

COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA) AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE

MAGISTRATE DR. EDWINA GRIMA

Sitting of the 15 th August, 2009

Number, 835/2009

The Police (Inspector Joseph Agius)

Vs

Christopher John McBride, 20 yrs, son of Keith and Lyza Morgan, born in Chelmsford UK on the 23red November 1988, holder of British Passport no 106738384

The Court:

Having seen the charges brought against the accused Christopher John McBride in that he was charged with having on the 15th of August 2009 at about 0200hrs in the limits of Rabat Malta, made voluntary damages on vehicles reg no GAK895 make Chevrolet to the detriment of Jason Aquilina, JNT830 make Toyota to the detriment of Jamie Vella and AAC912 Vauxhall Corsa to the

detriment of Dian Mifsud, damages exceed €116.47 but not exceeding €1164.69.

And he was also charge with having on the same time, place and circumstances infringed the public order and peace.

Having seen the documents exhibited;

Having heard the guilty plea filed by the accused which plea was reaffirmed after the accused was given adequate time to reconsider same.

Having heard submissions by both parties regarding the punishment to be inflicted;

Considers:

That in view of the guilty plea filed by the accused this Court finds the accused guilty as charged.

That in considering the punishment to be inflicted the Court is taking into consideration the admission of guilt filed by the accused at the early stages of these proceedings, his cooperation with the police during their investigations and the fact that the accused was ready to make good for the damages suffered by the injured parties and in fact paid the only injured party present for today's hearing the damages suffered by him.

Consequently the Court after having seen Section 325(1)(b) and 338(dd) the Criminal Code finds the accused guilty as charged and in view of the ove made considerations and after having seen Section 224of Chapter 446 of the Laws of Malta discharges the accused on condition that he does not commit another crime within two (2) years from today.

The Court has explained the consequence in terms of law should the accused commit another crime during this period of conditional discharge. The Court authorizes the accused to withdraw document A from the acts of the Court records.

The Court orders that a copy of the acts of the proceedings judgement be notified to the Attorney General as laid down by law.

< Final Judgement >
END