



**QORTI CIVILI
PRIM' AWLA**

**ONOR. IMHALLEF
RAYMOND C. PACE**

Seduta tad-29 ta' April, 2003

Citazzjoni Numru. 402/2001/1

Svetlana Minaeva Ciangura

vs

Aldo Ciangura

Il-Qorti,

I. PRELIMINARI.

Rat ic-citazzjoni attrici a fol. 1 fejn gie premess:-

Illi I-kontendenti zzewgu fir-Registru taz-Zwigijiet ta' Malta fid-29 ta' Novembru 1997 (Dok. "A");

Illi fil-mument tal-kontrattazzjoni taz-zwieg taghhom, il-kunsens ta' wiehed jew wahda mill-kontendenti kien vizzjat b'difett serju ta' diskrezzjoni ta' gudizzju fuq il-hajja mizzewga jew fuq id-drittijiet u d-dmirijiet essenziali tagħha jew b'anomalija psikologika serja li tagħmilha

mpossibbli ghal dik il-parti li taqdi l-obbligazzjonijiet essenzjali taz-zwieg;

Illi fil-mument tal-kontrattazzjoni taz-zwieg taghhom, il-kunsens tal-kontendenti jew wiehed jew wahda minnhom, kien vizzjat bl-eskluzjoni pozittiva taz-zwieg innifsu jew ta' xi wiehed jew aktar mill-elementi essenzjali tal-hajja mizzewga jew tad-dritt ghall-att taz-zwieg;

Illi I-kunsens ta' I-attrici ghaz-zwieg inkiseb b'qerq dwar xi kwalita` tal-konvenut li setghet mix-xorta tagħha tfixkel serjament il-hajja mizzewga;

Illi z-zwieg tal-partijiet ma giex ikkunsmat;

Illi għalhekk iz-zwieg tal-kontendenti huwa null *ai termini ta' I-artikolu 19(A)(i), 19(1)(c)(d) u (f) tal-Kap. 255 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta.*

Illi għalhekk iz-zwieg tal-kontendenti huwa null.

Illi għalhekk tħid I-istess attrici lil din I-Onorabbi Qorti:-

1. Tiddikjara z-zwieg tal-kontendenti ikkuntrattat fir-Registru taz-Zwigijet ta' Malta fid-29 ta' Novembru 1997 null u bla effett ai termini ta' I-artikolu **19(A) (i), 19(1) (c) (d) u (f) tal-Kap. 255 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta;**
2. Tawtorizza lill-attrici tirreverti għal kunjom xbubitha 'Minaeva.'

Bl-ispejjez kontra I-konvenut li jibqa` ngunt għas-sabizzjoni.

Rat id-dikjarazzjoni attrici a fol. 3 tal-process;

Rat il-lista ta' xhieda u d-dokumenti esebiti a fol. 4 sa 8 tal-process;

Rat in-nota ta' I-eccezzjonijiet tal-konvenut tas-17 ta' Dicembru 2001 a fol. 17 fejn gie eccepit:-

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

Illi l-eccipjent jaqbel illi z-zwieg in kwistjoni għandu jigi dikjarat null pero` dan abbaži ta' gudizzju fuq il-hajja mizzewga u fuq id-dmirijiet essenzjali tagħha da parti ta' l-attrici nnifisha u mhux kif allegat minnha fil-premessi tac-citazzjoni.

Rat id-dikjarazzjoni u l-lista tax-xhieda tal-konvenut a fol. 17 u 18 tal-process;

Rat l-avviz tat-13 ta' Frar 2002 fejn il-kawza giet differita quddiem din il-Qorti kif diversament presjeduta mill-Onor. Imhallef Raymond Pace;

Rat in-nota ta' l-attrici tat-18 t'April 2002 li biha esebiet affidavit ta' Valentina Minaeva;

Rat il-verbali tas-seduti tas-16 ta' Mejju 2002 fejn gie nnominat Dr. Kenneth Gulia bhala Assistent Gudizzjarju; tat-22 ta' Novembru 2001; tal-5 ta' Novembru 2002 fejn il-Qorti ornat li tinzamm seduta ghall-konkluzjoni tal-provital-konvenut; u tal-5 ta' Frar 2003 fejn il-kawza giet differita għas-sentenza għad-29 t'April 2003.

Rat is-seduti mizmuma quddiem l-Assistent Gudizzjarju nhar l-10 t'Ottubru 2002; tal-21 t'Ottubru 2002; tad-19 ta' Novembru 2002.

Rat ir-rikors ta' l-attrici tas-17 t'Ottubru 2003 fejn titlob lil din l-Onorabbi Qorti sabiex tawtorizza lill-Assistent Gudizzjarju Dr. Kenneth Gulia sabiex izomm seduta fil-21 t'Ottubru 2002 ghall-kontroeżami tax-xhud Valentina Minaeva u ghax-xhieda kollha li fadal tal-partijiet u tinnomina lil Anna Pnitskev bhala interpretu sabiex titraduci x-xhieda ta' l-istess xhud mill-lingwa Russa ghall-lingwa Ingliza; rat id-digriet tat-18 t'Ottubru 2002 fejn il-Qorti tilqa` t-talba.

