



**COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA)
AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE**

**MAGISTRATE DR.
ANTONIO MIZZI**

Sitting of the 22 nd January, 2007

Number 59/2007

The Police
(Inspector Kevin Borg)

VS

Berta Sanders Korunovska, daughter of Kosta born in
Prilep, FYROM on the 15th November, 1969

The Court,

Having seen the charges proffered against the accused with having, jointly and/or severally, on these Islands, during the month of December, 2006 and in the preceding months, in various parts of Malta and outside Malta, by means of several acts committed, even if at different times, which acts constitute violations of the same provisions of the law –

1. for having, by means of any unlawful practice, or by the use of any fictitious name, or the assumption of any false designation, or by means of any other deceit, device or pretence calculated to lead to the belief in the existence

of any fictitious enterprise or of any imaginary power, influence or credit, or to create the expectation or apprehension of any chimerical event, made a gain to the detriment of Anatole Baldacchino and other persons;

2. for having promised, given or offered, directly or indirectly, any undue advantage to any other person who asserts or confirms that you are able to exert an improper influence over the decision making of any person, in order to induce such other persons to exercise such influence, whether such undue advantage is for such other person or any one else;

3. for having, with intent to commit, conceal or disguise any offence under the preceding charge (charge no.2), created or used an invoice or any other accounting document or record containing false or incomplete information or unlawfully omitted to make a record of payment;

4. for having committed forgery of any authentic and public instrument or of any commercial document or private bank document, by counterfeiting or altering the writing or signature, by feigning any fictitious agreement, disposition, obligation or discharge, or by the insertion of any such agreement disposition, obligation or discharge in any of the said instruments or documents after the formation thereof, or by any addition to or alteration of any clause, declaration or fact which such instruments or documents were intended to contain or prove;

5. for having knowingly made use of any of the false acts, writings, instruments or documents mentioned in the preceding charge (charge no.4);

6. for having falsely made or issued a false declaration or certificate;

7. for having committed forgery of any private writing tending to cause injury to any person or to procure gain;

8. for having, in order to gain an advantage or benefit for yourself or others, shall, in any document intended for any public authority, knowingly made a false declaration or statement, or given false information.

The Court has been requested that in the case of a finding of guilt of the accused, apart from inflicting the punishment prescribed by law, orders the forfeiture of all the objects exhibited in these proceedings.

Having seen the note filed by the Attorney General whereby he gave his assent that this case be treated summarily.

Having seen the records of the case of the 22nd January, 2007 from where it results that the accused admitted to the charges proffered against her.

Consequently, the Court finds the accused guilty of the charges proffered against her. Having seen sections 18, 308, 309, 310, 121A(1), 121B, 183, 184, 185, 187, 188 and 189 of the Criminal Code condemns the accused to a term of imprisonment of one year. Having seen section 28A of the Criminal Code orders that this sentence shall not take effect, unless during a period of two years from today, the accused commits another offence punishable with imprisonment. Moreover, the Courts orders the destruction of all the documents by the police.

< Final Judgement >

-----END-----