

COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA) AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE

MAGISTRATE DR. JACQUELINE PADOVANI

Sitting of the 24 th November, 2009

Number 1185/2009

POLICE INSPECTOR JEFFREY CILIA VS JAMIE RICHARD OGIER

The Court,

Having seen the charges brought against the accused Jamie Richard Ogier of 29 years, son of Michael and Janet nee' Baker, born Guernsey (British Island) on the 30th December, 1980, presently residing at Sedqa shelter and holder of ID no. 40461(A).

Being accused with having on the 27th February 2008, at about 2.30p.m. at Bugibba, with intent to commit a crime, being aggravated theft from outlet 'Corner Offer' in St. Simon Street, manifested such intent by over acts which were followed by a commencement of the execution of the crime, which crime was not completed in consequence of Informal Copy of Judgement

some accidental cause independent of your will, and if completed would have been aggravated by means, value exceeding two thousand and three hundred and twenty nine euros (Euro 2329) and time to the detriment of Michael Mizzi and this with breaches of Articles 41, 263, 267 and 270 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

Having heard the evidence tendered on oath;

Having seen the note in the record of the proceedings of the 24th of November 2009, where the accused registered no objection to his case being dealt by summery proceedings(at page 6);

Having heard the plea of guilt registered by the accused;

Having heard the confirmation of the plea of guilt registered by the accused after the Court accorded the same a reasonable time for reconsideration;

Having seen all documents exhibited and the record of the proceedings;

Having heard the oral submissions of the parties;

Deliberates:

On the strength of his own guilty plea, the Court finds the accused Jamie Richard Ogier guilty as charged and after having seen Articles 41, 263, 267, 270 and 28A of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, condemns the accused to thirteen months imprisonment suspended for two years.

This punishment was awarded to the accused after the Court took into consideration the guilty plea registered early in the proceedings and the criminal record of the accused.

The Court ensured that the accused understood the significance, and the consequences of a suspended sentence.

< Final Judgement >

-----END------