ursola Camilleri li mietet fil-21 ta` April 1997. Dik il-Qorti għamlet din l-ordni ta` riferenza in vista tal-hames eccezzjoni tal-konvenuti, wara li qieset illi ma kenitx frivola u vessatorja l-pretensjoni tagħhom illi l-hlas ta` porzjoni rizervata ta` dak il-wirt kien jilledi ddrittijiet fundamentali tagħhom bhala werrieta ta` Ursola Camilleri limitatamente kif dawn kienu protetti mill-Art.1 tal-Ewwel Protokoll tal-Konvenzjoni.

Fir-risposta tieghu tal-4 ta` April 2011, l-Avukat Generali jghid illi l-allegazzjonijiet u l-pretensjonijiet tal-konvenuti huma nfondati fil-fatt u fid-dritt għal dawn irragunijiet :

Illi mill-fatti kif esposti mir-rikorrenti jirrizulta illi ma hemm l-ebda rilevanza ghall-Ewwel Artikolu ta` l-Ewwel Protokoll tal-Konvenzjoni u dan peress illi ma kien l-ebda "tehid forzuz" tal-propjeta` tar-rikorrent u wisq anqas l-istess rikorrenti ma gewx spussezzati mill-propjeta` jew possedimenti taghhom.

Illi l-Ewwel Artikolu ta` l-Ewwel Protokoll jippresumi illi r-rikorrenti jkollhom l-propjeta` għandhom. Illi certament l-eredi ma jistghux jillamentaw illi kien hemm xi leżjoni tad-dritt fundamentali tagħhom ta` propjeta` in kwantu l-porzjoni rizervata qatt ma tista` tghaddi f`idejhom u għalhekk qatt ma jista` jkun hemm espropriju.

... illi l-legittima hija dik il-parti tal-propjeta` tad-decujus li l-ligi tirrizerva lill-uliedu u lil dawk kollha parentati mieghu li għandhom dritt ghaliha skond il-ligi u hija forma ta` successjoni intestate li tiddevoli ex lege. Salv dina l-limitazzjoni legali, id-decujus għandu l-fakolta` illi jiddisponi mill-beni tieghu kif jidhirlu.

Illi dina l-limitazzjoni għad-dritt assolut, appartenenti lid-deċju quau propjetarju tal-beni tieghu hija biss kontroll ta` uzu tal-propjeta` li jsir għal għan legittimu. L-istitut tal-porzjoni rizervata għandu għan socjali u dan sabiex jipprotegi s-sien tas-socjeta` u r-rabitiet li jinholqu bil-familja specjalment dik stretta li tezisit bejn genituri u l-ulied.

... illi l-Qorti Ewropeja tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem kellha okkazjoni tezamina din il-kwistjoni tal-porzjoni rizervata fil-kaz "Marckx v. Belgium" (applikazzjoni numru 6833/74) deciz fit-13 ta` Gunju 1979 ...ghalkemm kienet tirrigwarda d-differenza fit-trattament ta` wild illegittimu xorta wahda hija rilevanti f'dik il-parti fejn il-Qorti Ewropeja ezaminat l-Ewwel Artikolu ta` l-Ewwel Protokoll u ma sabet l-ebda vjolazzjoni naxxenti mill-porzjoni rizervata. Tant hu hekk illi l-Qorti osservat illi t-tieni paragrafu ta` l-Ewwel Artikolu ta` l-Ewwel Protokoll "authorizes a Contracting State to 'enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance

with the general interest'. This paragraph thus sets the Contracting States up as sole judges of the 'necessity' for such a law (above-mentioned Handyside judgement, ibid). As regards 'the general intestate', it may in certain cases induce a legislature to 'control the use of property' in the area of dispositions inter vivos or by will. In consequence, the limitation complained of by the first applicant is not of itself in conflict with Protocol No. 1

Wara li saru sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub, din il-Qorti halliet il-kawza sabiex taghti dan il-provvediment.

