



**QORTI CIVILI  
PRIM' AWLA**

**ONOR. IMHALLEF  
TONIO MALLIA**

Seduta tal-21 ta' Ottubru, 2004

Citazzjoni Numru. 107/1995/2

**Avukat Max Ganado bhala mandatarju specjali ghan-nom u in rappresentanza tas-socjeta' estera METAL TRADE S.R.L**

**vs**

**Kaptan Sebastiano Pizzimenti ghan-nom u in rappresentanza tal-bastiment M.V. Poker, ta' bandiera taljana, registrat fil-Port ta' Cagliari u b'nota tas-27 ta' Frar 1995 Dr. Tonio Fenech assuma l-atti tal-kawza minflok il-Kaptan sabiex jirrapprezzenta l-bastiment M.V. Poker**

**Il-Qorti;**

Rat ic-citazzjoni pprezentata mill-attur fis-26 ta' Jannar, 1995, li in forza tagħha, wara premetta:

1. Illi l-konvenut nomine huwa debitur tal-attur nomine fis-somma fi flus maltin ekwivalenti għal wieħed u tletin miljun u sebgha mijha u sebgha u sittin elf punt tnejn disgha zero liri taljani (IL 31.767.290) liema ammont jirraprezenta bilanc minn somma akbar prezz ta' *spare parts* u provvisti necessarji li gew suppliti u kkonsenjati lill-istess konvenut nomine;
2. Illi dan id-debitu huwa cert likwidu u dovut u fil-fehma tal-attur il-konvenut nomine m'għandux eccezzjonijiet għal din it-talba;

Għalhekk l-attur talab lil din l-Onorabbli Qorti sabiex:

1. Taqta' u tiddeciedi l-kawza bid-dispensa tas-smiegh tal-kawza ai termini tal-artiklu 167 tal-Kap. 12 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta u
2. Tikkundannah sabiex ihallas lill-attur nomine s-somma fi flus maltin li fid-data tas-sentenza tkun ekwivalenti għal wieħed u tletin miljun sebgha mijha u sebgha u sittin elf mitejn u disghin liri taljani (IL 31.767.290) liema ammont jirraprezenta bilanc minn somma akbar prezz ta' diversi oggetti, spare parts u provvisti necessarji konsenjati lili;

Bl-ispejjez kontra l-konvenuti u bl-imghax legali sad-data tal-pagament effettiv li jibqghu minn issa ngunti biex jidhru in subizzjoni.

Rat id-digriet tal-Qorti tal-15 ta' Frar, 1995, li in forza tagħha, wara li ssodisfat ruħha li l-konvenut għandu eccezzjoni tajba biex jopponi l-azzjoni, tat lill-konvenut permess li jopponi l-azzjoni u tagħtu zmien għoxrin gurnata biex jipprezenta l-eccezzjoni tieghu u ordnat ukoll

li I-kawza tigi mismugha u deciza fuq I-istess atti fil-kors ordinarju tagħha;

Rat in-Nota tal-Eccezzjonijiet mressqa mill-konvenut li biha eccepixxa;

1. Illi, in linea preliminari, din il-Qorti m'ghandhiex gurisidizzjoni biex tiehu konjizzjoni tal-kawza odjerna.

2. Illi subordinatament u minghajr pregudizzju ghall-premess, il-gudizzju m'huwiex integrū ghaliex is-sidien tal-bastiment in kwistjoni ma gewx imharrka imqar ghal kull interessa li jista' jkollhom fil-bastiment peress illi kull azzjoni "*in rem*" mhix proponibbli kemm-il darba s-sidien ma jkunux ukoll responsabbi għall-kreditu pretiz;

3. Illi fil-mertu u minghajr pregudizzju għas-suespost, stante li fil-kaz odjern si tratta ta' bastiment li kien "*bareboat chartered*" meta l-kreditu pretiz gie kontrattat, il-hlas ta' dan I-istess kreditu mhux biss m'huwiex ir-responsabilita' tas-sidien tal-istess bastiment – liema kreditu lanqas ma hu kopert bi privilegg – izda inoltre I-azzjoni "*in rem*" f'dawn ic-cirkostanzi ma tistax tkun legalment ammissibbli;

4. Sussidjarjament u minghajr pregudizzju ghall-premess, fil-meritu t-talba tal-attur nomine ma tistax tigi milqughha minhabba li l-kreditu pretiz mill-attur nomine mhux dovut u mhux ezigibbli mingħand il-bastiment stante illi s-sidien tal-bastiment mhux responsabbi għall-hlas tal-istess in persona u dan peress illi l-krediti pretuzi ma gewx kotnrattati mis-sidien jew minn persuni awtorizzati in rappresentanza tas-sidien; dawn lanqas ma jirradikaw privilegg fuq il-bastiment. Għalhekk I-eccipjent noe m'huwiex il-legittimu kontradittu tal-attur nomine;

