



QORTI ĆIVILI - PRIM' AWLA SEDE KOSTITUZZJONALI

**ONOR IMHALLEF
DR FRANCESCO DEPASQUALE
LL.D. LL.M. (IMLI)**

**Seduta ta' nhar it-Tlieta
Tmintax (18) ta' Frar 2025**

Rikors Numru 198/2024 FDP

Fl-ismijiet

**Bin Han (KI: 524413L) u
Jia Liu (KI:64215A)**

Vs

**L-Avukat tal-Istat u
L-Avukat Ģeneral**

Il-Qorti:-

1. Rat ir-rikors datat 19 ta' April 2024, li permezz tiegħu r-rikorrenti talbu s-segwenti:

Illi huma tressqu quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014 akkużati inter alia bir-reati kontemplati fl-Artikoli 17, 18, 31, 121D, 293, 294, 310(1)(a) tal-Kapitolu 9 tal-Ligjijiet ta' Malta u Artikolu 13 tal-Kapitolu 249 tal-Ligjijiet ta' Malta, Artikolu 2 tat-tieni parti tal-ewwel titolu u l-Artikoli 45(1)(2), 47 u 18 tal-Kapitolu 452 tal-Ligjijiet ta' Malta, u r-Regolamenti 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 u 22 tal-L.N. 247/2003 (L.S. 452.87), kif emendata bil-L.N. 427/2007 u L.N. 259/2012;

Illi b'sentenza tal-21 ta' Marzu 2022 il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta' Ĝudikatura Kriminali, (i) illiberat lir-rikorrent Jia Liu mill-akkuža kollha, (ii) illiberat lir-rikorrent Bin Han mill-ewwel akkuża, u nstab ġati fuq l-akkuži l-oħra, u ġie kkundannat sentejn (2) ġabs sospiża ghall-perjodu t'erbgħa (4) snin u (iii) l-Qorti imponiet multa ta' mitejn elf Ewro (EUR200,000) fuq il-kumpanija Leisure Clothing Ltd;

Illi kemm ir-rikorrenti Bin Han u Jia Liu u l-Avukat Ģeneral appellaw din is-sentenza;

Illi l-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali permezz ta' sentenza mogħtija fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, fil-waqt li rriġettat l-appell ta' Bin Han u Jia Liu, akkoljet l-appell tal-Avukat Ĝeneral, sabet lil Bin Han ħati tal-akkużi kollha dedotti, sabet ħati lil Jia Liu, u kkundannathom għal sitt (6) snin priġunerija, u kkonfermat dik il-parti tas-sentenza fejn il-kumpanija ġiet ikkundannata thallas mitejn elf Ewro (Eur 200,000). Il-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali kkonfermat ukoll l-ordni tal-konfiska tal-assi tar-rikorrenti.

Illi rriżulta pero li kien hemm għal tal-inqas żewġ proċeduri kontra l-esponenti fuq l-istess fatti:

- 1) Ittieħdu proċeduri kontra l-esponenti b'referenza EP658/14 DIER 260/14, deċiża 29 ta' Settembru 2016 mill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta' Ĝudikatura Kriminali preseduta mill-Maġistrat Donatella Frendo Dimech. Hawn l-esponenti ġew kkundannati EUR500 multa. Illi, l-atti flimkien mas-sentenza ta' dawn il-proċeduri qed jiġu eżebit bħala **DOKA**.
- 2) Ittieħdu l-proċeduri kriminali mertu ta' dan ir-rikors, ossia dawk deċiża mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali permezz ta' sentenza mogħtija fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023.

Illi minn issa jiġi wkoll enfasizzat li s-sentenza mogħtija mill-Maġistrat Donatella Frendo Dimech su indikata kienet bbażata kompletament fuq l-istess fatti, l-istess akkużati de proprio, u l-akkuża kienet ukoll identika għal waħda mill-akkużi li kienet mogħtija lill-esponenti.

Illi jirriżulta b'mod inekwivoku li l-kawża b'referenza EP654/14 DIER 260/14 ġiet finalment deċiża fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, u jirriżulta manifestament mill-qari tal-atti ta' din il-kawża li qed jiġu, kif intqal qabel, eżebiti bħala dokument A, li din il-kawża hi bbażata fuq l-istess fatti.

Illi għalhekk l-esponent indubbjament ġie ġġudikat fuq l-istess fatti żgur darbtejn f'żewġ proċeduri separati, dik tas-6 ta' Jannar 2023 tal-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali u dik deċiża fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016 mill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta' Ĝudikatura Kriminali fejn l-esponenti ġew kkundannati multa ta' EUR500.

Illi din is-sentenza tal-aħħar ġiet eżegwita tant li l-esponenti ħallsu l-multa, kopja ta' liema rċevuti qed jiġu eżebiti bħala **DOKB**.

Illi l-kwistjoni ta' res judicata qed titqajjem fir-rigward tal-kawża deċiża mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali peress li din ġiet deċiża sussegwentement minn dik mogħtija mill-Qrati tal-Maġistrati.

Illi indubbjament l-esponenti qatt ma setgħu jiġu akkużati u ġġudikati mill-ġdid fuq l-istess fatti u dan anke skont il-Kodiċi Kriminali, il-Kostituzzjoni u l-Konvenzjoni Ewropea.

Illi l-Kostituzzjoni fl-artikolu 39(9) tagħha tipprovdi hekk:

“Ebda persuna li turi li tkun għaddiet proċeduri quddiem xi qorti kompetenti għal reat kriminali u jew tkun ġiet misjuba ħatja jew liberata ma għandha terġa’ tgħaddi proċeduri għal dak ir-reat jew għal xi reat kriminali ieħor li għaliex setgħat tīġi misjuba ħatja fil-proċeduri għal dak ir-reat ħlief wara ordni ta’ qorti superjuri mogħti matul il-kors ta’ appell jew proċeduri ta’ reviżjoni dwar id-dikjarazzjoni ta’ ħtija jew liberazzjoni; u ebda persuna ma għandha tgħaddi proċeduri għal reat kriminali jekk turi li tkun ħadet il-mahħfa għal dak ir-reat”

Illi l-Konvenzjoni Ewropea fl-artikolu 4 tal-Protokoll 7 tgħid:

“Hadd ma jista’ jkun ipproċessat jew jerġa’ jiġi kkastigat għal darb oħra fi proċedimenti kriminali taħt il-ġurisdizzjoni tal-istess Stat għal xi reat li dwaru jkun diġa` ġie finalment liberat jew misjub ħati skont il-ligi u l-proċedura penali ta’ dak l-Istat.”

Illi l-artikolu 527 tal-Kap. 9 jgħid:

“Wara sentenza li f’kawża tillibera imputat jew akkużat, dan ma jistax għall-istess fatt ikun suġġett għal kawża oħra.”

*Illi fis-sentenza **Ir-Repubblika ta’ Malta vs Christian Grech¹** intqal hekk:*

“L-Ewwel Eċċezzjoni – Ne bis in idem

“Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali

“Li l-pożizzjoni legali dwar in-ne bis in idem kif toħroġ mill-każistika tal-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali hija s-segwenti:

“(a) Meta fatt jivvjola aktar minn provvediment wieħed tal-Liġi.

“Illi jista’ jiġri li l-istess fatt jista’ jivvjola aktar minn provvediment wieħed tal-ġiġi u għalhekk jista’ joħloq diversi raġunijiet għall-inkriminazzjoni. X’inhu fatt kien spjegat fil-kaz ‘Rex versus Rosaria Portelli’ fil-kaz deċiż fit-23 ta’ Frar, 1904 (Vol.XIX.P.IV p1). Il-Qorti kienet qalet hekk:

“La legge intende il fatto principale in quanto meritevole di pena, o come altri si espresse non intende semplicemente il fatto storico o naturale nei suoi diversi momenti ma il fatto giuridico nel suo complesso. ’

“Dwar dan il-Professur Mamo fin-Noti tiegħi dwar il-Proċedura (pagina 45) jgħid hekk:

“In any such case if the agent is tried for any one of the several violations of the law arising out of that fact, be it even the least

¹ Deċiżja fit-12 ta' Diċembru, 2013 (Att ta' Akkuża Nru. 6/2011).

serious, and a judgement is given, it shall not be lawful to subject the agent to another trial for the more serious violations. This principle, first expressly affirmed in ‘Rex versus Rosaria Portelli’ has now become settled law.’