Ikkunsidrat :

II. Sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub

Il-konvenuti, I-atturi u I-Avukat Generali lkoll ghamlu sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub. Din il-Qorti sejra tagħmel **gabra fil-qosor** tal-argumenti tagħhom –

1) Il-konvenuti

i) Mal-mewt tad-decujus, I-eredi jidhol għad-drittijiet u I-obbligi tad-decujus. Il-patrimonju tad-decujus jithallat ma` dak tal-eredi, fejn I-eredi jaccetta I-wirt bil-benefċċju tal-inventarju. Fil-kaz tal-lum, mal-mewt ta` Ursola Camilleri, il-patrimonju tagħha ghadda għand I-unika eredi tagħha : I-“unika” eredi ghaliex il-persuni I-ohra li kienet innominat bhala eredi tagħha rrinunzjaw ghall-wirt. Dawk I-ulied li jridu I-legittima jew il-porzjoni riservata jridu jirrinunzjaw ghall-wirt. L-effett ta` dik ir-rinunzja huwa li I-wirt jiddevol fuq I-eredi I-ohra. Talba ghall-hlas tal-porzjoni riservata mhux biss teffettwa I-wirt izda tista` tinvolvi r-riduzzjoni ta` legati, meta dawn imorru oltre I-kwota disponibbli. Meta jigri hekk, ir-riduzzjoni tal-wirt jew tal-legati ssir a skapitu ta` beni li jkunu diga` saru

propjeta` tal-eredi jew tal-legatarju. Dik ir-riduzzjoni tikkostitwixxi espropriazzjoni tal-beni tal-eredi minghajr kumpens, bi ksur tal-Ewwel Artikolu tal-Ewwel Protokoll tal-Konvenzjoni [“Art.1 (P1-1)”].

ii) Fis-sentenza tagħha fil-kawza “*Marckx vs Belgium*” tat-13 ta` Gunju 1979 (“*Marckx*”) il-Qorti ta` Strasbourg sabet illi kien hemm ksur tal-Art.1 (P1-1) fil-konfront tal-omm Paula Marckx, izda mhux fil-konfront ta` bintha Alexandra Marckx. Sabet hekk ghaliex l-omm ma thallietx tiddisponi minn gidha a favur tal-wild bijologiku tagħha billi ma kienitx mizzewga. Ma kienx hemm ksur fil-konfront tal-bint ghaliex *this Article does no more than enshrine the right of everyone to the peaceful enjoyment of “his” possessions, that consequently it applies only to a person’s existing possessions and that it does not guarantee the right to acquire possessions whether on intestacy or though voluntary dispositions.* Il-Qorti sostniet illi hadd ma għandu jigi mizmum milli jiddisponi minn gidu minhabba l-istat taz-zwieg tieghu. Issa kif inhi l-ligi tagħna illum, Ursola Camilleri ma kienitx libera milli tiddisponi minn gidha wara mewta kif tixtieq hi. Ma hemm l-ebda obbligu fuq l-Istat illi jimponi li xi wild bilfors ikollu dritt jiret lill-genituri tieghu.

iii) Ma hemm xejn fil-ligi tagħna illi jipprekludi l-applikazzjoni tal-Art.1 (P1-1) f`materji ta` successjoni. Huwa biss fl-interess generali illi Stat kontraenti tal-Konvenzjoni jista` jagħmel ligijiet dwar il-kontroll tal-uzu tal-propjeta` f`disposizzjonijiet *causa mortis*. Fil-kaz tal-istitut tal-legittima jew porzjon riservat, kif inhu magħruf illum, ma jistax jingħad illi hemm “interess generali” xi jigi tutelat. Hekk kif waqt hajjitha persuna tista` tiddisponi minn hwejjigha kif trid, hekk għandha tkun libera illi tagħmel wara mewtha. Il-bidla fil-ligi minn *legittima* għal *porzjon riservat* ma kienx fl-istess izda fis-sostanza. Il-legittimarju mħuwiex eredi izda lanqas ma huwa kreditur tal-wirt. Għandu biss porzjoni rizervata lilu mill-beni tal-wirt tad-deċujus. Bi-emenda ghall-Art.615 tal-Kap.16, il-

jedd ghal sehem riservat sar kreditu kontra l-beni tal-mejet.