Rat in-nota tal-konvenut li permezz tagħha pprezenta l-affidavit tieghu stess;

Rat id-dokumenti esebiti;

Rat l-atti kollha l-ohra tal-kawza.

II) KONSIDERAZZJONIJIET.

I) PROVI PRODOTTI.

L-attrici fl-affidavit tagħha tghid:-

"I met my husband Aldo when I visited Malta on holiday some years ago. I met him at a bar in Paceville. We started going out together with his friends and soon I found myself spending more and more time with him.

I returned to Malta quite regularly in the following years, always on holiday.

In October 1997, Aldo and I decided to get married. The decision was taken extremely fast, as before this I had not taken the relationship seriously at all. In fact I considered him to be more a friend than a partner and never discussed a relationship with him in any manner. I visited Malta for short breaks and did not have the time or inclination to settle down. I met Aldo only causally and always in the accompaniment of friends.

Aldo and I decided to get married in October 1997 after spending two days together. He had invited me to stay at his house upon my arrival to Malta and we had sexual relations there. It was then that he suggested we get married and for some reason which from hindsight I cannot understand today, I said yes. I did not love Aldo nor did I have any intention to spend my life with him but I think that I got caught in the excitement of the moment and just decided to take the plunge. I did not think about the consequences for even one moment.

Previously to this, I had been in and out of a number of short relationships in Russia but never anything serious.

So after we decided to get married, Aldo came with me to Moscow to see my family. There he met my parents who were very surprised to hear about my plans. They liked Aldo very much as he is a lively and charismatic character

- two traits which also had an effect on me as I like to enjoy life.

Aldo is a self-declared homosexual. At the time, Aldo had admitted to me that he was bi-sexual but that he wished to live the normal life of a man. After marriage I discovered that Aldo also liked to dress up in woman's clothes but he had not told me this at the time.

Even knowing the risks of having a relationship with a bi-sexual man, I still decided to take up the marriage offer but never really took the matter seriously.

Without any engagement formalities, we just set a date - for 29th November 1997 and organised a civil marriage ceremony to which we invited a few of Aldo's friends as well as my parents and some friends of mine. I got a wedding dress from Moscow and as the date approached, we simply drifted. Aldo and I did not discuss family life in any detail but he did say that he wished to buy a home with me and have children. I was 24 years old at the time and considered the prospect of settling down with children as too remote to consider.

On our wedding day, we went to Valletta to get married. My witness was Mark Felice and Aldo's was someone called Alex. We went to eat at the Bouzouki restaurant after the ceremony.

That night, Aldo booked a room at the Corinthia San Gorg. I was surprised by this as I did not know about it before. We arrived at the hotel late at night. Suddenly, as I drank some champagne, Aldo started crying. When I asked him what was wrong he stated that he wished he were man enough for me and could have sex with me. He explained that he needed some time to discover what he really needed sexually. He was totally confused and I said that I understood. We just went to sleep without consummating the marriage.

The next day, after breakfast, Aldo took me to see some properties which we had planned to buy after obtaining a bank loan.

In the following days, Aldo still had the same sexual problem in my regard but I preferred not to discuss the issue with him. We still however got on well on a friendly basis and partied together with other friends.

After some time I came to realize that Aldo's homosexuality was what was preventing him from having a normal relationship with me. Aldo openly told me that he preferred men to women - this did not offend me as I knew before marriage that he was at least bi-sexual so I was not surprised by his admission. He was however quite possessive if some man or other, even friends, gave me any attention.

After a few months, the situation started to annoy me. I realized I had made a big mistake in getting involved with a homosexual man. Aldo and I began to argue about small matters - particularly when I felt that he was ignoring me altogether. He never had any relationships in the house we were living in (at least not in my presence) but it was clear that he was hanging around with other homosexual men.

I left Aldo in 1998 after a few months of marriage and later separated by contract in October 1999.

Aldo and I never consummated our marriage and I am today certain that neither of us was prepared to enter into a true and permanent married and family life together. Although knowing the risks of getting involved with a man who was bi-sexual, I took the matter lightly and without caring about the future.

Aldo has today publicly declared his homosexuality on the programme 'Xarabank' on Maltese TV and stated that he had in the past wanted to try out relationships with women to discover his true self.

I today enjoy a good friendly relationship with Aldo as in truth, I did not have any serious problems with him as a person other than the fact that we could never, even from the start, really live like normal married couples.

Today I am involved with another man and so is Aldo."

Omm I-attrici, **Valentina Minaeva** fl-affidavit tagħha tghid:

"I am a Russian national with Passport 60 No. 0652768. I am the mother of Svetlana Minaeva. She is my only daughter and was born on the 28th March 1973.

Some years ago, I recall that Svetlana called me from Malta to tell me that she was getting married. Svetlana had been visiting Malta for some months previously and had a few weeks before informed me that she had met some man there. When she told me this, I was shocked as I never expected Svetlana to get married so fast and to someone she hardly knew. At that moment, I remember that I did not comment to her or show her my concern as I did not want to spoil things for her. But I specifically recall that my daughter did not sound extremely excited over the phone as I would have expected her to be.