Illi in oltre fis-sentenza Zolotukhin vs Russja² mogħtija mill-Grand Chamber intqal hekk:

“Accordingly, the Court takes the view that Article 4 of Protocol No. 7 must be understood as prohibiting the prosecution or trial of a second offence in so far as it arises from identical facts or facts which are substantially the same. The guarantee enshrined in Article 4 or Protocol 7 becomes relevant on commencement of new prosecution where prior acquittal or conviction has already acquired the force of res judicata. At this juncture, the available material will necessarily comprise the decision by which the first ‘penal procedure’ was concluded and the list of charges leveled against the applicant in the new proceedings.”

Illi naturalment din hija kwistjoni serjissima għaliex ifisser li l-esponenti qatt ma misshom tressqu il-Qorti mill-ġdid aħseb u ara kkundannati sitta (6) snin prigunerija kull wieħed inkluż il-konfiska ta’ l-assi tagħhom kollha.

Illi l-esponenti jħossu li l-prosekuzzjoni messha qajjmet dan l-istat ta’ fatt hija stess għaliex il-prosekuzzjoni fil-kamp kriminali dejjem kienet meqjusa l-akbar ħabib ta’ l-akkużat.

Illi konsegwentement l-esponenti qiegħdin isofru leżjoni manifesta u lampanti taħt l-artikolu 4 tal-Protokoll 7 u l-artikolu 39(9) tal-Kostituzzjoni.

Għaldaqstant l-esponenti jitkolu umilment li din l-Onorabbli Qorti jogħġgħobha:

1. *Tiddikjara illi l-fatt illi l-esponenti ġew ipproċessati fuq l-istess fatti żgur aktar minn darba ossia i) il-proceduri deciżi mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali permezz ta’ sentenza mogħtija fis-6 ta’ Jannar 2023 u ii) proċeduri deciżi mill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta’ Ĝudikatura Kriminali presjeduta mill-Maġistrat Donatella Frendo Dimech fid-29 ta’ Settembru 2016 (Kaž numru 654/14), jilledi d-dritt tagħhom skont l-artikolu 4 tal-Protokoll 7 tal-Konvenzjoni Ewropea u l-artikolu 39(9) tal-Kostituzzjoni, u*
2. *Tagħmel dawk l-ordnijiet, toħroġ dawk l-atti u tagħti dawk id-direttivi li tqis xierqa, sabiex twettaq, jew tiżgura t-twettiq tad-drittijiet fundamentali tar-rikorrenti, inkluż li tkassar u tikkancella s-sentenza mogħtija mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali fis-6 ta’ Jannar 2023 u tordna l-iskarżerazzjoni tal-esponenti.*

² Deċċiża mill-Qorti Ewropea għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fl-10 February 2009 (Application no. 14939/03).

2. Rat illi fl-24 ta' April 2024 il-kawża giet appuntata għas-smiegħ għat-3 ta' ġunju 2024.
3. Rat illi fis-17 ta' Mejju 2024 l-**Avukat tal-Istat u L-Avukati Ġenerali** irrisponda għal dak mitlub billi qajjem is-segwenti difiżi:

Illi l-lanjanza tar-rikorrenti hija bbażata fuq allegat ksur tal-artikolu 4 tal-Protokoll 7 tal-Konvenzjoni Ewropea għall-Protezzjoni tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem u tal-Libertajiet Fundamentali u tal-artikolu 39 (9) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta u dan stante li skont ir-rikorrenti allegatament huma lkoll ġew ipproċessati fuq l-istess fatti darbejn ossia i) il-proċeduri deċiżi mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali permezz ta' Sentenza fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023 u ii) proċeduri li kienu nbdew quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta' Ĝudikatura Kriminali presjeduta l-Ewwel mill-Maġistrat Carol Peralta imbagħad mill-Maġistrat Dr Donatella Frendo Dimech fid-9 ta' Ottubru 2015 (Każ Numru EP658/14; DIER 260/14); u għalhekk qeqħdin jitkolbu lil dina l-Onorabbi Qorti tagħmel dawk l-ordnijiet, toħroġ dawk l-atti u tagħti dawk id-direttivi li tqis xierqa, sabiex twettaq, jew tiżgura t-twettiq tad-drittijiet fundamentali tar-rikorrent, inkluż li tkollas il-proċeduri kollha deċiżi mill-Qorti tal-Appelli Kriminali fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023 fil-konfront tal-esponenti u tordna s-skarċerazzjoni tal-esponenti;

Eċċeżzjonijiet

1. *Illi l-esponenti jeċċepixxu illi t-talbiet tar-rikorrenti qeqħdin jiġu kkontestati u qeqħdin jiġu meqjusa bħala infondati fil-fatt u fid-dritt;*
2. *Illi l-esponenti jeċċepixxu n-nuqqas ta' eżawriment tar-rimedji ordinarji da parti tar-rikorrenti stante illi kemm quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati fil-proċeduri EP658/2014 DIER 260.14 u quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati u quddiem il-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali fil-proċeduri 1128/2014 huma dejjem kellhom l-opportunita illi jqajmu d-difīza ta' 'Ne bis in idem' fi kwalsiasi stadju imma dan, għal xi raġuni jew oħra, m'għamluhx. Għalhekk l-esponenti jistiednu lil dina l-Onorabbi Qorti sabiex tiddeklina milli teżerċita l-ġurisdizzjoni konvenzjonal tagħha ai termini tal-proviso għall-Artikolu 4 (2) tal-Att dwar il-Konvenzjoni Ewropea (Kap. 319 tal-Ligjiet ta' Malta) u tal-Artikolu 46 (2) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta³;*
3. *Illi l-esponenti jeċċepixxu illi l-proċeduri odjerni huma abbuż tal-proċeduri ġudizzjarji stante illi r-rikorrenti diġi kienet niedu kawża kcostituzzjonal dwar in-‘ne bis in idem’ (kawża numru 390/2023 u 390/2023/1) imma ċedew l-istess kawża ġimgħa qabel ma dik l-Onorabbi Qorti kif kienet presjeduta. Illi quddiem dik il-Qorti kif presjeduta saret talba fl-20 ta' Marzu 2024 sabiex isiru sottomissjoni jiet ulterjuri għal dawk diġi ppreżentati preċedentament liema talba għiet*

³ Illi, minbarra dan, l-istħarrig tal-allegazzjoni imqanġla minn parti mixiċċa b'reat li dik il-proċedura hija ripetizzjoni ta' proċedura bħalha li diġi' kienet ittieħdet kontrihha ghall-istess reat għandu jsir mill-Qorti li quddiemha titqangal l-insemmija eċċeżzjoni. Sakemm dan isir u l-fatt li dan isir, huwa meqjus bhala stadju proċedurali li jżomm milli jsehh ksur tal-artikolu 39(9) tal-Kostituzzjoni - P.A. (Kost) 10.5.1990 fil-kawża fl-ismijiet Gauci vs Kummissarju tat-Taxxi Interni et (Kollez. Vol: LXXIV.iii.525); U

Illi dak l-artikolu lanqas ma jżomm milli jittieħdu kontra l-istess persuna proċedimenti ta' għamlu kriminali f'ġurisdizzjoni jippreva differenti dwar l-istess għamli. Fuq kollo, dak l-artikolu jaġġi biss dwar proċedimenti preċedenti li jkollhom it-timbru ta' għiduk. Jidher li, għall-finijiet tal-Konvenzjoni, deċiżjoni titqies bhala għiduk meta tkun irrevokabbli u meta ma jkun għad-fatal l-ebda rimedju iehor disponibbli għall-partijiet li jiista' jidbel dik id-deċiżjoni - Ara t-tif-sira mogħtija fit-Tielet Skeda meħmuwa mal-Att XIV tal-1987 li hija silta mir-Rapport Spjegattiv għas-Seba' Protokoll li hemm mal-Konvenzjoni, par. 22

miċħuda permezz ta' digriet tat-22 ta' Marzu 2024. Għaldaqstant, il-kawża odjerna m'hija xejn għajr tentattiv ieħor ta' forum shopping da parti tar-rikorrenti u għandha tiġi dikjarata bħala tali;