iv) It-tieni paragrafu tal-Art.1 (P1-1) ma jagħmel l-ebda accenn għal materji ta` successjoni. Ghalkemm it-tieni paragrafu jagħti dritt lill-Istat illi jimponi fuq ic-cittadin kontroll fuq l-uzu tal-propjeta`, jibqa` l-obbligu tal-Istat illi meta fil-kaz ta` tehid forzus ta` propjeta`, huwa jagixxi biss fl-interess pubbliku, skond il-ligi u skond il-principji tad-dritt internazzjonali. Fil-kaz tal-lum, qiegħed isir tehid forzuz illi mhuwiex fl-interess pubbliku u minghajr ma jingħata kumpens. Għalhekk hemm ksur tal-Art.1 (P1-1). Ma hemm l-ebda interess pubbliku jew generali involut izda biss l-interess privat ta` xi wlied tat-testatrici.

2) L-atturi

i) Bi-istitut tal-legittima, hemm limitazzjoni fil-liberta` tat-testatur li jipprovdi għas-successjoni tieghu. Dan jigri ghaliex porzjon rizervat tal-wirt ma jistax jigi trasferit lil persuni li mħumiex dawk li favur tagħhom dik il-porzjon tkun giet rizervata. Dak li Art.1 (P1-1) qiegħed jipprobjixx huwa t-tehid ta` possess minn persuni naturali jew legali. Kwindi irid jigi stabbilit jekk id-dritt għal-legittima u l-applikazzjoni tieghu jikkostitwix tehid ta` possess. Matul hajtu, it-testatur jista` jiddisponi minn hwejgu kif irid. Meta t-testatur jagħmel testament, jista` jiddisponi wkoll minn hwejgu kif irid, b`limitazzjoni pero` fis-sens illi parti minn hwejgu u cioe` il-legittima trid tħaddi direttament fi propjeta` għand id-dixxidenti tieghu, u fin-nuqqas ta` dawn, għand l-axxidenti. L-ebda testatur ma jista` jilmenta minn ksur tad-dritt ta` possess ghaliex il-legittima tiskatta wara l-mewt tad-deċujus meta jkun gie spusseßat minn hwejgu. L-eredi jieħu dak li kien tat-testatur, nieqsa l-legittima, ghax dik tispetta *di diritto* lill-legittimarju in piena propjeta`, hielsa minn kull piz jew kundizzjoni.

ii) Mal-mewt tad-decujus, l-eredi għandu ghazla : jew jaccetta l-wirt bla kondizzjoni ; jew jaccetta l-wirt bil-beneficju tal-inventarju ; jew jirrinunzja ghall-wirt. L-eredi jidhol fiz-zarbun tat-testatur meta jagħzel li jaccetta l-wirt mingħajr kondizzjoni. L-obbligu tieghu jinkludu li jimmetti lil-legittimarji fil-pussess ta` dik il-parti mill-wirt tad-decujus li saret tagħhom mal-mewt tad-decujus u qatt ma kienet tal-eredi. Il-legittima tghaddi *ipso jure* mal-mewt tad-decujus, indipendentement mill-ghażla illi jista` jagħmel l-eredi. L-eredi ma jkun qiegħed jigi privat minn l-ebda pussess. Eredi ma jistax min-naha wahda jaccetta wirt mingħajr kondizzjoni u mbagħad jilmenta illi l-wirt gab fuqu obbligi fosthom dawk fil-konfront tal-legittimarji.

iii) Huwa biss wara l-emendi bl-Att XVIII tal-2004 illi x-xejra legali tal-legittima nbidlet mhux biss fl-isem izda anke fis-sostanza fis-sens illi saret kreditu tal-wirt. Il-legittima kienet sehem mill-beni tal-mejjet li ma tghaddix għand l-eredi. Lanqas ma tithallat mal-propjeta` personali tal-eredi. Dak provdut fl-Art.614(2) ikompli jaġhti saħha lill-argumenti tal-atturi ghaliex dik id-disposizzjoni tħid tassattivament illi meta t-testatur ikollu dixxidenti, axxidenti, zewgu jew martu jew tfal illegittimi, is-sehem tal-beni tieghu li minnu jista` jiddisponi hu dak li jibqa` wara li jitnaqqas is-sehem li jmiss lid-dixxidenti, lill-axxidenti, lil zewgu jew lil martu jew lit-tfal illegittimi. Għalhekk l-eredi ma jista` jilmenta minn l-ebda ksur ta` dritt skond Art.1 (P1-1).