5. Salvi eccezzjonijiet ohra.

Rat id-dikjarazzjonijiet guramentati tal-partijiet;

Rat il-verbal registrat fl-ujdenza tas-6 ta' Gunju, 1995, li fiha l-konvenut irrinunzja għall-ewwel eccezzjoni tieghu;

Rat is-sentenza moghtija minn din il-Qorti fis-27 ta' Settembru, 1999, li in forza tagħha laqghet it-talba tal-konvenut nomine ghall-isfilz tal-affidavit ta' l-imsemmi Francesco Rizzuto, b'dana pero' li qeqħda tirrizerva espressament id-dritt li tirrevoka *contrario imperio* tali ordni fil-kaz li ssirilha talba formali għall-ammissjoni tax-xhud imsemmi u dan kemm-il darba hija tkun konvinta li tali talba tkun legalment gustifikata; fit-tieni lok, l-attur nomine għandu permezz ta' nota juri jekk huwiex qiegħed jestendi t-trattazzjoni tieghu għall-mertu kollu tal-vertenza meta huwa elabora dwar il-ligi applikabbli, jew inkella, huwiex minflok qiegħed jillimita ruhu biss għall-fini tat-tielet eccezzjoni.

Fit-tielet lok, ornat lill-konvenut nomine biex dan jiddikjara jekk għandux xi haga x'izid man-nota tieghu u rigwardanti t-tielet eccezzjoni u specifikatament dwar l-observazzjoni tieghu li l-azzjoni *in rem* fic-cirkostanzi partikolari tal-kaz "ma tistax tkun legalment ammissibbli"; u b'dan li f'kull wahda minn dawn l-ordnijiet il-kontro-parti jkollha d-dritt ta' risposta.

Rat il-verbal ta' din il-Qorti redatt fid-9 ta' Dicembru, 1999, fejn gie stabbilit li, f'dak l-istadju, il-Qorti kellha tillimita ruħha biex tiddeciedi liema ligi hi applikabbli u li għandha tirregola l-materja dwar l-allegat privilegg;

Rat id-digriet ta' din il-Qorti tat-28 ta' Frar, 2000, li in forza tieghu rriskontrat diversi punti li dwarhom talbet li jsiru kjarifiki ulterjuri mill-partijiet;

Rat in-Nota konguntiva tal-partijiet tat-23 ta' Marzu, 2000, fejn gew mogħtija l-kjarifiki li talbet il-Qorti;

Rat is-sentenza ta' din il-Qorti tal-1 ta' Gunju, 2000, li in forza tagħha iddikjarat li l-ligi applikabbli għall-kwistjoni ta' privilegg hija u kienet regolata bil-ligi taljana u, għaldaqstant, awtorizzat lill-attur li jiproduci l-provi opportuni dwar il-ligi Taljana; l-ispejjeż rizervati għall-gudizzju finali;

## Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

Rat id-digriet ta' din il-Qorti tad-19 ta' Ottubru, 2000 li ordnat li l-affidavit sfilzat jerga' jigi mdahhal fil-process;

Semghet il-provi li resqu l-partijiet;

Rat l-atti kollha tal-kawza u d-dokumenti esebiti, inkluzi l-affidavits li ressqu l-partijiet;

Rat is-sentenza ta' din il-Qorti tad-9 ta' Ottubru, 2003, li in forza tagħha laqghet *in parte* t-tielet eccezzjoni tal-konvenut *nomine*, u iddikjarat li l-pretenzjoni tal-attur *nomine*, anke jekk sorretta fil-fatt u fil-ligi, ma tikwalifikax li tkun koperta bi privilegg;

Rat li din il-kawza thalliet għal-lum għas-sentenza fuq il-meritu;

Rat in-nota tal-osservazzjonijiet ulterjuri tal-partijiet;

Ikkunsidrat;

Illi f'din il-kawza, l-attur, f'isem is-socjeta' estera Metal Trade s.r.l., qed jitlob hlas mingħand il-bastiment m.v. "Poker" ta' bilanc minn somma akbar ta' *spare parts* u provvisti necessarji li gew suppliti u kkonsenjati lill-bastiment. Din it-talba giet promossa bhala azzjoni *in rem* kontra l-vapur u l-bilanc mitlub huwa ta' LIT31,767,290.

