



**COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA)
AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE**

**MAGISTRATE DR.
ANTONIO MICALLEF TRIGONA**

Sitting of the 1 st December, 2008

Number 1185/2008

The Police
(Inspector Mario Haber)

vs

Ilyas Gaynulin
Aminjon Muratov

The Court,

Having seen the charges brought against the accused Ilyas Gaynulin of Tatarian Nationality holder of Uzbeki travel document number 0072832 and Aminjon Muratov of Uzbeki Nationality, holder of Uzbeki passport CP1209333, with having:

1. in the month before 28th November, 2008 in these islands forged, altered or tampered with their passport respectively or used or had in their possession a passport

which they knew to be forged, altered or tampered with, being passports in their names bearing numbers 0072832 and CP1209333 respectively (Cap 61, Sec 5 of the Laws of Malta);

2. under the same circumstances counterfeited any seal, stamp or other mark used for sealing, stamping, marking, authenticating or certifying in the name of the Government or of any of the authorities thereof, documents or effects, whether public or private property, or which are under the public guarantee, (Cap 9 Sec 172(1)(2), Sec 176 of the Laws of Malta);

3. under the same circumstances committed any other kind of forgery, or shall knowingly make use of any other forged document, in the mentioned two (2) passports (Cap 9, Sec 189 of the Laws of Malta;

4. under the same circumstances made or caused to be made any false return, false statement or false representation to the Principal Immigration Officer (Cap 217, Sec 32 (1c) of the Laws of Malta);

5. under the same circumstances forged any document or true copy of a document or an entry made in pursuance of this act (Cap 217, Sec 32 (1d) of the Laws of Malta).

Having heard the accused plead guilty to the charges on which plea they insisted even after the Court granted them time to reconsider.

Having seen the acts and records of the proceedings.

Considers:

That on the voluntary and unconditional plea of guilt of the accused declares them guilty of the charges proffered against them.

Having seen Article 5 of Chapter 61, Article 172 (1) (2) 176, 189 and 17 of Chapter 9, Article 32 (1) (c) of Chapter

217 condemns each of the accused to a period of one year imprisonment which by application of Article 28A of Chapter 9 the Court suspends for a period of two years from today on condition that the accused shall not commit a further offence punishable with imprisonment during this operative period.

The Court explained to each of the accused their responsibilities according to this judgement.

< Final Judgement >

-----END-----