iv) L-istitut tal-legittima skond l-Art.615(1) kif kien qabel huwa mehtieg fl-interess pubbliku. Il-legittima hija rizervata favur dawk il-qraba l-aktar vicini tad-decujus. Il-ligi tipprezumi illi dawn għandhom rabta familjari b'sahħitha u ta` valur socjali sufficienti mad-decujus li jistħoqqilhom protezzjoni wkoll fl-aspett tad-dritt ta` successjoni. Fl-ambitu familjari jkun hemm disgwid. Fl-istess waqt, il-legislatur ghazel illi, hliet ghall-kazi li jaġtu lok għad-dizeredazzjoni, ma jippermettix l-ezkluzjoni tal-qraba vicini mill-wirt minhabba disgwid jew ghax ir-relazzjonijiet familjari jiddeterjoraw. Ir-rabta tad-demm

tinghata rikonoxximent. Ghax fuq ir-rabtiet familjari hija msejsa s-socjeta`. In-nozzjoni ta` interess pubbliku huwa estensiv u l-qorti għandha *margin of appreciation* wiesgha. Fis-sentenza ta` Marckx, il-Qorti ta` Strasbourg irrikonoxxiet illi r-rabtiet socjali u tad-demm għandhom effetti socjali inkluz is-successjoni u kwindi l-legittima. Skond Marckx, l-legittima huwa regim legali li jimmerita protezzjoni in kwantu jaqa` fl-ambitu tad-dritt ghall-familja kif tutelat mill-Konvenzjoni. Fid-dissenting opinion tieghu fis-sentenza ta` Marckx, l-Imhallef Farinha jghid illi *the reserved portion – from which only relatives benefit – constitutes a form of family protection arising from the moral and social obligations existing between persons connected by close family ties ; it cannot be excluded by the decujus.*

3) L-Avukat Generali

i) Saret analizi tal-kondizzjonijiet li huma mehtiega sabiex ikun stabbilit ksur tal-Art.1 (P1-1) b`riferenza għas-sentenza ta` l-Qorti ta` Strasbourg fil-kawza “*Sporrong and Lonnroth v. Sweden*” tal-1982. L-Art.1 (P1-1) jagħti harsien għal beni jew possedimenti li persuna diga` għandha jew li diga` qegħda tgawdi, mhux għad-dritt li persuna takkwista beni fil-futur. Jispetta lil min jallega ksur illi jiprova illi fil-mument tal-allegat ksur, kien fil-pussess tal-beni li tagħhom qiegħed jallega li għarrab privazzjoni illegali jew abbusiva.

ii) Fil-kaz tal-lum ma jistax jigi allegat illi t-testatrici garbet leżjoni tad-dritt tagħha skond l-Art.1 (P1-1) ghaliex *vita durante* ma għamlet l-ebda allegazzjoni f'dak is-sens. Kawza kostituzzjonali ma tistax tigi promossa mill-eredi wara l-mewt tad-decujus fejn jigi allegat li kien hemm ksur tal-jedd fundamentali tad-decujus. Kwalsiasi jedd għal azzjoni li seta` kellha t-testatrici u li baqghet ma ezercitatx meta kienet għadha hajja ma jghaddix għand l-eredi tagħha.

iii) L-atturi qua eredi ma jistghux jinvokaw l-harsien tal-Art.1 (P1-1) ghaliex il-legittima hija parti mill-wirt tad-decujus li ma tistax tghaddi għand l-eredi u għalhekk ma jistax ikun hemm espropriju jew tehid forzuz. Testatrici hija prekluza milli tiddisponi favur l-eredi tagħha dik il-porzjoni tal-wirt tagħha li tikkwalifika bhala legittima.

iv) Il-limitazzjoni tad-dritt assolut li persuna tiddisponi mill-assi tagħha hija limitazzjoni msejsa fuq għan legittimu u cioe` il-harsien tas-sisien tas-socjeta` u tar-rabtiet li jinholqu fil-familji. Għalhekk l-Istat għandu l-jedd l- jikkontrolla l-uzu tal-propjeta`.

