



**QORTI CIVILI
PRIM' AWLA**

**ONOR. IMHALLEF
GEOFFREY VALENZIA**

Seduta tal-11 ta' Gunju, 2004

Citazzjoni Numru. 1143/1997/1

**Philip Gatt f'ismu personali kif ukoll bhala Direttur
ghan-nom u in rappresentanza ta' Resort Hotels Ltd.,
Resort Hotels Holdings Ltd., Rockleys Properties Ltd.
u Finvest International Ltd.**

vs

Associated News Ltd.

II-Qorti,

PRELIMINARI

Rat I-att tac-citazzjoni fejn gie premess:

"Illi fi stqarrija ghal-istampa mahruga mis-socjeta' konvenuta nhar il-15 ta' Mejju, 1997 (Dok. "A"), liema stqarrija giet rappurtata fil-gazzetti ewlenin, gew attribwiti

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

fatti determinanti li huma foloz, malafamati fil-konfront tal-attur pro et noe, li jesponuh mhux biss għad-disprezz tal-pubbliku, izda huma ntizi wkoll sabiex ikissru in-negozju tieghu, apparti li jweggħu, ujkissru r-reputazzjoni tieghu;

Premess illi is-socjeta' konvenuta hija l-awtrici ta' l-istqarrija ghall-istampa imsemmija;

Premess illi l-attur pro et noe, bhala parti malafamata u dannegġjata għandu d-dritt għad-danni kkontemplati fl-Artikolu 28 tal-Ligi ta' l-Istampa, Kap 248 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta;

L-attur talab li din l-Onorabbli Qorti:

1. Tiddikjara u tiddeċiedi illi l-pubblikazzjoni suriferita hija libelluza u malafamanti fil-konfront ta' l-attur pro et noe u li jesponuh għa-disprezz tal-pubbliku;
2. Tiddikjara li l-attur pro et noe sofra danni minhabba l-agir tas-socjeta' konvenuta;
3. Tillikwida, d-danni li sofra l-attur pro et noe minhabba s-suriferita pubblikazzjoni, jekk hemm bżonn permezz ta' periti nominandi.
4. Tikkundanna lis-socjeta' konvenuta sabiex ihallsu lill-attur dawn id-danni hekk likwidati.
5. Tikkundanna in oltre l-istess socjeta' konvenuta sabiex thallas lill-attur pro et noe danni ulterjuri, konsistenti f'dik s-somma li tiffissa l-istess Qorti f'ammont li ma jeccedix hamest elef lira (Lm5,000) b'applikazzjoni ta' l-istess artikolu 28 tal-Kap 248 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta.

Bl-ispejjez u bl-interessi legali kontra s-socjeta' konvenuta.

Rat **in-nota tal-eccezzjonijiet tas-socjeta' konvenuta** a fol. 97 fejn ecceppt:

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

Preliminarjament, il-fatt illi I-Ligi tal-Istampa, Kap. 248, tal-Edizzjoni Riveduta tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta, ma tapplikax għas-socjeta' konvenuta.

Sussidjarjament u minghajr pregudizzju għas-suespost il-fatt illi l-kontenut tal-istqarrija allegatament falsa u malafamanti ma gietx redatta mis-socjeta' konvenuta, izda minn Adrian Busietta, u għalhekk jehtieg illi jigi kjamat fil-kawza l-istess Adrian Busietta.

Sussidjarjament u minghajr pregudizzju għas-suespost il-fatt illi l-kontenut tal-istqarrija allegatament falsa u malafamanti tikkostitwixxi rapport fidil u korrett ta' digriet ta' din L-Onorabbi Qorti;

Sussidjarjament u minghajr pregudizzju għas-suespost, il-fatt illi l-istqarrija la hija libelluza, la hija malafamanti, la tesponi lill-attur pro et nomine għad-disprezz publiku, u kwindi l-attur pro et nomine ma sofra l-ebda dannu.

Salv eccezzjonijiet ulterjuri.