Jirrizulta li bejn l-1 ta' April, 1994 u t-18 ta' Jannar, 1995, il-bastiment *in kwistjoni* kien gie moghti b'"bareboat charter" lis-socjeta' Marinzulich Cap. Claudio Armatore & C S.r.l., u dan kif jirrizulta minn "bareboat charterparty" tal-31 ta' Marzu, 1994. Dan il-"*bareboat charterparty*" kien gie terminat mis-sidien tal-vapur, Sea Containers Italia s.r.l. qabel iz-zmien pattwit, u dan minhabba inadempjenza *da parti* tal-"*bareboat charterparty*". Fizz-żmien li l-vapur kien f'idejn il-"*bareboat charterer*" gew kuntrattati l-allegati krediti reklamati mis-socjeta' attrici. Il-vapur konvenut qed jallega li hi mhux responsabbi għal hlas ta' dawn il-krediti, peress li meta gew kontrattati, il-vapur kien fil-pussess u l-kontroll assolut tal-imsemmi "*bareboat charterer*", tant li l-kaptan tal-istess bastiment

kien gie mahtur mill-“*bareboat charterer*” stess u mhux mis-sidien, u kien biss fit-18 ta’ Jannar, 1995, meta s-sidien hadu lura l-pussess tal-bastiment, li dawn hatru kaptan iehor *in sostizzjoni* tal-kaptan nominat mill-“*bareboat charterer*”. Mid-dokumenti ezebiti mis-socjeta’ attrici, jirrizulta ammess li l-fornituri saru meta l-vapur kien f’idejn il-“*bareboat charterer*” u l-ordnijiet fil-fatt saru mis-socjeta’ li kellha l-“*bareboat charter*”, jigifieri s-socjeta’ Marinzulich Cap. Claudio Armatore & C. S.r.l.

Il-pretenzjoni tas-socjeta’ attrici giet konfermata minn Marco Giovanni Noviello, direttur tas-socjeta’ attrici, li spjega li l-parts gew provduti wara talba li saritlu mill-kaptan tal-vapur, u kkonferma l-invoices relattivi li jkopru l-perjodu mis-26 ta’ April, 1994 sat-18 ta’ Ottubru, 1994. Dawn il-parts inghataw lill-vapur waqt li din kienet fis-shipyards ta’ Tommaso Montano & Figli S.r.l. gewwa Livorno, l-Italia.

Min-naha l-ohra, il-vapur konvenut, fil-waqt li ma jichadx li gew provduti l-parts, ma jaccettax li l-ordni saret mill-kaptan. Malcolm Walter Parrott, rappresentant tas-sidien tal-vapur, jghid li mill-investigazzjoni li hu ghamel dwar il-kaz, ix-xogholijiet saru fuq ordni tal-charterers, u li x-xogholijiet “*were the responsibility of a Sr. Mangrini who was acting as an independent technical superintendent for Marinzulich*”. Din il-Qorti fis-sentenza preliminari tagħha tad-9 ta’ Ottubru, 2003, kienet stħarget dan il-punt u kienet waslet għal konkluzzjoni li x-xogħol ma sarx fuq ordni tal-kaptan, izda fuq talba tal-“*bareboat charterers*” li hadu hsieb jirrangaw li jsiru t-tiswijiet mehtiega meta l-vapur dahlet fil-port ta’ Livorno.

Fil-kuntratt ta’ “*charterparty*” in kwistjoni jingħad li “*The vessel shall during the charter period be in the full possession, and at the absolute disposal for all purposes of the charterers and under their complete control in every respect*”. Fir-rigward ta’ *charterparties*, l-awturi Gaskell, Debattista and Swatton, fil-ktieb “*Chorley & Giles’ Shipping Law*” (8<sup>th</sup> Edit, 1988 pag. 174) jagħmlu dawn l-osservazzjonijiet:

*"To put it broadly a charterparty is a contract between the charterer and the shipowner, by which the former hires from the latter the use of the ship, either for a certain length of time – say, twelve months – when it is called a time charter, or for a certain voyage, when it is called a voyage charter; this latter may be out and home, usually called a 'round voyage'. The charter may be an ordinary hire just as the hire of any movable thing, for instance, a motor car for an excursion, or it may be in the nature of a lease by which the owner grants or demises the entire control and possession of the ship to the charterer (charter by demise). This type of charterparty is appropriate when a shipowner is desirous of augmenting his fleet, or where a person wishes to obtain full temporary possession of a ship, as when fitting out an expedition for exploration. The demise charterer is in a position similar to the leaseholder of land, that is to say, he is for all practical purposes, except registration, the temporary owner of the ship. Accordingly, the duties and rights of the owner are performed and exercised, respectively, by him. He is bound by a salvage award, and it is on his behalf that the master signs bills of lading, for master and crew are his, and not the owner's servants. During the duration of a charter by demise the owner's right is to be paid the hire or, as it is sometimes confusingly called, the chartered freight. He is not allowed to interfere in any way with the management of the ship, except in so far as the terms of the charterparty itself permit. If the ship earns a salvage award the charterer by demise is entitled to it. The common form of charterparty is that used between the shipper of goods and the shipowner".*