Ikkunsidrat :

III. Dritt

In kwistjoni huwa l-**Art.615(1) tal-Kap.16** kif kien qabel l-emendi introdotti bl-Att XVIII tal-2004. Id-disposizzjoni kienet taqra hekk –

Il-legittima hija sehem mill-beni tal-mejjjet, moghti mil-ligi lid-dixxidenti, u, fin-nuqqas, lill-axxidenti tal-mejjjet.

Il-pretensjoni tal-konvenuti hija li dik id-disposizzjoni tmur kontra l-Art.1 (P1-1) li taqra hekk –

Kull persuna naturali jew persuna morali għandha dritt għat-tgawdija pacifika tal-possedimenti tagħha. Hadd ma għandu jigi privat mill-possedimenti tieghu hliel fl-interess pubbliku u bla hsara tal-kundizzjonijiet provduti bil-ligi u bil-principji generali tal-ligi internazzjonali. Izda d-disposizzjoni jiet ta` qabel ma għandhom b`ebda mod inaqqsu d-dritt ta` Stat li jwettaq dawk il-ligijiet li jidhrulu xierqa biex jikkontrolla l-uzu ta` proprijetà skond l-interess

generali jew biex jizgura l-hlas ta` taxxi jew kontribuzzjonijiet ohra jew pieni.

Bl-Ingliz, id-disposizzjoni taqra hekk –

Every natural or legal person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of his possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law. The preceding provisions shall not, however, in any way impair the right of a State to enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest or to secure the payment of taxes or other contributions or penalties.

Ikkunsidrat :

IV. Id-Dritt tal-Legittima : Dottrina

Fil-pag.994 sa 996 ta` **The Civil Law Notes**, il-Professur Victor Caruana Galizia jghid hekk dwar id-dritt tal-legittima kif migbura principally fl-**Art.615(1) tal-Kap.16** (qabel l-emendi) –

As a rule the right to dispose by will has no restrictions since it is an element of the right of ownership itself which includes besides the jus utendi et abutendi, also the jus dispondendi : any person may, therefore, dispose by will or donation of the whole of his estate in favour of any person capable of receiving under a will or by donation.

*Where however the testator has descendants, ascendants, spouse or illegitimate children, **he can only dispose of such portion of his estate as remains after deducting the share which is due to the said persons** under the provisions of Section III, Sub-title 1 of Title III of*

the Civil Code. The estate, under these circumstances, is divided into two portions, one of which is reserved to the above mentioned persons and devolves on them by operation of the law, and the other is the disposable portion, of which the testator may freely dispose.

Where the testator has no descendants, ascendants, spouse or illegitimate children, he may dispose by universal or singular title or by donation of the whole of his estate in favour of any person [Section 651(1) and (2)].

This restriction imposed by law on the right to dispose freely of one's property is founded on the very functions of property ; property, in fact, serves amongst other purposes to enable the owner to fulfil his obligations. It is true that, once man is a free being, he should be the one to see that his obligations are fulfilled and that the law, therefore, cannot interfere without destroying the very conception of the right of ownership as well as the freedom of the owner. But, on the other hand, when the testator has persons who are closely related to him by consanguinity or affinity, his duty towards them is a positive and not a hypothetical one founded on social and domestic relationships : this duty is therefore raised by law to a legal obligation. "Chiamare un`essere all'esistenza – says French in his "Memorie della Accademia delle Scienze Morali" (Vol. VIII, p.443) – e` prendersi l'impegno di essere la sua providenza e di allontanare da lui ogni sofferenza ed ogni bisogno." It is equally certain that a person is bound to provide for those to whom he owes his existence as well as for the spouse.

The historical origin of the institute of legitim has already been given in the study of Roman Law. Under our Municipal Law, children and descendants were entitled, under the system of "societa` coniugale" to the "terzo figliale" and under the system of "Community of Acquests" to the legitim according to the "jus commune". Also entitled to a reserved portion were the ascendants, in defect of descendants and the "parentato povero e

miserable" to the third degree inclusively, in defect of descendants (Code de Roahn, B.I. and IV.).