4. Illi l-esponenti huma tal-fehma illi l-provi li ġew prodotti fil-proċeduri 102/2023, 102/2023/1 u 390/2023/1AD u 390/2023AD fl-istess ismijiet għandhom jiġu annessi u għandom japplikaw għal vertenza odjerna u dana għas-speditezza tal-ġudizzju u sabiex tiġi evitata ripetizzjoni odjuža;
5. Illi l-esponenti jirrilevaw illi meta wieħed jitkellem dwar l-eċċeżżjoni tan-‘ne bis in idem’ fil-qasam tad-dritt penali taħt l-ordinament ġuridiku tagħna, wieħed iqis li jeħtieg jintwera li l-persuna li tkun tqiegħdet taħt akkuża oħra trid tkun l-istess waħda li kienet tqiegħdet taħt l-ewwel proċedura u wkoll li tkun hekk tressqet dwar l-istess fatt. Kifingħad, “*Bl-espressjoni ‘l-istess fatt’ wieħed għandu jifhem il-fatt fiżikament rigwardat, konsistenti għalhekk kemm fl-element materjali – att ta’ kommissjoni jew ommissjoni – kif ukoll dak formal li tiegħi. Mhux biżżejjed li l-fatt ikun jirrigwarda l-istess oġgett; hekk, per eżempju, min f’okkażjoni partikolari jagħmel ħsara volontarja fuq proprieta’ ta’ ġaddieħor u f’okkażjoni oħra jerġa’ jagħmel l-istess tip ta’ ħsara fuq l-istess proprieta’ ma jista’ bl-ebda mod jeċepixxi r-res judicata” (App. Krim. Inf VDG 19.2.1999 fil-kawża fl-ismijiet **Il-Pulizija vs Anthony Vella et** (mhix pubblikata)). Madankollu, għall-finijiet ta’ stħarriġ ta’ ksur ta’ jedd fundamentali – kif ingħad aktar qabel – tajjeb li wieħed iżom quddiem għajnejh li, filwaqt li l-artikolu 527 tal-Kodiċi Kriminali jitkellem dwar “l-istess fatt” (Ara App. Krim Inf. PV 12.12.2000 fil-kawża fl-ismijiet **Il-Pulizija vs Domenic Abela** (mhix pubblikata)), l-artikolu 39(9) tal-Kostituzzjoni jitkellem dwar “(l-istess) reat”;*
6. Illi l-principju tan-‘ne bis in idem’ jimplika, tabilfors, li tkun teżisti sentenza jew deċiżjoni li tiswa (għalkemm mhux bilfors tkun waħda li fiha l-akkużat ikun instab ħati) bħala ostaklu għal proċedura dwar l-istess reat warajha. Illi s-soluzzjoni tal-kwistjoni dwar jekk il-proċeduri pendenti quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati kontra l-akkużat jikkostitwux ksur tal-jedd tiegħi tan-ne bis in idem, tiddeppendi mill-isfera ta’ applikazzjoni tal-artikolu 4 tas-Seba’ Protokoll. Il-Qrati jidentifikaw dawn l-elementi biex jista’ jingħad li tali jedd ikun inkiser: (i) teħid ta’ proċeduri jew l-ghoti ta’ piena mill-ġdid; (ii) fi proċediment kriminali; (iii) għal reat li dwaru tkun digħà ngħatat **deċiżjoni finali**; (iv) fl-istess ġurisdizzjoni; u (v) skont il-ligi u l-proċedura ta’ dak il-pajjiż. L-ghan ewljeni ta’ dan l-artikolu huwa għalhekk dak li jżomm milli ssir ripetizzjoni fl-istess Stat ta’ proċeduri kriminali li jkunu ntemmu qabel b’deċiżjoni finali (Ara **Gradinger vs Austria** (23.10.1995) (Applik. Nru. 15963/90), par. 53);
7. Illi fil-qasam tad-dritt penali jidher li huwa principju aċċettat li, bi-thaddim tar-regola tan-‘ne bis in idem’, “*The criminal action is extinguished ... when there has been one act on the part of the accused and he has already been convicted (or acquitted) of an offence founded on such act, and afterwards, he is again brought up for judgment on a different charge, but founded on the same act*” (App. Krim. Inf.

15.11.1941 fil-kawża fl-ismijiet Giuseppe Baldacchino vs Giuseppe Buhiagar (kif imsemmija fil-ktieb “Recent Criminal Cases Annotated” tal-Prof. W. Harding);

8. Illi l-esponenti jeċepixxu illi fil-każ in eżami ma ježistux l-elementi tal-prinċipju ta’ ‘Ne bis in idem’;
9. Illi l-esponenti jeċepixxu illi t-talba tar-rikorrenti illi jitħassru l-proċeduri 1128/2014 inkluż is-Sentenzi li ngħataw mill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati fil-21 ta’ Marzu 2022 u l-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali (sede inferjuri) fis-6 ta’ Jannar 2023 kif ukoll is-skarċerazzjoni tar-rikorrent huma talbiet improponibbli u/jew sproporzjonati. Sabiex wieħed biss iġib eżempju, l-akkuža ta’ human trafficking u exploitation ta’ impjegati b’ebda mod ma tigi affetwata b’xi allegati deċiżjonijiet dwar akkuži minuri;
10. Għalhekk u fid-dawl tas-suespost l-allegazzjonijiet u t-talbiet tar-rikorrenti għandhom jiġu miċħuda bl-ispejjeż kontra tagħhom;
11. Salvi eċċeżżjonijiet ulterjuri;
12. Bl-ispejjeż.

Provi

4. Rat id-dokumentazzjoni eżebita mir-rikorrenti flimkien mar-rikors promotur, ossija:
 - a. Dokumentazzjoni varja dwar proċeduri EP 658/14 DIER 260/14 deċiżi, fuq ammissjoni tar-rikorrenti fid-29 ta’ Settembru 2016;
 - b. Żewġ ħlasjiet ta’ €250 kull wieħed, magħmula b’ċekkijiet maħruġa mill-kont bankarju tas-soċjeta' Leisure Clothing Limited datati 29 ta’ Settembru 2016 għal ħlas tal-multa Nru 2985/2016 inflitta fuq Bin Han u l-multa Nru 2986/2016 inflitta fuq Liu Jia.
5. Rat illi fis-seduta tat-3 ta’ Ġunju 2024, ir-rikorrenti gharrfu lill-Qorti illi kellhom bżonn seduta sabiex jippreżentaw il-provi tagħhom, u għalhekk il-kawża ġiet differita għall-provi rikorrenti għas-27 ta’ Novembru 2024.
6. Rat illi fis-6 ta’ Ċunju 2024, permezz ta’ nota debitament awtorizzata mill-Qorti, ir-Registratur tal-Qrati u Tribunali Kriminali ippreżenta kopja elettronika tal- Appell Nru 1128/2014 fl-ismijiet **Il-Pulizija vs Han Bin, Liu Jia**, deċiż fis-6 ta’ Jannar 2023, kif ukoll kopja elettronika tal-proċeduri sommarji DIER EP658/14260/2014 deċiżi fid-29 ta’ Settembru 2016.
7. Rat illi fil-25 ta’ Ġunju 2024, ir-rikorrenti reġgħu ppreżentaw kopji tal-ħlasjiet ta’ €250 kull wieħed, għal ħlas tal-multa Nru 2985/2016 inflitta fuq Bin Han u l-multa Nru 2986/2016 inflitta fuq Liu Jia.
8. Rat illi fil-25 ta’ Ġunju 2024, ir-rikorrenti intavolaw rikors fejn gharrfu lill-Qorti li jkun opportun illi jiġu allegati l-atti tal-kawża 390/2023AD, wara liema allegazzjoni, huma ma jjkollhomx aktar provi, u għalhekk talbu lil dina l-Qorti sabiex tirrikjama l-kawża

f'data aktar viċina sabiex l-intimati jippreżentaw il-provi tagħhom u l-kawża titħallha għas-sottomissjonijiet finali.