Some weeks after Svetlana came to Moscow and told me that her husband to be was coming to visit us. She wanted to introduce us to him. In fact, Aldo Ciangura visited our home in Moscow in September 1996. This was a few weeks before they were supposed to get married.

During his stay at our home, things went on quite well. The only thing that I was surprised about, was that my daughter told me that she and Aldo were not having sex because he was shy of us and also because he was a Catholic and did not want to have sex before marriage. I found this to be strange but accepted it as Aldo came from a different country.

I have a very open relationship with my daughter and she tells me everything. We discuss sexual matters openly. This is the way I brought her up.

Another strange thing I remember is that one day Aldo and Svetlana came back from a disco in Moscow. I think it was his birthday. When they got back home. Aldo was crying a lot. I asked what had happened and Svetlana explained that they had been stopped in the street by traffic Police and that Aldo was very upset because he had been frisked by the Police. I was very surprised at Aldo's reaction to this as I did not expect him to cry so much because of this incident.

A few weeks later, I went to Malta for the marriage of my daughter to Aldo. I did not participate in any way in the preparations for this. The wedding was a private civil wedding followed by dinner at a restaurant. The wedding was enjoyable but unremarkable. There was no honeymoon planned.

A day after the wedding, Svetlana told me about her wedding night and what happened to her. She told me that Aldo was crying in the night because he felt he could not have sex with her. I was shocked at this, as it is not a normal thing for a newly married couple.

A few days later I was alone in the house of Aldo and Svetlana (they went to live in Aldo's house) and I started to clean up to help Svetlana. I found some photographs in a drawer. These showed Aldo dressed up in a woman's costume. When Svetlana came back to the house, I asked her whether she knew about the photos and Svetlana said that she knew nothing about these. So I showed the photos to Svetlana and she too was shocked. Svetlana told me that she was going to ask Aldo about the photographs.

In the days that followed, I started noticing that the friends of Aldo acted like they were homosexuals. I confronted Svetlana with this too and she explained to me that she thought Aldo was bi-sexual.

I know that after some time, Svetlana and Aldo decided to separate. Svetlana told me that she had discovered that Aldo was an active homosexual and that she had not had sexual relations with Aldo because of this. I know that Svetlana and Aldo separated in 1997.

Today Svetlana is involved with a Maltese man and has a child who is five months old. I am happy that she has settled down in her role as a mother.”

Il-konvenut fl-affidavit tieghu jghid:-

“Illi jiena fil-fatt kont iltqajit ma’ Svetlana Minaeva l-ewwel darba fil-bar ‘Loose Ends’, f’Paceville fejn jien dak iz-zmien kont qed nahdem bhala part-time barman. Huwa minnu li jiena xi drabi fis-snin ta’ qabel kont gieli rajtha Paceville pero’ f’dawk id-drabi jien qatt ma kont introducejt ruhi magħha u lanqas hi - semplicement kont naraha bhal hafna nisa ohra li kien jiffrenkwentaw il-bars f’Paceville. Għandi nghid ukoll illi dan il-bar fejn jient kont part-time u cjoء il-‘Loose Ends’, dak iz-zmien kien rinomat bhala ‘gay bar’ u fil-fatt kien ikun iffrekwentat kwazi esklussivament minn rgiel ‘gay’, u xi kultant anke nisa ‘gay’, pero’ fil-maggoranza rgiel.

Meta fil-fatt iltqajit magħha go dan il-bar, kienet Svetlana nnifisha li ntroduciet ruħha mieghi u jien qbadt inpacpac magħha. Dakinhar fil-fatt ahna bqajna nitkellmu kontinwament sakemm spiccajt mix-xogħol u minn hemm tlaqna jiena u hi biss lejn il-‘Golden Sands’ fejn inzilna nghumu flimkien u minn hemm spiccajna d-dar tieghi u dak il-lejl stess kellna x’naqsmu l-ewwel darba. Kif ghidt, dan kien Gunju tan-1997. Dakinhar li Itaqjna hi kien fadlilha xi hamest ijiem ohra Malta vakanzi qabel ma tmur lura r-Russia u f’dawk il-hamest ijiem konna niltaqgħu prattikament kuljum billi tigi meta jien inkun xogħol il-bar ‘Loose Ends’ jew inkella noħorgu nieklu meta jien ma nkunx xogħol. Insomma f’dawk il-hamest ijiem iltqajna kuljum u kuljum spiccajna d-dar għandi u kuljum kien ikollna x’naqsmu.

Illi mbagħad wara dawn il-hamest ijiem hi telqet lura r-Russja. Wara xi tlett jew forsi erba' gimghat, Svetlana regħhet giet lura għal xi gimgha u ergajna bdejna niltaqghu. Fil-fatt din id-darba hi qaghdet għandi, u naturalment konna nohorgu frekwentement flimkien - generalment immorru f'dak il-bar ‘Loose Ends’. Hemmhekk anke bdejt inlaqqaghha ma’ hbiebi illi kien kollha ‘gay’. Għalhekk jien fic-cert illi Svetlana kienet taf kwazi sa mill-bidu nett il-karattru tiegħi u t-tendenzi tiegħi. F’din l-okkazjoni ukoll kellna x’naqsmu pero` forsi mhux bl-istess frekwenza li kien gara qabel. Għalhekk jiena fic-cert li Svetlana kienet taf sa mill-bidu nett illi jien kelli tenderanzi ta’ ‘bi-sexual’.