Under the system of the "societa` coniugale" the surviving spouse was entitled to the "terzo materno o paterno". In defect of the societa` coniugale the surviving spouse was entitled, if indigent, to a portion of the estate of the predeceased spouse fixed by the Court according to the circumstances of the case.

Under the laws in force the persons entitled to the legitim are the children and the descendants of the testator, and in failure of descendants, the ascendants of the deceased. The surviving spouse and illegitimate children are entitled to the reserved portion or legitima portio. In case of failure of such persons, the entire estate may be freely disposed of.

(sottolinear u enfasi ta` din il-qorti).

Ikkunsidrat :

V. Dottrina dwar Art.1 (P1-1) u Marckx

Fil-kawza ta` Marcks ir-rikorrenti kienu omm mhux mizzewga u bintha. L-ilment kien illi skond il-ligi tal-Belgu, ulied illi jitwieldu barra z-zwieg kienu fi zvantagg fil-familja, partikolarment fid-drittijiet ta` successjoni, għaliex dawk l-ulied kien rikonoxxjuti biss bhala ulied ommhom u kien jitqiesu bhala estranej ghall-familja tal-genituri naturali tagħhom. Il-Qorti ta` Strasbourg fis-sentenza tagħha tat-13 ta` Gunju 1979 sabet illi bil-ligi tal-Belgu kif kienet dak iz-zmien kien hemm ksur fil-kaz tal-omm tal-Art.8 tal-Konvenzjoni (*right to respect for privacy*) u tal-Art.14 (*prohibition of discrimination*) pero` ma sabitx ksur tal-Art.3 (*freedom from torture and other inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment*) u tal-Art.1 (P1-1) fil-kaz tat-tifla għar-rigward tal-inabilita` tagħha illi tiret f'dik is-sitwazzjoni oggettiva. Il-Qorti sabet illi kien

diskriminatorju l-fatt illi ghax persuna kienet mitwielda barra z-zwieg kienet privata ghal kollox mid-dritt ta` successjoni ab *intestato*. Fl-istess waqt il-Qorti ghamlitha cara illi Art.1 (P1-1) does not guarantee the right to acquire possessions whether on *intestacy* or though voluntary dispositions.

Fil-**European Human Rights Law – Text and Materials** (Oxford – Third Edition) l-awturi Janis, Kay and Bradley ighidu hekk –

fil-pag.525-526 :

The text of Article 1 of Protocol No 1 refers to ‘possessions’ rather than to ‘property’ but in substance these two terms are synonymous and include a wide and varied range of economic interests and assets. In other words, these terms are autonomous concepts and their meaning is not dependent upon domestic classification. ‘Possessions’ will cover both moveable and heritable property and will include a wide range of interests ... It will also cover a non-registered title or a disputed title to heritable property providing the asserted right has some basis in domestic law. However only existent rights and assets (rather than future claims to property) are covered since the ‘possession’ must be sufficiently established in its existence. For example an expectation to inherit property is not a ‘possession’ ...

fil-pag.528 :

The text refers to the peaceful enjoyment of possessions, and thus a broad range of state activity which interferes with any of the normal consequences arising out of ownership or possession will be recognised as giving rise to an issue under the guarantee. “Interference” with the right to property can include ... limitations placed on the right to dispose of possessions after death (Marckx) ... A hindrance can amount to an

interference with the peaceful enjoyment of possessions

...

Fil-**Cases and Materials on the European Convention of Human Rights** (Oxford – Second Edition), Alastair Mowbray ighid hekk –

By recognizing that everyone has the right to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions, Article 1 (P1-1) is in substance guaranteeing the right of property.