9. Rat illi fid-29 ta' Awissu 2024, l-intimati indikaw illi, apparti l-allegazzjoni tal-kawża 390/2023AD, huma riedu jressqu bhala xhieda lill-Spettur Joseph Busuttil.
10. Rat illi fis-27 ta' Novembru 2024, il-Qorti ordnat l-allegazzjoni tal-atti 390/2023 AD.
11. Semgħet ix-xhieda tas-Supretendent Joseph Busuttil, mressaq mill-intimati u mogħtija fis-27 ta' Novembru 2024.
12. Rat illi fis-27 ta' Novembru 2024, il-partijiet għarrfu illi ma kellhomx aktar provi w-ghalhekk il-kawża setgħet titħallha għas-sentenza bil-fakolta' tan-noti.
13. Rat illi fis-27 ta' Novembru 2024, il-kawża ġiet differita għas-sentenza għat-18 ta' Frar 2025.
14. Rat illi fit-23 ta' Dicembru 2024, ġiet ippreżentata n-nota ta' sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub tar-rikorrenti.
15. Rat illi fit-28 ta' Jannar 2025, ġiet ippreżentata n-nota ta' sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub ta' l-intimati.
16. Rat l-atti tal-proċeduri Kostituzzjonali 390/2023 AD fl-ismijiet '**Bin Han et vs l-Avukat tal-Istat et**' ceduta mill-istess rikorrenti fit-2 ta' April 2024 "sabiejjir jirregolaw ruħhom aħjar stante li ġiet fil-pussess tagħhom dokumenti ulterjuri pertinenti l-lanjanzi tagħhom f'dan l-istadju tardiv" (fol 200), liema atti ġew allegati mal-proċeduri odjerni fuq talba tar-rikorrenti.

Baži tal-Azzjoni

17. Jirriżulta, illi permezz tal-proċeduri odjerni, ir-rikorrenti qed jilmentaw illi deċiżjoni mogħtija fil-konfront tagħhom mill-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023 kienet tilledi d-dritt tagħhom skond l-Artikolu 4 tal-Protokol 7 tal-Konvenzjoni Ewropeja kif ukoll tal-Artikolu 39 (9) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta.
18. L-Artikolu 4 tal-Protokol 7 tal-Konvenzjoni Ewropeja jipprovd i dan li ġej:

Artikolu 4 - Id-dritt li persuna ma tiġix ipproċessata jew ikkastigata darbtejn.

1. *Hadd ma jista' jkun ipproċessat jew jerġa' jiġi kkastigat għal darb'oħra fi proċedimenti kriminali taħt il-ġurisdizzjoni tal-İstess Stat għal xi reat li dwaru jkun digħi ġie finalment liberat jew misjub ħati skont il-ligi u l-proċedura penali ta' dak l-Istat.*
2. *Id-disposizzjonijiet tal-paragrafu preċedenti ma għandhomx iżommu milli l-każ-żejt jidher skont il-ligi u l-proċedura penali tal-Istat in kwistjoni, jekk ikun hemm provi ta' xi fatti ġodda jew li jkunu għadhom kif ġew żvelati, jew inkella jekk ikun hemm xi vizzju fondamentali fil-proċedimenti ta' qabel, li jista' jkollhom effett fuq kif jiżvolgi l-każ-*

3. Ebda deroga minn dan l-artikolu ma għandha ssir taħt l-artikolu 15 tal-Konvenzjoni.

19. L-Artikolu 39 (9) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta jipprovdi dan li ġej:

(9) Ebda persuna li turi li tkun ghaddiet proċeduri quddiem xi qorti kompetenti għal reat kriminali u jew tkun ġiet misjuba ħatja jew liberata ma għandha terġa' tgħaddi proċeduri għal dak ir-reat jew għal xi reat kriminali ieħor li għaliex setgħat tigi misjuba ħatja fil-proċeduri għal dak ir-reat ħlief wara ordni ta' qorti superjuri mogħti matul il-kors ta' appell jew proċeduri ta' reviżjoni dwar id-dikjarazzjoni ta' ħtija jew liberazzjoni; u ebda persuna ma għandha tgħaddi proċeduri għal reat kriminali jekk turi li tkun ħadet il-mahfra għal dak ir-reat:

20. Jirriżulta illi l-baži tal-argument kollu tagħhom huwa li, permezz tas-sentenza mogħtija fil-konfront tagħhom mill-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, huma nstabu ħatja ta' akkuži illi kienu ġia nstabu ħatja tagħhom preċedentement permezz ta' proċeduri distinti minn dawk deċiżi mill-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali, u li kienu ġew deċiżi kontra tagħhom mill-Qorti tal-Magistrati (Malta) fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014.
21. Jirriżulta, għalhekk, illi r-rkorrenti ikkontendew illi, fil-konfront tagħhom, kellu japplika l-principju ta' *nebis in idem*, u għalhekk id-deċiżjoni mogħtija mill-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali tas-6 ta' Jannar 2023 li biha huma ġew ikkundannati sitt snin priġunerija, li huma preżentement qed jiskontaw, kellha tigi mħassra u kanċellata, u għalhekk kellhom jiġu skarċerati minnufih.

Proċeduri Ĝudizzjarji

22. Jirriżulta illi, ladarba l-qofol tat-talba tar-rkorrenti hija l-fatt li nstabu ħatja darbtejn ta' l-istess akkuži, il-Qorti jidħilrhha opportun illi jiġu riprodotti kemm l-akkuži illi tagħhom instabu ħatja fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014, u dawk illi nstabu ħatja tagħhom fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023.
23. Jirriżulta illi, fis-**7 ta' Ottubru 2015**, quddiem il-Qorti tal-Magistrati (Malta) inkarigata tisma' kawżi rappurtati mid-'Department of Industrial and Employment Relations', magħrufa bħala DIER sitting, ir-rkorrenti Bin Han kif ukoll Jia Liu kienu akkużati fil-proċeduri tas-segwenti:

According to Articles 5, 43(8), 45, 46 and 47 (2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta ;

According to the Regulations: 9(3) and 10 of the Legal Notice 431 of 2002, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 ;

Billi int akkużat talli:

In your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership 'LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Zejtun, Malta and/or being the persons responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership, on the 3rd of November, 2014, you have

failed to answer or answered falsely or caused any other person not to answer or to answer falsely to any question which an inspector is authorized to ask under Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta; or you have failed to produce any books, registers or other documents that, according to this Act, you were required by an inspector to produce.

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, and Regulation 10 of the Legal Notice 431 of 2002, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, for the reasons specified above, and also order the accused, in accordance with Article 43(8) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, and or Regulation 9(3) of the Legal Notice 431 of 2002 as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007, not to obstruct in any manner an inspector in the performance of his duties, to allow, directly or indirectly, any employee to appear before or to be questioned by an inspector, as well as produce and make available any information requested by the Director of the Department of Employment and Industrial Relations

24. Jirriżulta illi fid-**29 ta' Settembru 2016**, ir-rikorrenti ammettew għal tali akkuži u ġew immultati mitejn u ħamsin Euro (€250) kull wieħed.

25. Jirriżulta illi, nelfrattemp, qabel tali deċiżjoni, ossija fid-**19 ta' Novembru 2014**, l-istess rikorrenti Bin Han kif ukoll Jia Liu kienu tressqu bl-arrest quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) Bhala Qorti Istruttorja, akkużati bis-segwenti:

*Having seen that the accused **Bin Han** holder of identity card number: 524413L and 20799A and holder of Chinese passport number G49624122, in his own name and in the name and as a representative of **Leisure Clothing Limited**, bearing registration number C8265 and address “B31”, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Zejtun and **Jia Liu** holder of Maltese identity card bearing number 64215A, in his own name and in the name and as a representative of **Leisure Clothing Limited**, bearing registration number C8265 and address “B31”, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Zejtun, have been charged with having on the 29th October 2014 and in the preceding years, on the Maltese Islands, by several acts committed by them, even if at different times, which constitute violations of the same provision of the law, committed in pursuance of the same design:*

- 1) *By means of violence or threats, including abduction, deceit or fraud, misuse of authority, influence or pressure or by giving or receiving payments or benefits to achieve the consent of persons having control over, trafficked persons of age namely Van Ngu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8289450P; Thi Thu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8249346P; Thi Cam Van Hoang: B8247413P; Nguyen Van Giang: Vietnamese I.D number B8244745; Phuong Thi Vuong: Vietnamese I.D number B8305220; Thi Hoa Vu Vietnamese I.D number B85336280; Lien Thi Duong: B4768442; Hien Thi Nguyen Vietnamese I.D number B507646P, Thi Kim Loan Nguyen: Vietnamese I.D number B825902P and Liao Pingshan; Chinese Passport G48164137 and any other persons for the purpose of exploiting those persons in the production of goods or*

provision of services or any other unlawful activities not specifically provided for elsewhere under this sub-title.