Illi kien propju f’ din it-tieni okkazjoni tat-tieni għita tagħha f’ Malta illi ahna konna d-deċidejna illi nizzewwgu u allura hi kienet stednitni mmur ir-Russja biex niltaqqa` mal-genituri tagħha. Meta kienet stednitni jien kont anke staqsejħha jekk fir-Russja kienx hemm ‘gay bars’ u, tant hi kienet taf bit-tendenzi tiegħi, illi fil-fatt hemmhekk kienet haditni f’zewg bars ta’ din il-kwalita’. Jien ma nafx ezatt min issuggerixxa li nizzewwgu pero’ l-impressjoni tiegħi hija illi hi xtaqet tizzewweg u jien minn naħha tiegħi, malli staqsietni, accettajt mill-ewwel ghaliex jien minn dejjem xtaqt li jkoll t-tfal.

Illi jiena meta hi staqsietni biex nizzewwgu, mill-ewwel ghaddieli l-hsieb illi kif kien qed jagħmlu nisa ohra Russi, Svetlana riedet ukoll tizzewweg biex tkun tista tibqa` Malta. Allura nerġa nghid illi minkejja li ghaddieli dan il-hsieb jien minn naħha tiegħi accettajt propju minħabba rr-raguni illi xtaqt it-tfal.

Illi meta mort Moscow qagħdha għand il-genituri ta’ Svetlana. Fil-fatt, biex inkun preciz, il-flat milli jidher kien ta’ Svetlana u l-genituri tagħha kien joqghodu fil-flat tagħha. Jiena naqbel li hemmhekk jiena u Svetlana ma kellniex x’naqsmu izda din ma kenitx minħabba l-fatt illi jiena ma xtaqtx ikolli x’naqsam qabel iz-zwieg kif qalet omm Svetlana izda minħabba certu nuqqasijiet ta’ igħen li bdejt ninnota fi Svetlana. Inoltre ommha semmiet li jiena kont spiccajt imbikkem meta kien waqqfuna l-Pulizija

hemmhekk. Ma kien hemm xejn stramb illi jien spiccajt imbikkem ghaliex jien hassejt l-atmosfera go Mosca stressanti hafna u dakinhar li waqfuni l-Pulizija dawn sahansitra kienu riedu jarrestawni peress li jien ma kontx qed ingorr mieghi dokument li jindika f'liema hotel kont qed noqghod. Ovvjament jiena ma stajtx ikolli dan it-tip ta' dokument peress illi kont qed nghix fil-flat ta' Svetlana. Dan l-incident spicca wara illi Svetlana rrangat xi haga mal-Pulizija stess bil-lingwa taghhom. Jien hassejtni milqi mill-genituri ta' Svetlana tant illi waqt li kont hemm kelli l-birthday u fil-fatt ghamluli ikla u anke gabu xi hbieb taghhom ghal din l-okkazjoni.

Illi allura mbagħad jien u Svetlana gejna lura Malta u bdejna nippreparaw għat-tiegs. Għandi nghid f'dan il-kuntest illi meta Svetlana kienet originarjament staqsietni biex nizzewwigha u jiena kont accettajt, jien ghedtilha li ssitwazzjoni finanzjarja tieghi ma kenzix tajba u li allura ma stajtx nonfoq spejjeż għat-tiegs. Svetlana pero` minn naħha tagħha offriet illi toħrog il-flus hi minn jeddha u fil-fatt kienet hi illi hallset il-maggoranza ta' l-ispejjeż għal din l-okkazjoni nkluz sija l-arlogg illi lili tatni fl-okkazjoni tat-tiegs u sija c-cirkett tat-tiegs tagħha nnifisha. Niftakar illi dan ic-cirkett kienet xtratu minn Malta stess u kien jijsa madwar sitt mitt lira.

Illi ahna allura zzewwigna fid-29 ta' Novembru 1997 u konna noqghodu fil-post tieghi li jien kont nikri fil-Mosta. Peress lili Svetlana riedet post ikbar ahna mill-ewwel bdejna nduru naraw insibux flat ikbar biex inkunu nistgħu nixtruh u anke nieħdu self mill-bank għalih. Fil-fatt konna sibna flat Bahar ic-Cagħaq u fit-18 ta' Dicembru 1997 anke ffirmajna konvenju biex nixtru dan il-flat. Dan il-konvenju għalhekk sar bejn gimghatejn u tlett gimħat wara illi konna zzewwigna".