Fil-**Law of the European Convention on Human Rights** (Oxford – Second Edition) I-awturi Harris, O'Boyle & Warbrick ighidu hekk fil-pag. 660 *The Convention protects an applicant's existing possessions and assets against interference. It is not a right to put into the possession of things one does not already have however strong the individual's interest in this happening may be.*

Fil-ktieb **A Practitioner's Guide to the European Convention on Human Rights** (Third Edition – Thomson – Sweet & Maxwell), I-lawtrici Karen Reid tghid hekk fil-pag.503 –

An applicant must generally fulfil the conditions set by domestic law for ownership. However case law recognizes in certain cases that "existing possessions" include claims in respect of which an individual can claim to have at least a "legitimate expectation" of obtaining effective enjoyment of a property right. No legitimate expectation arises where there is a dispute as to the correct interpretation of and application of domestic law and the applicant's submissions are rejected by the domestic courts.

L-awturi van Dijk, van Hoof, van Rijn and Zwaak fil-ktieb **"Theory and Practice of the European**

Convention on Human Rights"- (Fourth Edition – Intersentia) ighidu hekk fil-pag.13 –

Whereas States may complain about ‘any alleged breach of the provisions of the Convention and the Protocols thereto by another High Contracting State’ (Article 33) and consequently also about national legislation or administrative practices in abstracto, individuals must claim ‘to be the victim of a violation by one of the High Contracting Parties of the rights set forth in this Convention and the Protocols thereto’. (Article 34). This special relationship required is that the individual applicant himself is the victim of the alleged violation.

Imbagħad fil-pag.869-870, ikomplu hekk –

The right or interest can only constitute a ‘possession’ if it is sufficiently established to be enforceable. There is no question of possessions until the moment at which one can lay claim to the property concerned. A person complaining of an interference with his property right must show that such right existed ... a claim as such may constitute a ‘possession’ in the sense of Article 1, but it should then be a concrete and adequately specified claim ...nor do claims which a person has as an heir during the testator’s lifetime fall under the protection of Article 1 because this provision protects existing property and not the right to acquire property. It does of course protect the right of the testator to dispose of his patrimonial rights and the rights which have already been acquired by inheritance even before the distribution of assets ...

Ikkunsidrat :

VI. Risultanzi

L-analizi tal-Art.1 (P1-1) trid tkun maqsuma fi tnejn. Fl-ewwel lok, irid ikun stabbilit jekk kienx hemm ksur tad-dritt ta` *every natural or legal person ... to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions*. Fit-tieni lok jekk jirrizulta li a *natural or legal person* kien privat minn *his possessions* irid ikun stabbilit jekk dak it-tehid forzuz kienx *in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law* bl-agunta tal-principju illi xejn ma jfixkel *the right of a State to enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest or to secure the payment of taxes or other contributions or penalties*.

Fil-kaz tal-lum, l-ordni ta` riferenza jolqot il-posizzjoni kemm tat-testatrici kif ukoll tal-eredi. Fl-istess waqt, il-posizzjoni tat-testatrici trid titqies diversament minn dik tal-konvenuti bhala eredi.

Sabiex persuna tfitdex il-harsien tad-disposizzjonijiet tal-Konvenzjoni, fosthom l-Art.1 (P1-1), trid timmerita dak il-harsien, u trid tipprova illi hija "vittma" ta` allegat ksur tal-jeddijiet tagħha. Fil-kaz tal-lum, it-testatrici, kemm damet hajja, setghet li kieku riedet tittenta azzjoni sabiex tavvanza l-pretensjoni illi l-obbligu tagħha li tosserva l-Art.615(1) tal-Kap.16 kien jikkostitwixxi ksur tad-dritt tagħha kif tutelat bl-Art.1 (P1-1). Eppure ma hadet l-ebda azzjoni f'dak is-sens. U mal-mewt tat-testatrici, id-dritt tagħha f'dak il-kuntest miet magħha ghaliex mal-mewt tat-testatrici, dak id-dritt ta` azzjoni potenzjali li tfitdex qua testatrici l-harsien tal-Konvenzjoni ma kienx trasmess lill-eredi tagħha, ghaliex l-eredi jidħlu fiz-“zarbun” tad-decujus mal-mewt tagħha, u mal-mewt tagħha, kisbu mhux dak kollu illi t-testatrici kellha sal-mewt izda dak kollu illi l-lig iż-ghid li għandhom jedd għaliex, dan fejn si tratta ta` accettazzjoni ta` wirt mingħajr kondizzjoni kif kien il-kaz tal-lum.