Rat id-decizjoni preliminari a fol. 88;

Rat in-nota a fol. 205;

Semghet lill-Avukati difensuri jittrattaw:

KONTESTAZZJONI

L-attur qed jissottometti li fil-15 ta' Mejju, 1997 s-socjeta' konvenuta harget stqarrija lill-istampa lokali Dok. A a fol. 5 liema stqarrija kienet mimlija inezattezzi li kkawzaw hafna hsara fir-relazzjonijiet kummercjal tieghu.

Fl-istqarrija jingħad li l-*hotel* St Julien ghaddiet f'idejn *official receiver* u b'hekk ingħatat l-impressjoni li l-lukanda falliet u ttieħdet minn taht il-kontrol ta' l-attur noe sabiex jithallsu d-debiti pendent. Intuzaw ukoll il-kliem fl-ahhar tal-istqarrija “to settle the issue” u dan il-kliem ukoll kien intiz sabiex tingħata l-impressjoni ta' falliment. Fil-fatt dak

li attwalment gara kien li gie nominat sekwestratarju gudizzjarju sabiex jiehu hsieb l-ishma in kwistjoni.

Skond l-attur dina l-istqarrija li giet pubblikata f'diversi gazzetti lokali (Dok B,C,D) bi prominenza kbira, kkawzatlu hsara rreparabbi tant li hu rceva *call in* mill-bank tieghu (Dok. E fol. 20); kellu *tour operator* li rrifjuta jerga' jiffirma *booking contract* (Dok. F fol. 21) u debituri ohrajn liessnu t-thassib tagħhom (Dok. G a fol. 22) appart iż-żejt telf iehor ta' negozju mhux facilment likwidabbli, dan appart i-hsara rreparabbi fir-reputazzjoni ta' l-attur.

Minn naħa l-ohra l-konvenut qed jiissottometti li hu kien ivverifika l-istqarrija mad-digriet tal-Qorti u flok il-kliem *official receiver* fid-digriet kien hemm kliem iehor (sekwestratarju gudizzjarju) li ma setghax jitraducieh facilment u bidel il-kelma billi ma kinitx tintuza. Kien iddiskutiha ma' Adrian Busietta u ma' persuna legali izda dan ma kienx tah kelma ezatta ghax kien hemm dubbji, għalhekk hu ddecieda li juza l-kelma *receiver*. Biex uza dak it-terminu kien anke kkonsulta mal-Financial Times. Hu qal li *receivership* mhux bil-fors hi riferenza ghall-kumpanija falluta. Il-kliem li ma kienx fid-digriet u deher fl-istqarrija kien ta' Busietta.

KONSIDERAZZJONIJIET

L-attur qed joggezzjona għas-stqarrija billi hija inezatta u malafamanti. Hu jghid li d-digriet tal-Qorti ma jitkellimix dwar *receivership* imma dwar sekwestratarju gudizzjarju u ma tissemmiex il-lukanda izda *shares* ta' kumpanija kummercjali.

Jigi rilevat li l-attur ma esebiex kopja tad-digriet in kwistjoni izda biss l-istqarrija li għamlu l-konvenuti għalhekk il-Qorti ma tistax tagħmel ezami tad-differenzi li hemm bejn l-istqarrija u d-digriet tal-Qorti. Li qed jaqblu l-partijiet pero' huwa li d-digriet isemmi li Dr.G.Muscat Azzopardi gie nominat bhala sekwestratarju gudizzjarju mentri fl-istqarrija dina giet tradotta għal *official receiver* u hekk dehret fil-gazzetti u f'gazzetta minnhom dehret anke

bhala “went into receivership”. L-attur qed joggezzjona ghall-uzu ta’ dana l-kliem u l-Qorti ser tillimita l-konsiderazzjoni tagħha għal dana l-ilment biss li sar dwar l-istqarrija li l-partijiet jaqblu fuqu.

L-oggezzjoni ta’ l-attur ghall-istqarrija għalhekk hija dwar l-uzu tal-kliem *official receiver* li dehru fl-istqarrija billi l-uzu ta’ dan il-kliem tah *ad intendere* lill-pubbliku li l-lukanda falliet u ttieħdet minn taht il-kontrol ta’ l-attur noe sabiex jithallsu d-debiti pendent. Din l-impressjoni, skond l-attur toħrog ukoll mill-kliem fl-ahħar ta’ l-istqarrija “to take over the hotel and settle the issue”.