Dwar dakinhar tat-tiegs jien fil-fatt kont ibbukjat kamra il-Corinthia San Gorg u wara t-tiegs spiccajna hemm jiena u Svetlana pero` jiena kont xrobt hafna dakinhar u allura kien minhabba dan u ghall-ebda raguni ohra illi jien u Svetlana dak il-lejl ma kellniex x'naqsmu. L-ghada ahna, kif ghid, morna lura nghixu fil-flat illi kont krejt u fejn anke omm Svetlana baqghet toqghod peress illi baqghet Malta

ghal xi flit granet wara it-tiegi. F' dawn il-granet pero` il-relazzjoni tieghi u ta' Svetlana bdiet tinbidel u bdejna nzommu il-bogħod minn xulxin. Wara illi ommha siefret giet ukoll habiba ta' Svetlana u allura giet anke toqghod magħna fl-flat u għamlet ukoll xi flit granet hemmhekk sakemm gara l-incident illi minhabba fih Svetlana qabdet u telqet mid-dar.

Illi dan l-incident gara xi ftit granet wara li konna fflirmajna l-konvenju u cjoe` xi jumejn jew tlett ijiem qabel li-Milied tan-1997. Dakinhar li gara l-incident, li kien gara, kien, illi jiena kont iddecidejt illi nagħmel ikla d-dar u apparti Svetlana u din il-habiba tagħha, stedint ukoll xi hbieb tieghi kollha 'gay' u cjoe` lil certu Mark Felice u l-boyfriend tieghu Tristel u Katia Saunders. Ahna dakinhar filli konna qed nitkellmu bl-Ingliz, ovvijament biex kulhadd jista' jifhem, filli f'daqqa wahda Svetlana u habibitha qabdu u telqu gol-bedroom. Jien hassejt illi l-agir tagħha kien daqsxejn insolenti u dhalt fil-bedroom biex inkellimha. Imbagħad qbadna nargumentaw u hi qabdet libset u telqet il-barra flimkien ma' habibitha u minn dakinhar m'ergajniex ghexna flimkien. Għalhekk kull m'ghamilna flimkien mit-tieg kien madwar erba' gimħat. Dakinhar b'vendikazzjoni Svetlana kienet marret l-ghassa tal-Pulizija u għamlet rapport illi ahna konna qegħdin inpejju l-haxixa d-dar. Fil-fatt tard filghaxija kienew gew il-Pulizija d-dar waqt li jien kont qed innaddaf wara l-ikel, u wara li l-hbieb li kellna kien diga` telqu u għamlu tfttxija wara li nsistew li jfittxu d-dar ghall-haxixa u jien ippermettejtilhom, pero` fl-fatt ma sabu xejn. Imbagħad kellimt wieħed mill-Pulizija u dan qalli li marti kienet marret l-ghassa u kienet għamlet dan ir-rapport. Jien irrispondejtu illi kien minnu illi ahna dakinhar kellna argument bejnietna pero` jiena ghidlu illi jekk Svetlana riedet tigi lura jien kont lest illi nilqaghha lura.

Illi effettivament pero` Svetlana la giet lura dakinhar u lanqas wara. Fil-fatt hi marret toqghod il-hotel Milano Due. Minn hemm qbadna t-triq illi wasslet biex ġħamilna s-separazzjoni bonarja bejnietna fil-15 ta' Ottubru 1998 (u mhux tas-sena 1999 kif qalet Svetlana). Fil-perjodu meta hi telqet mid-dar sa meta ffirmajna s-separazzjoni

Svetlana baqghet tinsisti biex iggib ic-cittadinanza Maltija. Fil-fatt sakemm gejna biex niseparaw kienet diga` ottenietha. Ma dan l-affidavit fil-fatt jien qed nipprezenta kopja tal-kuntratt ta' separazzjoni konsenswali ta' bejni u Svetlana li qed jigi mmarkat Dok "AC 1". Nigbed l-attenzjoni ghall-fatt illi fid-dettalji ta' Svetlana hemm indikata anke l-Identity Card' illi kienet lahqed otteniet qabel gejna biex niseparaw. Fil-fatt sa fejn naf jiena, qabel is-separazzjoni Svetlana anke ivvutat fl-elezzjoni generali ta' Malta li kienet saret f'Settembru cjoe` xahar qabel is-separazzjoni. Jien ma nistax inkun preciz hafna dwar meta gabet ic-cittadinanza Maltija pero` li nista nghid huwa illi sahansitra f' dawk l-erba' gimghat illi ghamilna flimkien wara li zzewwigna, hi mill-ewwel hadet l-interess li tapplika ghac-cittadinanza u jien fil-fatt anke kont akkompanajjatha fid-dipartiment governattiv f' Kastilia biex hi tkun tista tapplika għaliha.

Illi naf li galadarba otteniet ic-cittadinanza Maltija, anke wara s-separazzjoni, Svetlana baqghet tħixx Malta u fil-fatt qabdet relazzjoni ma' xi haddiehor. Naf li minn dan ix-xi hadd iehor illum għandha tarbija.