Mela ghar-rigward ta` Ursola Camilleri bhala testatrici, ma tistax tigi avvanzata issa wara l-mewt tagħha pretensjoni li se *mai* kellha tigi avvanzata minnha *vita durante*.

Diversa hija s-sitwazzjoni tal-konvenuti ghaliex dment illi l-pretensjoni tagħhom bhala eredi ta` Ursola Camilleri taqa` fil-parametri tal-Art.1 (P1-1) din tingħata konsiderazzjoni.

Dan premess, din il-Qorti tghid, minghajr l-icken esitazzjoni, illi l-pretensjoni tal-konvenuti tinkalja fit-tifsira ta` *possessions* li hija l-pern tal-Art.1 (P1-1). Kontra dak li jippretendu l-konvenuti, il-wirt illi ksibu mingħand Ursola Camilleri kien jeskludi a priori l-legittima. Dan ghaliex il-legittima mhijiex parti mill-wirt tad-decujus li tghaddi għand l-eredi. Anzi hija parti mill-propjeta` tad-decujus illi titwarrab fil-genb, tinqata` kollha kemm hi mill-wirt li jrid iħaddi għand l-eredi, sabiex tingħata esklussivament lill-persuni li għandhom jedd *ope legis* b`effett tal-Art.615(1) tal-Kap.16. Dak is-sehem ma jsir qatt parti mill-wirt li jigi trasmess lill-eredi u għalhekk l-eredi m`għandhom l-ebda jedd fuq dak is-sehem. Hekk kien id-Dritt Ruman u hekk kienet il-ligi tagħna, qabel l-emendi. Il-legittima tinqata` mingħajr il-htiega ta` intervent da parti tat-testatur. Lanqas hemm htiega għat-testatur li jagħmel riferenza għal-legittima fit-testment tieghu. Tghaddi għand il-persuni koncernati (mhux għand l-eredi) in piena propjeta` u mingħajr pizijiet.

B`dak l-istat tad-dritt kif johrog mill-Art.615(1) qabel l-emendi, il-konvenuti qua eredi ma jistghux jilmentaw minn ksur tal-Art.1 (P1-1) ghaliex ma kienux privati mill-*peaceful enjoyment of their possessions* billi l-legittima ma hijiex parti of their possessions. Ladarba l-legittima mhijiex possession tal-eredi, ghaliex mhijiex kompriza fil-wirt tad-decujus li tghaddi għand l-eredi, ma hemmx lok u lanqas il-htiega illi din il-Qorti tidhol ghall-analizi tat-tieni parti tal-Art.1 (P1-1) senjatament fejn si tratta tad-dritt tal-

Istat illi fl-interess generali jikkontrolla l-uzu tal-propjeta` u dan propju ghaliex il-konvenuti ma garbu l-ebda *tehid forzuz*. Xejn ma ttiehed mill-eredi bl-Art.615(1) tal-Kap.16. Dak kien tal-persuni li jissemmew fl-Art.615 tal-Kap.16. Kwindi ma tinsorgix il-kwistjoni jekk dak l-allegat *tehid forzuz* kienx fl-interess generali jew ezerċizzju mill-Istat tal-prerogattivi tieghu kif imharsa mill-Art.1 (P1-1).

Decide

Għar-ragunijiet kollha premessi, din il-Qorti qiegħda tiddikjara bhala nfondata fil-fatt u fid-dritt il-pretensjoni tal-konvenuti li wasslet ghall-ordni ta` riferenza. Għalhekk qiegħda tiddeciedi illi I-Artikolu 615(1) tal-Kodici Civili kif kien jaqra qabel l-emendi introdotti bl-Att XVIII tal-2004 ma jilledix id-dritt fundamentali tat-testatur u tal-werrieta tieghu għat-tgawdija tal-propjeta` kif garantit permezz tal-Artikolu 1 tal-Ewwel Protokol tal-Konvenzioni Ewropeja ghall-Protezzjoni tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem. Tordna li l-ispejjez ta` dan il-procediment jithallsu mill-konvenuti.

< Sentenza Finali >

-----TMIEM-----