- 2) *And in the same circumstances misappropriated, by converting to their own benefit or to the benefit of any other person, the sum of more than €5000 which has been entrusted or delivered to them under a title which implies an obligation to return such thing or to make use thereof for a specific purpose and this to the detriment of Van Ngu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8289450P; Thi Thu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8249346P; Thi Cam Van Hoang: B8247413P; Nguyen Van Giang: Vietnamese I.D number B8244745; Phuong Thi Vuong: Vietnamese I.D number B8305220; Thi Hoa Vu Vietnamese I.D number B85336280; Lien Thi Duong: B4768442; Hien Thi Nguyen Vietnamese I.D number B507646P, Thi Kim Loan Nguyen: Vietnamese I.D number B825902P and Liao Pingshan; Chinese Passport G48164137 and/or any other persons;*
- 3) *And in the same circumstances under the title of directors, managers, secretaries or other principal officers of a body corporate or being persons having the power of representation of a body or having an authority to take decisions on behalf of such body or having authority to exercise control within that body, which committed for the benefit, in part or in whole, of that body corporate, these persons shall under this title be deemed to be vested with the legal representation of the same body corporate;*
- 4) *And in the same circumstances as employers contravened or failed to comply with any recognised conditions of employment prescribed by a national standard order or by a sectoral regulation order or collective agreement, or with any provisions of this Act 452 or any regulations made thereunder.*
- 5) *And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 21st November, 2013 and ending on the 25th of July, 2014, amounting to €5,973.33, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 21st November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €164.97, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 21st November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €183.94, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 13th December 2013, and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €667.10, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 21st November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €4465.32, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 1st January, 2014, and ending on the 25th of July,*

2014, amounting to €452.46, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 21st November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €2,582.25, globally amounting to €14489.37 from which a payment of €600 was made leaving a remaining due balance of thirteen thousand eight hundred eighty-nine EUROS and thirty-seven cents (€13,889.37), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Van Ngu Tran (Vietnamese ID Number B828945OP)**, employee of the above-cited commercial partnership.

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Van Ngu Tran (Vietnamese ID Number B828945OP)** the amount of fifteen thousand five hundred and fifty-four Euros and seventy-six (€15,554.76) for the reasons specified above.

- 6) And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th of July, 2014, amounting to €5,841.36, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 31st March, 2014, amounting to €83.88, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 30th June, 2014, amounting to €164.70, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Saturdays** due for the period commencing on the 23rd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €804.70, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the 13th December 2013, 25th December 2013, and the period commencing on the 1st January, 2014 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €967.79, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €5500.41, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €2791.92, globally amounting to €16154.76 from which a payment of €600 was made leaving a remaining due balance of fifteen thousand five hundred and fifty-four Euros and seventy-six (€15,554.76), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Thi Thu Tran (Vietnamese ID Number B8249346P)**, employee of the above-cited commercial partnership.

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta to order the accused to pay **Thi Thu**

Tran (Vietnamese ID Number B8249346P) the amount of fifteen thousand five hundred and fifty-four Euros and seventy-six (€15,554.76) for the reasons specified above.

- 7) *And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership ‘LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED’ (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the Wages due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th of July, 2014, amounting to €5,841.36, you have failed to pay the Weekly Allowance due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 31st March, 2014, amounting to €83.88, you have failed to pay the Statutory Bonus due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 30th June, 2014, amounting to €164.70, you have failed to pay the Overtime on Saturdays due for the period commencing on the 23rd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €804.70, you have failed to pay the Overtime on Public Holidays due for the 13th December 2013, 25th December 2013, and the period commencing on the 1st January, 2014 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €967.79, you have failed to pay the Overtime due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €5500.41, and you have failed to pay the Overtime on Sundays due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 25th July, 2014, amounting to €2791.92, globally amounting to €16154.76 from which a payment of €600 was made leaving a remaining due balance of fifteen thousand five hundred and fifty-four Euros and seventy-six (€15,554.76), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to Thi Cam Van Hoang (Vietnamese ID Number B8247413P), employee of the above-cited commercial partnership.*

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta to order the accused to pay Thi Cam Van Hoang (Vietnamese ID Number B8247413P) the amount of fifteen thousand five hundred and fifty-four Euros and seventy-six (€15,554.76) for the reasons specified above.

- 8) *And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership ‘LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED’ (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the Wages due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 11th of August, 2014, amounting to €5,477.76, you have failed to pay the Weekly Allowance due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 11th August, 2014,*

amounting to €154.71, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 11th August, 2014, amounting to €195.78, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 24th November, 2013 and ending on the 29th December 2013, amounting to €578.99, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the 13th December 2013, 25th December 2013, and the period commencing on the 10th February, 2014 and ending on the 7th June, 2014, amounting to €670.95, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 22nd November, 2013 and ending on the 11th August, 2014, amounting to €4346.56, and you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 1st January, 2014 and ending on the 11th August, 2014, amounting to €421.87, globally amounting to €11,846.62 from which a payment of €2,810 was made leaving a remaining due balance of nine thousand and thirty-six Euros and sixty-two cents (**€9036.62**), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Nguyen Van Giang (Passport No B8244745)**, employee of the above-cited commercial partnership.

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Nguyen Van Giang (Passport No B8244745)** the amount of nine thousand and thirty-six Euros and sixty-two cents (**€9036.62**) for the reasons specified above.

- 9) And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED' (C 8265)**', having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 24th March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€5166.72**, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 30th of September, 2014, amounting to **€126.75**, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€162.06**, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014, and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€476.93**, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2014 and ending on the 8th of September, 2014, amounting to **€541.51**, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 24th March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€4,736.68**, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 26th of October, 2014, amounting to **€2,484.17**, globally amounting to **€13694.80** from which a payment of **€450** was made leaving a remaining due balance of thirteen thousand two hundred

*and forty-four Euros and eighty cents (€13244.80), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Phuong Thi Vuong (B8305220)**, ex-employee of the above-cited commercial partnership on the 27th of October, 2014*

*The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Phuong Thi Vuong (B8305220)** the amount of thirteen thousand two hundred and forty-four Euros and eighty cents (€13244.80) for the reasons specified above.*

- 10) *And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €5232.73, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 30th of September, 2014, amounting to €145.39, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €162.06, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014, and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €479.99, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2014 and ending on the 8th of September, 2014, amounting to €541.51, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 24th March, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €4,289.93, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 23rd March, 2014 and ending on the 26th of October, 2014, amounting to €2,482.10, globally amounting to €13333.71 from which a payment of €450 was made leaving a remaining due balance of twelve thousand eight hundred and eighty-three Euros and seventy-one cents (€12883.71), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Thi Hoa Vu (B85336280)**, ex-employee of the above-cited commercial partnership on the 27th of October, 2014*

*The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Thi Hoa Vu (B85336280)** the amount of twelve thousand eight hundred and eighty-three Euros and seventy-one cents (€12883.71) for the reasons specified above.*

11) And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€6,325.92**, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€178.62**, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€198.32**, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€585.92**, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€824.27**, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€5464.18**, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€2,962.58**, globally amounting to **€16,539.81** from which a payment of **€550** was made leaving a remaining due balance of fifteen thousand nine hundred and eighty-nine Euros and eighty-one cents (**€15,989.81**), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Lien Thi Duong (B4768442)**, ex-employee of the above-cited commercial partnership on the 27th of October, 2014

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Lien Thi Duong (B4768442)** the amount of fifteen thousand nine hundred and eighty-nine Euros and eighty-one cents (**€15,989.81**) for the reasons specified above.