Il-Gately (“On Libel and Slander”) jiddefinixxi l-libell bhala “Any written or printed words which tend to lower a person in the estimation of a right-thinking man or cause him to be shunned or avoided or expose him to hatred, contempt or ridicule”.

Jghid ukoll l-istess awtur li:

“The rule is well settled that the true intention of the writer of any document, whether it be contract, will or libel, is that which is apparent from the natural and ordinary interpretation of the written words.” The rule is the same in slander. The meaning in which the defendant intended the words to be understood, though material on the question of damages, is immaterial in determining whether the words are defamatory or not. The question is not what the defendant intended, but what reasonable men, knowing the circumstances in which the words were published, would understand to be the meaning. “Liability for libel does not depend on the intention of the defamer, but on the fact of the defamation.” “The question is not what the writer of an alleged libel means, but what is the meaning of the words he has used.” “It is not the defendant’s intention, or the meaning in his own mind, that makes the sense of a libel,” but “what was the meaning and inference that would naturally be drawn by reasonable

and intelligent persons reading it.”¹ (ara wkoll Rizzo Naudi vs Agius App 13/5/1997).

Il-konvenut qed jeccepixxi li l-kontenut ta’ l-istqarrija jikkostitwixxi rapport fidil u korrett ta’ digriet tal-Qorti u li l-istqarrija mhiex libelluza jew malafamanti u ghalhekk l-attur ma sofra ebda danni.

Regola tal-*Common law* ingliza li fuqha l-istatut Malti hu modellat hi:

“The rule of law is that where there are judicial proceedings before a properly constituted judicial Tribunal exercising its jurisdiction in open Court, then the publication without malice of a fair and accurate report of what takes place before that Tribunal is privileged”.

Il-privilegg ta’ l-immunita’ mill-prosekuzzjoni hu certament ispirat mill-interess pubbliku ghall-informazzjoni biex ikun aggnorat dwar dak li jigri fil-qrati tal-gustizzja fejn spiss jigi trattat mertu li jolqot lill-ordni socjali, mertu ta’ nteress generali, civili, kummerciali, kriminali u xort’ohra. Il-privilegg allura ma hu bl-ebda mod marbut mal-persuna ta’ min ilissen il-kliem oggettivamente ingurjuz, imma mad-dritt tal-kollettivita’ ghall-informazzjoni.

Gie allura ritenut illi *“Since the rationale of the fair report privilege is that the reporter acts as a substitute for the citizen’s personal observation of public proceedings, the privilege is lost if the report is not an accurate and fair summary of what transpired”*. Hogan vs. New York Times 1963). Hu generalment accettat illi dak li hu mehtieg hu illi r-rapport tal-proceduri jkunu “substantially accurate”. (Ara sentenza App Lino Debono vs Dr.T.Aabela 6/10/200).

L-awtur Gatelyjispega aktar fid-dettal x’ifisser li rapport irid ikun *substantially accurate*. Hu jghid li: *“It is not necessary that the report should be verbatim; an abridged or condensed report will be privileged, provided it gives a correct and just impression of what took place in court. It is sufficient to publish “a fair summarized account”*. *“The privilege of publishing reports of proceedings in courts of justice, would be useless if it is necessary to set out every word of the evidence and of the speeches and of what*

¹ *op. cit.* para. 89, pp. 45-46.

was said by the judge. ... that is not necessary; if what is stated is substantially a fair account of what took place, there is an entire immunity for those who publish it".... Where the inaccuracy is of a substantial kind, the report is not privileged, although the publisher exercised reasonable care and diligence in endeavoring to ascertain the facts, and though the mistake was an honest mistake.

Fil-kaz in ezami jidher li kollox hu marbut mal-kliem uzat *official receiver*. L-attur qed isostni li l-kliem li ntaza kien sar apposta biex jagħmel hsara lill-kumpaniji ta' l-attur waqt li l-konvenut spjega li Adrian Busietta kien gablu l-istqarrija u hu kien ivverifikaha mad-digriet tal-Qorti u sab li kien jaqblu. Hu kien sab diffikolta' biex jittraduci l-kliem sekwestratarju gudizzjarju għalhekk kien uza l-kliem *official receiver* wara li ha parir legali u anke kkonsulta mal-Financial Times.