Illi dwar l-allegazzjonijiet tagħha li hi kienet sorpriza b'xi mod bit-tendenzi tieghi, parti dak li diga` ghidt dwar il-hbieb tieghi illi introducejha magħhom sa minn qabel ma zzewwigna u anke l-'bar' li flh hi giet tiltaqa` mieghi, nixtieq insemmi zewg affarijet ohra. Kif fil-fatt qalet hi fl-affidavit tagħha ix-xhud tagħha kien Mark Felice persuna li tinduna mill-ewwel li hu 'gay' malli titfa` ghajnejk fuqu. Dwar l-incident imsemmi minn Svetlana u minn ommha dwar xi ritratti illi ommha qalet illi skopriet fid-dar tieghi, jiena dan l-incident ma nafx bih bl-ebda mod u m'huiwiex minnu li Svetlana qatt ikkonfrontatni b'dawn ir-ritratti illi semmiet. Għandi nghid pero` illi dwar li-fatt illil jiena gieli ilbist hwejjeg ta' tfajla, kif tidhol id-dar tieghi fuq wieħed mill-mobbli fl-ewwel kamra hemm ritratt tieghi li ilu għandi snin twal ta' meta kont hafna izghar u fih jien nidher propju liebes b'dan il-mod. Jien ma' dan l-affidavit qiegħed nipprezenta kopja ta' dan ir-ritratt Illi qed jigi markat bhala Dokument "AC2".

Illi apparti dan sar kontro-ezami ta' l-attrici fl-10 ta' Ottubru 2002 u wkoll ix-xhieda ta' Mark Felice tad-19 ta' Novembru 2002.

II) PRINCIPJI LEGALI.

Illi dawn it-tlett premessi li fuqhom hija bbazata l-azzjoni attrici huma kkontemplati fl-**artikolu 19 (1) (c), (d) u (f)** tal-Kap 255 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta u cjoe` l-Att dwar iz-Zwieg.

Illi l-attrici l-ewwel tissottometti illi z-zwieg bejnha u bejn il-konvenut għandu jigi dikjarat null inter alia a bazi ta' l-**artikolu 19 (1) (c) tal-Kap 255** li jghid:-

19 (1). “*B'zieda mal-kazijiet fejn zwieg ikun null skond xi dispozizzjoni ohra ta' dan l-Att, zwieg ikun null:*

(c) *jekk il-kunsens ta' xi wahda mill-partijiet ikun inkiseb b'qerq dwar xi kwalita` tal-parti l-ohra li tista' mix-xorta tagħha tfixxel serjament il-hajja mizzewga”.*

Il-Qrati tagħna dejjem sostnew illi taht dan is-subartikolu:-

“*Iz-zwieg huwa null jekk wahda mill-partijiet tagħti kunsens tagħha ghax tkun giet imqarqa jew mill-parti l-ohra jew minn xi hadd iehor dwar xi kwalita` tal-parti l-ohra*” (**“Sh A mart A Fattah xebba Perry vs Dr A Mifsud u PL Mifsud Bonnici”** – P.A. 22 ta' Novembru 1982).

Illi element importanti ta' dan is-subartikolu hu li l-qerq irid ikun serju bizzejjed li jfixxel serjament il-hajja mizzewga, u huwa mmaterjali jekk tali qerq jorigina minn naħha l-ohra jew minn terzi persuni, ghaliex li huwa mportanti u determinanti huwa li l-qerq ikun sar sabiex jahbi xi kondizzjoni, fatt, jew element serju fuq il-persuna jew il-hajja ta' wieħed mill-konjugi li jkun tali li jista' jagħti lok għal disgwid serju għal *consortium vitae* tant necessarju ghall-hajja mizzewga.

Illi fil-fatt **George V. Lobo** f“*The New Marriage Law*” jghid li “*As t. J. Green puts it: “The basic issue is not so*

much the fraud but rather the presence of a factor significantly disturbing the ‘consortium vitae.....if one judges from a contractualist perspective, fraud is juridically significant since the law seeks to protect one party from the machinations of the other distorting the agreement. However, from a personalist standpoint, the real issue is the disturbance of the ‘consortium vitae’ and the source of the error is juridically irrelevant”.

Illi wkoll il-legislatur Malti llimita l-kerq fuq “xi kwalita tal-parti l-ohra li tista’ mix-xorta tagħha tfixkel serjament il-hajja mizzewga”. Din il-kawzali giet ikkonsidrata minn din il-Qorti diversament presjeduta fil-kaz **“John Borg vs Paula sive Polly Borg”** (P.A. (VDG) 22 ta’ Mejju 1995 - Cit. Nru. 591/94VDG) fejn gie osservat li:-

“kieku dak il-paragrafu 19(1)(c) gie formulat b’mad differenti, wiehed ikun jista’ jghid li japplika ghaz-zwieg civili l-art.981 tal-Kodici Civili li evidentement hu aktar wiesha fil-portata tieghu. Il-legislatur Malti, izda, ghazel li jillimita l-kerq, bhala kawza ta’ nullita` ta’ zwieg, ghall-qera dwar dawk il-kwalitajiet, oggettivamente gravi, li jincidu fuq l-essenza, il-proprietajiet u l-ghanijiet taz-zwieg”.