12) And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€13,536.00**, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€379.46**, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to **€426.24**, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave**

*due for the period commencing on the 1st January, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €644.00, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €1,565.81, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €13,068.05, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 31st March, 2013 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €6337.52, globally amounting to €35,957.08 from which payments of a total of €5651 were made leaving a remaining due balance of thirty thousand three hundred and six Euros and eight cents (€30,306.08), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Hien Thi Nguyen (B507646P)**, ex-employee of the above-cited commercial partnership on the 27th of October, 2014. The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Hien Thi Nguyen (B507646P)** the amount of thirty thousand three hundred and six Euros and eight cents (€30,306.08) for the reasons specified above.*

- 13) *And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED**' (C 8265), having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the person/s responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership to pay outstanding wages, you have failed to pay the **Wages** due for the period commencing on the 30th January, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €6,466.72, you have failed to pay the **Weekly Allowance** due for the period commencing on the 30th January, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €180.39, you have failed to pay the **Statutory Bonus** due for the period commencing on the 30th January, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €200.54, you have failed to pay the **Vacation Leave** due for the period commencing on the 30th January, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €591.73, you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Public Holidays** due for the period commencing on the 10th February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €709.68, you have failed to pay the **Overtime** due for the period commencing on the 3rd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €2,853.56, and you have failed to pay the **Overtime on Sundays** due for the period commencing on the 2nd February, 2014 and ending on the 27th of October, 2014, amounting to €2,954.22, globally amounting to €13,956.84 from which a payment of €550 was made leaving a remaining due balance of thirteen thousand four hundred and six Euros and eighty-four cents (€13,406.84), inclusive of national insurance and tax, owed to **Thi Kim Loan Nguyen (B825902P)**, ex-employee of the above-cited commercial partnership on the 27th of October, 2014*

*The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, and, in accordance with Article 45(2) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta and Regulation 22 of the Legal Notice 247 of 2003, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007 and 259 of 2012 to order the accused to pay **Thi Kim Loan Nguyen (B825902P)** the amount of thirteen thousand four hundred and six Euros and eighty-four cents (**€13,406.84**) for the reasons specified above.*

*14) And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED (C 8265)**', having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the persons responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership on the 3rd of November, 2014, you have failed to answer or answered falsely or caused any other person not to answer or to answer falsely to any question which an inspector is authorized to ask under Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta; or you have failed to produce any books, registers or other documents that, according to this Act, you were required by an inspector to produce.*

The Court is respectfully being asked, in accordance with Article 45(1) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, and Regulation 10 of the Legal Notice 431 of 2002, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007, to order the accused to pay the penalties established by law, for the reasons specified above, and also order the accused, in accordance with Article 43(8) of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, and or Regulation 9(3) of the Legal Notice 431 of 2002, as amended by the Legal Notice 427 of 2007, not to obstruct in any manner an inspector in the performance of his duties, to allow, directly or indirectly, any employee to appear before or to be questioned by an inspector, as well as produce and make available any information requested by the Director of the Department of Employment and Industrial Relations.

The Court is being humbly requested, on reasonable grounds, to provide for the safety of any vulnerable witnesses including Van Ngu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8289450P; Thi Thu Tran: Vietnamese I.D number B8249346P; Thi Cam Van Hoang: B8247413P; Nguyen Van Giang: Vietnamese I.D number B8244745; Phuong Thi Vuong: Vietnamese I.D number B8305220; Thi Hoa Vu Vietnamese I.D number B85336280; Lien Thi Duong: B4768442; Hien Thi Nguyen Vietnamese I.D number B507646P, Thi Kim Loan Nguyen: Vietnamese I.D number B825902P and Liao Pingshan; Chinese Passport G48164137 and their families and other persons, and forthwith apply the provisions of Section 412C of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta and thus issue a protection order against the accused with all the necessary restrictions or prohibitions;

The Court is also being humbly requested to apply mutatis mutandis the provisions of Article 5 of Chapter 373 of the Money Laundering Act of the Laws of Malta, in accordance with Article 23A(2) of Chapter 9 of the Laws

of Malta, and on conviction apply the provisions of Article 23B of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta;

The Court is finally being humbly requested, in pronouncing judgment or in any subsequent order, sentence the person convicted to pay the costs incurred in connection with any experts or referee and this in accordance to Article 533 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

26. Jirriżulta illi, permezz ta' sentenza mogħtija fil-**21 ta' Marzu 2022**, il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati (Malta) bħala Qorti ta' ġudikatura Kriminali ddecidiet il-każ fuq riprodott kif ġej:

A. JIA LIU not guilty of all charges proffered against him and is therefore being acquitted in terms of Law;

B. BIN HAN -

(i) not guilty of charge number (1) from which charge he is being acquitted; but

(ii) guilty of all the remaining charges (2 to 14) and condemns him to a term of imprisonment of two (2) years suspended for a term of four (4) years in terms of Article 28A of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta; the Court explained to the accused his responsibilities under Articles 28A and 28B of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

(iii) and condemns the body corporate LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED (C8265) to the payment of a fine multa in the amount of two hundred thousand euros (€200,000).

(iv) And condemns accused Bin Han further to the payment of all the costs incurred in these proceedings, and this in terms of Article 533 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

27. Jirriżulta illi kemm r-rikorrent Bin Han kif ukoll l-Avukat Ĝeneralis appellaw minn tali deciżjoni, fejn il-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali, filwaqt illi caħdet l-appell ta' Bin Han, laqghet l-appell ta' l-Avukat Ĝeneralis u għalhekk varjat id-deciżjoni billi, permezz ta' sentenza mogħtija fis-**6 ta' Jannar 2023**, ddecidiet is-segventi:

Consequently, for the above-mentioned reasons, the Court is rejecting entirely the appeal brought forward by appellant Bin Han. However, it is upholding the appeal filed by the Attorney General, and thus reforms the appellate judgment in the following manner: –

1) Revokes it in that part of the judgment where accused Bin Han was acquitted from the first charge brought against him, and after having seen articles 248A(1)(2)(3), 248E(1)(3)(4A), 17(h) 18, and 121D of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta and article 13 of Chapter 249 of the Laws of Malta, finds him guilty of the said charge;

2) Consequently, revokes it in that part where it imposed upon Bin Han a term of imprisonment of two (2) years suspended for a term of four (4) years in terms of Article 28A of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, and instead condemns him to a term of effective imprisonment of six (6) years;

3) Revokes that part of the judgment where it found Jia Liu not guilty of all charges brought against him, and after having seen articles 248A(1)(2)(3), 248E(1)(3)(4A), 293, 294, 310(1)(a), 310A, 18, 17(h) and 121D of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, articles 45(1)(2), 47 and 48 of Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta, regulations 22 of Subsidiary Legislation 452.87, and article 13 of Chapter 249 of the Laws of Malta, finds him guilty of all charges brought against him and condemns him to a term of imprisonment of six (6) years.

4) Confirms it in that part where it found Bin Han guilty of charges 2 to 14;

5) Confirms it in the part where it condemned the body corporate Leisure Clothing Limited to the payment of a fine (multa) in the amount of two hundred thousand euros (€200,000); and

6) Revokes it in that part where it condemned Bin Han to the payment of all the costs incurred in these proceedings, and instead orders that the payment of the said costs be apportioned equally between both accused Bin Han and Jia Liu, and this in terms of Article 533 of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta.

Finally, after having seen article 23B(1)(1A), 23(C)(2) of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, article 3(5) of Chapter 373 of the Laws of Malta and article 22(3A)(d) of Chapter 101 of the Laws of Malta, orders the forfeiture of all the property appertaining to accused Bin Han, Jia Liu and Leisure Clothing Company Limited in favour of the Government of Malta.

