



**COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA)  
AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE**

**MAGISTRATE DR.  
ANTONIO MIZZI**

Sitting of the 17<sup>th</sup> September, 2008

Number 853/2008

The Police  
(Inspector Priscilla Caruana)

Vs

Tony Ige Michael, son of Tony born in Nigeria on the 9<sup>th</sup>  
February, 1985

The Court,

Having seen the charges proffered against the accused,  
namely, that on the 10<sup>th</sup> September, 2008 at about 9.15  
a.m. at the Tent Village Open Centre, Hal-Far,  
Birżebbuġia:

1. assaulted or resisted by violence or active force not  
amounting to public violence, PC942 Saviour Chircop and  
Mario Gauci, persona lawfully charged with a public duty  
when in the execution of the law or of a lawful order  
issued by a competent authority;

2. for having on the same date, time, place and circumstances willfully committed any spoil, damage, or injury to or upon any movable or immovable property to the detriment of the Commissioner of Police and the Government of Malta, that is, uniform trousers, which damage does not exceed €116.47 but exceeds €23.29;

3. for having on the same date, time, place and circumstances disobeyed the lawful orders of any authority or of any person entrusted with a public service, or hindered or obstructed such person in the exercise of his duties, or otherwise unduly interfered with the exercise of such duties, either by preventing other persons from doing what they are lawfully enjoined or allowed to do, or frustrating or undoing what has been lawfully done by other persons, or in any other manner whatsoever, unless such disobedience or interference falls under any other provision of this Code or of any other law;

4. for having on the same date, time, place and circumstances willfully disturbed the public good order or the public peace.

Having seen the note of the Attorney General whereby he authorized that this case be heard summarily.

Having seen the records of the case wherein it results that the accused admitted to the charges as proffered.

Having heard the prosecution and the defence counsel regarding the punishment which is to be applied in this case.

Consequently, the Court finds the accused guilty of the charges as proffered. Having seen sections 95, 96 and 338(dd)(ee) of the Criminal Code condemns him to a period of imprisonment of one year. Having seen section 28A of the Criminal Code orders that this judgement be brought into effect if the accused commits a further offence punishable with imprisonment within a period of two years from today.

Informal Copy of Judgement

**< Final Judgement >**

-----END-----