*“The object of deceit must be a quality of the other contracting party which, in itself, will have to cause serious disturbance in the partnership of conjugal life; with this formula, the legislator intends that the quality **must be** objectively grave and establishes the partnership of conjugal life as an objective point of reference for the gravity of the quality so that the qualities are related to the essence, properties and ends of marriage. Therefore, those subjective qualities which cannot be objectively reconciled with conjugal partnership are irrelevant and, in this sense, they are merely arbitrary or trivial”. (**Viladrich P.J. Matrimonial Consent. Code of Canon Law Annot.** - Caparros, E. et al. ed)1993, Wilson and Lafleur , Montreal).*

Illi I-Qrati tagħna, nkluz fis-sentenza **“Carmelo Mifsud vs Anna Mifsud nee’ Ignacakova”** (P.A. (RCP) 13 ta’ Novembru 2002), dejjem sostnew li z-zwieg ikun null

skond dan is-sub-artikolu jekk wahda mill-partijiet taghti I-kunsens tagħha għax tkun giet imqarqa jew mill-parti I-ohra jew minn xi haddiehor dwar xi kwalita tal-parti I-ohra (“**Fattah xebba Perry vs Dr.A. Mifsud et**” (P.A. 22 ta Novembru 1982). Skond il-gurisprudenza fuq citata, element importanti ta’ dan is-subartikolu hu li I-qerq irid ikun serju bizzejjed li fixkel serjament il-hajja mizzewga.

L-attrici ssostni wkoll li I-kunsens tal-partijiet kien null a bazi ta’ **I-artikolu 19 (1) (d)** li jghid:

“(d) jekk il-kunsens ta’ xi wahda mill-partijiet ikun vizzjat b’difett serju ta’ diskrezzjoni ta’ gudizzju fuq il-hajja mizzewga, jew fuq id-drittijiet u d-dmirijiet essenziali tagħha, jew b’anomalija psikologika serja li tagħmilha mpossibbli għal dik il-parti li taqdi l-obbligazzjonijiet essenziali taz-zwieg”.

Illi dwar dan is-subartikolu din il-Qorti kif presjeduta diga` kellha okkazzjoni tezaminah, u għalhekk tagħmel referenza għas-sentenza “**Joseph Borg vs Maria Nicolina Cutajar**gia` **Borg**” (Cit Nru 1564/97/RCP – deciza fil-21 ta’ Ottubru 1999) u ghall-principji hemm enuncjati.

Illi fis-sentenza “**Kevin Spiteri vs Avukat Dr Renzo Porella Flores et noe**” (Cit Nru 2443/97/RCP – deciza 18 ta’ Jannar 2000) il-Qorti għamlet referenza għall-esposizzjoni ta’ **Viladrich**:-

“Thus, there is grave lack [of discretion of judgement] when it is proven that a contracting party lacks intellectual and volitional maturity necessary to discern, in view of binding oneself in an irrevocable manner, the essential rights and duties of marriage, which are the object of mutual surrender and acceptance. The discretion of judgement refers to that degree of maturity of comprehension and of will of the contracting parties which enables them to give and receive each other, through a juridical bond, in a unique community of life and love. This community is indissolubly faithful, ordered to the good of the spouses as well as to the procreation and education of

the offspring" (Viladrich, P.J., Matrimonial Consent in Code of Canon Law Annotated (Montreal, 1993), p. 686).

Illi kwantu ghall-obbligazzjonijiet essenzjali taz-zwieg, din il-Qorti tifhem li, fin-nuqqas ta' definizzjoni jew indikazzjoni fil-**Kap. 255**, dawn l-obbligazzjonijiet essenzjali huma dawk li fis-socjeta` Maltija dejjem u invarjabbilment gew ritenuti bhala l-obbligazzjonijiet essenzjali taz-zwieg. Dawn huma "*the obligation concerning the conjugal act or carnal union, as bodily union and basis of procreation; the obligation of the community of life and love as an expression of the union between man and woman, mutual well-being, which is inseparable from the provision of an environment conducive to the reception and education of children; and the obligation to receive and bring up children within the context of a conjugal community. It is important to remember that these essential obligations must be mutual, permanent, continuous, exclusive and irrevocable so that there would be incapacity if one of the contracting parties should be, due to a psychological cause, incapable of assuming these obligations with these essential characteristics*" (Viladrich, P.J., op.cit., p. 687).

Illi dwar l-ahhar prenessa ta' l-attrici bbazata fuq l-**artikolu 19 (1) (f)**, dan jipprovdi li z-zwieg ikun null:-

19 (1) (f) "*jejk il-kunsens ta' xi wahda mill-partijiet ikun inkiseb bl-eskluzjoni pozittiva taz-zwieg innifsu jew ta' xi wiehed jew aktar mill-elementi essenzjali tal-hajja mizzewga, jew tad-dritt ghall-att taz-zwieg*".

Illi dan is-sub-artikolu wkoll gie diskuss u ezaminat fis-sentenza "**Nicolai Balzan vs Simone Cremona**" minn din il-Qorti kif presjeduta (Cit Nru 1019/98/RCP – deciza fid-9 ta' Marzu 2000) u a skans ta' ripetizzjoni qed issir referenza ghall-principji hemm enuncjati. Illi inoltre fis-sentenza "**Theresa Taguri nee Spiteri vs Avukat Christopher Cilia et noe**" (Cit Nru 3130/96/NA – deciza fl-10 ta' Novembru 1999) gie ritenut illi:

"Fl-interpretazzjoni ta' dan is-sub-inciz gie ritenut mill-Qorti tagħna illi l-eskluzjoni positiva ma kellhiex neċċesarjament tirrizulta biss minn xi haga espressa direttament izda setghet tigi espressa bl-imgieba ta' xi parti fil-perjodu immedjatamente qabel u wara li jkun inkiseb l-istess kunsens".