Kif intqal minn Lord Watson fil-kawza "The Castle Gate", deciza mill-House of Lords fl-1892, "As every proceeding *in rem* is in substance a proceeding against the owner of the ship, a proper maritime lien must have its root in his personal liability". Issa, meta jkun hemm charter by demise, is-sid tal-vapur ma jkun bl-ebda mod responsabili ghall-obbligazzjonijiet assunti mill-vapur, u *kwindi* ma tistax issegwi azzjoni *in rem* kontra I-vapur, liema azzjoni, kif jinghad fil-kawza "L'Astrigoni", deciza fl-

Ingilterra fl-1974, hija “intended to facilitate the enforcement of liabilities, not to allow pressures to be put upon a person who is himself under no liability in respect of the liabilities of others”.

Il-fatt li s-sid tal-vapur izomm xi drittijiet fuq il-vapur, per ezempju, li *c-chief engineer* ikun mahtur minnu, u li s-sid izomm dritt ta’ spezzjoni u dritt ta’ identifikazzjoni f’kaz ta’ xi responsabbilita’ jew hsara lill-vapur, ma jtellifx mill-fatt li kuntratt jibqa’ definit “charter by demise”, bir-responsabilitajiet u obbligi jkunu kollha tac-charterer – “Baumwoll Manufactur von Carl Scheibler vs Furness”, deciza mill-Qorti ta’ I-Appell fl-Ingilterra fl-1893.

Meta l-kaz ikun ta’ din in-natura, ir-responsabilita’ ghal hlas ta’ servizzi provduti tkun tac-charterer biss u mhux tal-vapur, aktar u aktar meta, f’dan il-kaz, gie stabbilit li l-ordnijiet saru mic-charterer u mhux mill-kaptan. F’kaz ta’ *charter by demise*, ir-responsabilita’ ghal hlas ta’ dan il-kreditu ma jkunx, allura, tas-sidien tal-istess bastiment, izda tal-“*bareboat charterers*” personalment. Hekk jinghad ukoll fil-ktieb tal-awtur Scruttan “On Charterparties” (19<sup>th</sup> Edit. 1984 pag. 47).

“A charter by demise (bare boat) operates as a lease of the ship itself, to which the services of the master and crew may or may not be supra added. The charterer becomes for the time the owner of the vessel; the master and crew become to all intents his servants, and through them the possession of the ship is in him.

Under a charter not by demise, on the other hand, the ship owner agrees with the charterer to render services by his master and crew to carry the goods which are put on board his ship by or on behalf of the charterer.

The possession of a demised ship is in the charterer, not the owner. Various consequences follow from a charter being construed as a demise:

(1) *the owner is not liable to shippers even if they did not know of the charter, or to the charterers, for acts of the master and crew. (Baumwoll vs Furness 1893 A.C. 8).*

(7) *Where statutory duties are imposed on the “owner” of a ship, such as the payment of light dues, in the absence of express provisions in the charter, the charterer is liable if the charter is a demise, the owner if it is not. (The Hopper No. 66 (1908) A.C. 126).*

(8) *A charterer by demise is liable for collision caused by negligence of the demised ship. (Fenton vs Dublin 1838 8 A. & E. 835)”*

Meta m'hemmx ir-responsabilita' tas-sidien tal-vapur, m'hemmx responsabilita' tal-vapur, u *kwindi* ma tistax tirnexxi azzjoni *in rem* kontra l-istess vapur. Vapur ma jistax jinzamm responsabqli meta ma tezisti ebda responsabilita' tas-sidien tagħha.

*Kwindi* l-azzjoni tas-socjeta' attrici kontra l-bastiment u intiza bhala wahda *“in rem”* u xejn aktar, ma tistax tirnexxi. Din ukoll hija l-pozizzjoni li hadet din il-Qorti, diversament presjeduta, fil-kawza “Dingli noe vs Pizzimenti”, deciza fil-11 ta' Lulju, 2001.

Għaldaqstant, għar-ragunijiet premessi, tiddisponi mill-kawza billi tilqa' t-tielet eccezzjoni tal-konvenut, tqies l-azzjoni attrici, kif magħmula, improponibbli, u tillibera lill-istess konvenut mill-osservanza tal-gudizzju.

L-ispejjeż tal-kawza jithallsu mill-attur *nomine*.

< Sentenza Finali >

-----TMIEM-----