Il-Qorti trid tara *what reasonable men, knowing the circumstances in which the words were published, would understand to be the meaning*.

Fl'Oxford Dictionary of Law (3rd Ed.) **receiver** hu definit bhala "A person appointed by the court to preserve and protect property that is at risk, to enable another person to obtain the benefit of rights over the property or to obtain payment of a debt if the common-law remedy is inadequate". **Official receiver** huwa "the person appointed by the Department of Trade and Industry who acts in bankruptcy matters as interim receiver and manager of the estate of the debtor, presides at the first meeting of creditors, and take part in the debtor's examination. In the compulsory winding-up of a company, he often becomes provisional liquidator when a winding-up order is made".

Jidher għalhekk li l-uzu tal-kliem *official receiver* bhala distint minn *receiver* jirreferi għal materja ta' *bankruptcy* u *liquidation* ta' kumpaniji. Il-kelma *receiver* aktar tavvicina l-funzjoni ta' sekwestratarju gudizzjarju. Jirrizulta wkoll li wahda mill-gazzetti (The Times) fehmet li l-Hotel "went into receivership" li huwa t-terminu li jintuza ghall-*liquidation*.

Ghalhekk “A report which contains an untrue statement as to the effects of the judgement in an action is not a fair and accurate report” [Richards vs Sun Newspapers (1931)] Fil-kaz in ezami l-kliem li ntuzza mill-konvenut, ghal bniedem ragjonevoli, *knowing the circumstances in which the words were published ifissru li l-lukanda kienet fi stat ta' likwidazzjoni.*

Mhux eskluz li minhabba dina l-istqarrija l-attur personalment u anke nomine sofra xi danni, izda kif xehed l-istess l-attur dawn mhux facilment likwidabbili.

Kwantu għad-danni li dina l-Qorti ser takkorda lill-attur il-Qorti hi tal-fehma li serja kemm hi serja l-malafama, l-Qorti ma tistghax tghid li f'dan il-kaz ghalkemm l-istqarrija ma kinitx rapport preciz tal-proceduri fil-Qorti izda jirrizulta li l-konvenut qabel ma ppubblika, kien ivverifika l-fatti billi qabbel l-istqarrija mad-digriet tal-Qorti u fejn sab diffikolta' fit-traduzzjoni ta' kelma pjuttost difficili, sekwestrarju gudizzjarju, kkonsulta ruħħu ma' persuna legali li wkoll kellha d-dubji tagħha. Il-konvenut anke kkonsulta mal-Financial Times. Kien il-konvenut li ddecieda fuq il-kliem li kellel juza. Dan juri atteggament ta' persuna li hadet hsieb u ma qabditx tippubblika kif gie gie. Kif jghid l-awtur Gately, “a report in a daily newspaper is not to be judged by the same strict standard of accuracy” as a “report coming from the hand of a trained lawyer”. “Unless a fair and reasonable latitude is given there would be no safety in reporting the proceedings in courts of justice”. Fl-ahhar nett jigi rilevat ukoll li l-kumpanija u l-lukanda ma kinitx biss ta' l-attur noe imma ta' Busietta wkoll li kellel sehem fihom u għalhekk ma kienx fl-interess tieghu li jagħti isem hazin lill-assi li kienu tieghu wkoll.

DECIZJONI

Għal dawn il-motivi
il-Qorti tiddeciedi
billi tilqa' l-ewwel talba attrici
u tikkundanna lis-socijeta' konvenuta sabiex thallas lill-attur pro et noe s-somma ta' tlett mitt lira Maltin (Lm300)

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

b'applikazzjoni tal-artikolu 28 tal-Kap 248 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta.

Tichad it-tieni, tielet u raba' talba billi dawn ma gewx pruvati.

Bl-ispejjez bin-nofs stante li mhux it-talbiet kollha gew milqugha.

< Sentenza Finali >

-----TMIEM-----