Illi mill-provi prodotti jidher li t-talbiet attrici għandhom jigu milqugha abbazi tal-**artikolu 19 (1) (d) u (f) tal-Kap 255 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta**, u dan peress li din il-Qorti hija konvinta mix-xhieda fuq riportatata u minn dik li ingħatat viva voce quddiem l-Assistent Gudizzjarju Dr. Kenneth Gulia li l-partijiet ma ma kellhom id-diskrezzjoni necessarja sabiex fl-ewwel lok jifhmu l-kuncett ta' zwieg fil-ligi tagħna, u wkoll kellhom difett fil-kunsens rispettiv tagħhom ghall-istess zwieg *stante* li pozittivament eskludew l-elementi essenżjali tal-hajja mizzewga fil-hajja tagħhom, u fil-fatt l-istess zwieg tagħhom dam biss ftit gimħat.

Illi jekk wieħed iħares lejn ix-xhieda prodotta f'dan il-process, lanqas għandu jkun sorpriz b'dan *stante* li l-Qorti hija konvinta mill-kumpless tal-provi li l-unika raguni ghaliex l-istess attrici zzewġet kienet biss sabiex totjeni ic-cittadinanza Maltija, tant li kif din ottjenietha wara ftit abbandunat id-dar konjugali; l-attrici fil-fatt dahlet għal din l-unjoni konxja mill-fatt, li l-konvenut kien omrossesswali, u fil-fatt dan il-konvenut qatt ma hbieh mill-attrici, ghalkemm hija sostniet li huwa kien qalilha li kien bis-sesswali, pero` min-naha l-ohra kemm qabel u wara z-zwieg qatt ma jidher li kellel relazzjoni magħha.

Illi minn naħha l-ohra l-konvenut jammetti li kien u huwa omrossesswali pero` accetta li jizzewweg lill-attrici ghaliex qal li xtaq ikollu t-tfal, u certament li minn din l-ammissjoni jidher car li l-elementi l-ohra essenżjali taz-zwieg gew kollha injorati minnu u certament li l-istess konvenut qatt ma seta` fil-fatt jaderixxi ruħħu għalihom.

Illi in vista ta' dan kollu jidher car li z-zwieg bejn il-kontendenti għandu jigi ddikjarat null fuq il-bazi hawn ezaminata, pero` mhux fuq il-bazi tal-**artikolu 19 (1) (c) stante** li l-konvenut qatt ma heba l-omosswalita` tieghu

mill-attrici, u dan anke mill-postijiet u hbieb li kien jiffrekwenta li laqqa` ma' l-attrici wkoll.

Illi dwar **l-artikolu 19 A (1) tal-Kap 255** dan jipprovdi li:-

“Iz-zwieg validu jista’ jigi annullat fuq talba ta’ parti mizzewga wkoll minhabba li l-parti l-ohra tkun irrifjutat tikkonsma l-istess zwieg”.

Illi hawn il-Qorti tirreferi ghas-sentenza **“Carmela Barbara vs Fathy abd el-Halim el Khouly et”** (P.A. (RCP) 30 ta' Ottubru 2002) fejn inghad li:-

“wara dak li intqal aktar il-fuq din hija biss kwistjoni akademika, pero’ din il-Qorti thoss li dan l-artikolu japplika biss fil-kaz ta’ zwieg li jkun validu ghall-finijiet u effetti kollha tal-ligi, u ghalhekk la darba dan iz-zwieg gja gie ddikjarat iktar il-fuq li huwa null minhabba difett fil-kunsens, mela allura dan l-artikolu ma jistax jigi applikat ghall-kaz de quo u allura t-talba fuq il-bazi ta’ dan l-artikolu għandha tigi michuda”.

III. KONKLUZJONI.

Illi għalhekk għal dawn il-motivi, din il-Qorti, **taqta’ u tiddeciedi**, billi filwaqt li tichad l-eccezzjonijiet tal-konvenut inkwantu l-istess huma inkompattibbli ma’ dak hawn deciz, **tilqa’ t-talbiet attrici** b’dan illi:-

1. Tiddikjara z-zwieg tal-kontendenti ikkuntrattat fir-Registru taz-Zwigijet ta’ Malta fid-29 ta’ Novembru 1997 null u bla effett ai termini ta’ l-artikolu **19(1) (d) u (f) tal-Kap. 255** tal-Ligijiet ta’ Malta għar-ragunijiet imputabbi liz-zewg partijiet.
2. Tawtorizza lill-attrici tirreverti għal kunjom xbubitha ‘Minaeva.’

Bl-ispejjeż jinqasmu bin-nofs bejn iz-zewg partijiet.

Moqrija.

Kopja Informali ta' Sentenza

-----TMIEM-----