Konsiderazzjoniet ta' dina l-Qorti

28. Il-Qorti tibda billi tirrileva illi, fil-proċeduri odjerni, karakterizzati minn varji rikorsi ta' urgenza da' parte tar-rikorrenti, bħala provi, għajr għall-kopji elettroniċi tal-Proċeduri Nru 658/14 u l-Proċeduri Nru 1128/2014 deciżi rispettivament fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016 u fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, ma tressqu ebda provi oħra, salv l-allegazzjoni tal-atti tal-kawża 390/2023 AD ceduti mir-rikorrenti fit-2 ta' April 2024.
29. Il-Qorti tirrileva wkoll illi, kemm il-konsulenti tar-rikorrenti kif ukoll l-Avukat tal-Istat, għaliex u għall-Avukat Ġenerali, ippreżentaw sottomissionijiet mirquma w dettaljati, fejn ikoll esponew ir-raġunamenti tagħihom bl-għajjnuna ta' referenzi ta' ġurisprudenza, kemm nostrana kif ukoll estera.
30. Ikun għalhekk opportun illi, wara illi l-Qorti fliet fir-reqqa l-atti ppreżentati quddiemha u qrat b'interess is-sottomissionijiet tal-partijiet, tgħaddi biex tagħmel l-observazzjonijiet u konklużjonijiet tagħha.
31. Dwar il-fatti tal-każ in l-ilment kif impostat mir-rikorrenti permezz tal-rikors promotur ippreżentat fid-19 ta' April 2024 kif ukoll id-difiżi ppreżentati, il-Qorti già rriproduċiethom kollha u għalhekk ma hemmx il-ħtieġa illi dawnja jerġgħu jiġu ripetuti għal fini ta' konsiderazzjoni.

Nuqqas ta' eżawriment tar-rimedji ordinarji

32. Fid-difiži tagħhom, l-intimati qajjmu, bħala l-ewwel difiža ta' sustanza tagħhom, wara d-difiža ġenerika li kollex mitlub huwa infondat fil-fatt u fid-drift, l-argument illi r-rikorrenti kienu naqsu milli jeżawrixxu r-rimedji ordjnari tagħhom, u dina kif tidher redatta fit-tieni eċċeżżjoni tagħhom.
33. Jirriżulta illi l-intimati qed jikkontendu illi meta ir-rikorrenti, kemm fil-proċeduri quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati li ġew deċiżi fil-21 ta' Marzu 2022, kif ukoll fil-proċeduri quddiem il-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali li ġew deċiżi fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, naqsu milli jqajjmu, bħala difiža, il-fatt illi huma kienu ġia nstabu ħatja ta' l-istess akkuži fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, huma naqsu milli jeżawrixxu r-rimedji ordinarji tagħhom.
34. Jirriżulta illi, għalhekk, l-intimati stiednu lil dina l-Qorti sabiex tiddeklina milli teżerċita l-ġurisdizzjoni konvenzjonali tagħha ai termini tal-proviso għall-Artikolu 4 (2) tal-Att dwar il-Konvenzjoni Ewropeja (Kap. 319 tal-Liġijiet ta' Malta) u tal-Artikolu 46 (2) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta.
35. Jirriżulta illi, da parte tagħhom, ir-rikorrenti fis-sottomissjonijiet ta' l-abbli difensuri tagħhom, laqgħu għal dak allegat billi saħqu illi kien obbligu tal-Pulizija u tal-Prosekuzzjoni li jassikuraw li ma sseħħx id-doppja inkriminazzjoni tar-rikorrenti.
36. Jirriżulta illi r-rikorrenti insistew illi r-regola tal-eżawriment ta' rimedji ordinarja kellha tiġi applikata b'mod flessibbli, kif issosti l-Qorti Ewropeja għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem, filwaqt illi saħqu illi jrid jintwera li r-rimedju illi seta' kellhom kien wieħed aċċessibbli, xieraq, effettiv u adegwat biex jindirizza l-ksur illi dwaru qed jillamentaw fil-proċeduri odjerni.
37. Jirriżulta, finalment, illi r-rikorrenti ikkонтendew li ksur ta' dritt kostituzzjonal ma huwiex dritt illi jista' jiġi kkunsidrat minn Qorti ta' ġurisdizzjoni originali u jispetta biss lill-Qrati b' kompetenza kostituzzjonal, bħalma hija dik odjerna, u għalhekk ir-rikorrenti kellhom kull dritt illi ma jfittxux ir-rimedju ordinarju quddiem il-Qrati li ma għandhomx ġurisdizzjoni jqisus ksur ta' natura kostituzzjonal.

Ikkunsidrat

38. Il-Qorti hawnhekk tibda billi tosserva illi fil-proċeduri 658/2014 deċiża mill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, fuq ammissjoni ta' l-istess rikorrenti, r-rikorrenti instabu ħatja tas-segwenti:

On the 3rd of November, 2014, you have failed to answer or answered falsely or caused any other person not to answer or to answer falsely to any question which an inspector is authorized to ask under Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta; or you have failed to produce any books, registers or other documents that, according to this Act, you were required by an inspector to produce.

U din kif riprodotta f'aktar dettall fil-paragrafu 23 aktar 'il fuq.

39. Jirriżulta illi tali deċiżjoni ingħatat fuq ammissjoni tar-rikorrenti stess, u għalhekk ir-rikorrent kienu ben a korrent ta' dak illi kien ġie lilhom akkużat u dak illi huma

ammettew, għall liema ammissjoni huma weħlu multa ta' €250 kull wieħed, li tkallset minnhom ftit xhur wara.

40. Il-Qorti tosserva, madanakollu, illi preċedentement, ossija fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014, fil-proċeduri 1128/2014, l-istess rikorrenti kienu ġia tressqu taħt arrest u fil-konfront tagħhom u fil-konfront tagħhom inħargħulhom erbatax-il akkuża, kif fuq riprodott fil-paragrafu 25, fosthom, l-aħħar akkuża, indikata bħala Numru 14,

*And in the same circumstances, in your capacity as directors and/or company secretaries and/or judicial representatives of the commercial partnership '**LEISURE CLOTHING LIMITED (C 8265)**', having its registered address at B31, Industrial Estate, Bulebel, Żejtun, Malta and/or being the persons responsible and appointed by the said commercial partnership on the 3rd of November, 2014, you have failed to answer or answered falsely or caused any other person not to answer or to answer falsely to any question which an inspector is authorized to ask under Chapter 452 of the Laws of Malta; or you have failed to produce any books, registers or other documents that, according to this Act, you were required by an inspector to produce.*

41. Jirriżulta, għalhekk, illi fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, meta r-rikorrenti ammettew, fil-proċeduri 658/2014, quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati illi kienet qed tisma' s-seduta tal-infrazzjonijiet maħruġa mid-Department of Industrial & Employment Relations, l-akkuża miġjuba kontra tagħhom, huma certament kellhom ikunu ben a konoxxenza tal-fatt illi tali akkuża kienet ukoll ġia inkluži fl-akkuži miġjuba kontra tagħhom fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014, bin-numru 1128/2014.
42. Jirriżulta, aktar minn hekk, illi fil-21 ta' Marzu 2022, meta l-Qorti tal-Maġistrati għaddiet biex tagħti d-deċiżjoni tagħha għall-akkuži illi kienu tressqu bl-arrest għalihom fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014 bin-numru 1128/2014, ir-rikorrenti kellhom ikunu ben konxji tal-akkuža minnhom ammessa sitt snin qabel – madanakollu għażlu illi ma jgħidu xejn.
43. Jirriżulta, finalment, illi anke meta ntavolaw l-appell tagħhom, ossija ta' Bin Han, quddiem il-Qorti tal-Appell, u dan fis-6 ta' April 2022, ir-rikorrenti kellhom ikunu ben konxji tad-deċiżjoni tad-29 ta' Settembru 2016 w tal-possibbilta' li jqajjmu difiża ta' *nebis in idem*, iżda ta' dan ma qajjmu xejn, kemm fl-appell, kif ukoll fis-sottomiżjonijiet finali.
44. Jirriżulta, għalhekk, illi tul il-proċeduri kollha tal-kawża 1128/2014, illi eventwalment wasslet għal sentenza ta' sitt snin prigunerija għaż-żewġ rikorrenti odjerni, huwa qatt ma ġabu l-fatt tal-allegat *nebis in idem* għall-attenzjoni tal-Qrati, kemm ordinarja kif ukoll tal-Appell, u għalhekk għandu jiġi kkunsdrat jekk naqqsx milli jeżawrixxu r-riimedju ordinarju tagħhom li jiġibu tali fatt a konsiderazzjoni tal-Qorti qabel ma ngħatat is-sentenza finali.

Ġurisprudenza

45. Il-Qorti, hawnhekk, jidrilha opportun illi tagħmel referenza għal deċiżjoni tal-Prim Awla (Sede Kostituzzjonal) mogħtija fit-28 ta' Ottubru 2022, u sussegwentement ikkonfermata mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonal fil-25 ta' Ottubru 2023, fl-ismijiet '**Ramon**

Fenech vs Avukat tal-Istat', fejn dwar l-applikazzjoni tal-Artikolu 46(2) tal-Kostituzzjoni u l-Artikolu 4 (2) tal-Kap 319, kellha dawn l-osservazzjonijiet x'tagħmel:

22. *Din id-difīża tal-Avukat tal-Istat hija mibnija fuq il-ħsieb li, qabel ma persuna tersaq quddiem din il-qorti biex tingħata rimedju ghall-ksur ta' jedd fundamentali tagħha, hija trid tkun inqdiet l-ewwel bir-rimedji l-oħra jn li l-liġi tagħti lil dik il-persuna f'dik il-qagħda;*
23. *Insibu fil-provisos għall-artikolu 46(2) tal-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta u għall-artikolu 4(2) tal-Kap 319 tal-Liġijiet ta' Malta, li l-leġislatur ġalla fl-għaqal tal-qorti mogħnija bis-setgħat kostituzzjonali, biex min-naħha l-waħda ma jithallewx isiru kawżi kostituzzjonali jew konvenzjonali bla bżonn, u biex min-naħha l-oħra jiġi żgurat li f'każijiet li jixirqu li jiġu eżaminati taht il-lenti kostituzzjonali jew konvenzjonali, dawn jiġu hekk eżaminati fid-dawl tar-rimedju jew rimedji li huma, jew kienu disponibbli favur il-persuna li tkun qed tallega ksur tad-drittijiet fundamentali tagħha. Tassew il-provisos ta' dawn iż-żewġ artikoli mhumiex maħsuba biex il-qorti taħrab mir-responsabbilità li tqis ilmenti ta' bixra kostituzzjonali, iżda fuq ix-xaqliba l-oħra wieħed irid iqis li jekk hemm jew kien hemm rimedji ordinarji xierqa u effettivi, il-qorti għandha l-għażla li tħmel lejn ic-ċaħda tal-eżerċizzju tas-setgħat tagħha taħt l-artikoli kostituzzjonali u konvenzjonali fuq imsemmija (ara **Elvia Scerri et v. Awtorità tad-Djar et deċiža mill-Qort Kostituzzjonali fit-13 ta' April, 2018);***
24. *Id-diskrezzjoni dwar jekk qorti tagħżilx li twettaq is-setgħat kostituzzjonali tagħha jew le tista' titqajjem mill-istess qorti minn rajha, anke jekk ma jkunx hemm eċċeżżjoni formali mill-partijiet (ara **Easygas Malta Ltd v. Ministru għar-Riżorsi u Affarijet Rurali et deċiža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-30 ta' Novembru, 2012, Olaf Cini v. Repubblika ta' Malta et deċiža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fil-25 ta' Ottubru, 2013, Valletta Freight Services Limited v. Direttur Qrati Ċivili u Tribunali et deċiža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-3 ta' Novembru, 2015 u Rosaria Borg Busuttil v. Onorevoli Prim Ministru et deċiža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-12 ta' Lulju, 2019);***
25. *Inghad li persuna ma tistax tipprendi li tirċievi rimedju minn qorti mogħnija b'setgħat kostituzzjonali meta jirriżulta li hija stess tkun għaż-żebi li ma tużax ir-rimedji ordinarji li kienet tagħtiha l-liġi ordinarja. Dan għaliex kif sew imtieni fis-sentenza, **Nardu Balzan Imqareb v. Registratur tal-Qrati tal-Ġustizzja** mogħtija mill-Prim Awla tal-Qorti Ċivili (sede kostituzzjonali) fit-18 ta' Mejju, 2006,*

«Rikorsi Kostituzzjoni huma, min-natura tagħhom, speċjali u straordinarji, u meta s-sistema ordinarja ta' ridress tiprovvdi mod ta' soluzzjoni effettiva, dik is-sistema ordinarja trid tigi użata u adottata qabel ma' l-Gvern, jew l-amministrazzjoni tagħha, jiġi akkużat bi ksur tad-drittijiet fundamentali tiegħi. Ma jistax jingħad li l-Gvern ikun kiser id-drittijiet fundamentali taċ-ċittadin, meta liċ-ċittadin ikunu pprovduti u hemm disponibbli għalih rimedji għal-lanjanzi tiegħi.»

26. *Kif qalet tajjeb il-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fis-sentenza **John Sammut v. Awtorità tal-Ippjanar et** deciža fis-27 ta' Frar, 2003, il-fatt li għemil jew nuqqas partikolari jkun jikser dritt fundamentali ma jfissirx tabiżfors li l-uniku rimedju effettiv huwa dak kcostituzzjonali jew konvenzjonali. Kieku kien hekk, il-provisos tal-artikolu 46(2) tal-Kostituzzjoni u tal-artikolu 4(2) tal-Kap 319 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta kienu jkunu superfluwi jew saħanistra bla sens (ara f'dan is-sens is-sentenzi tal-Qorti Kostituzzjonali tas-7 ta' April, 2000 fl-ismijiet Adel Mokhtar Al Sakalli v. Onor. Prim Ministru et u tal-31 ta' Mejju, 2000 fl-ismijiet Teddy Rapa v. Chairman tal-Awtorità tal-Ippjanar et);*
27. *Dejjem fuq din l-istess linja ta' hsieb, ġie miżimum ukoll fis-sentenza, **Tat-Taljan Company Limited v. L-Awtorità tal-Ippjanar** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-2 ta' Novembru, 2001 li,*

«sakemm tibqa' il-possibilità li leżjoni ta' xi dritt fundamentali seta' kien, jew għad jista' jiġi, rettifikat bil-proċeduri w mezzi ordinarji provduti mil-ligi, ikun ġeneralment il-każ li din il-Qorti tiddeklina milli teżerċita s-setgħat kostituzzjonali tagħha. Fejn għad hemm disponibbli mezzi ordinarji, dawn għandhom jiġu adoperati, u r-rikors ghall-organi ġudizzjarji ta' natura kostituzzjonali għandu jsir wara li r-rimedji ordinarji jiġu eżawriti definittivament jew meta ma jkunux disponibbli.»
28. *Anke f'sentenzi aktar riċenti l-qrat kcostituzzjonali qagħdu lura milli jieħdu għarfien ta' ilmenti kcostituzzjonali meta ntebħu li r-rikorrenti kienu naqsu kompletament milli jinqdew bir-rimedji li kienet tagħtihom il-ligi (ara **Horace sive Grezzju Cassar v. L-Avukat Ģenerali et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fl-10 ta' Jannar, 2005, **John Mary Chircop v. Kummissarju tal-Pulizija et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fis-7 ta' Lulju, 2007, **Olaf Cini v. Ir-Repubblika ta' Malta et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fil-25 ta' Ottubru, 2013, **Gafà Saveways Limited v. Avukat Ĝenerali et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-30 ta' Ottubru, 2015 u **JA&M Developments Limited v. L-Avukat Ĝenerali et et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-23 ta' Novembru, 2020) jew meta r-rikorrent jaġħmel użu hażin mill-jedd mogħi lilu bil-ligi ordinarja (ara b'eżempju **A & J Hili ta' Miema Ltd. vs. Kummissarju tat-Taxxa fuq il-Valur Mizzjud**, Qorti Kostituzzjonali tas-7 ta' Frar, 2005);*
29. *Naturalment meta jingħad li jkun hemm rimedju ieħor xieraq, dejjem ikun qiegħed jitfisser li tali rimedju jrid jitqies fid-dawl tal-ksur tal-jedd fundamentali li jkun qed jiġi allegat li nkiser jew li jkun mhedded li sejjer jinkiser. Fuq kollo, dan ir-rimedju jrid jkun wieħed aċċessibbli, xieraq, effettiv u adegwat biex jindirizza l-ksur jew theddid ta' ksur lamentat (ara **Tonio Vella v. Kummissarju tal-Pulizija et** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fil-5 ta' April, 1991 u **Louise Xerri et v. Kummissarju tal-Artijiet** deciža mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fis-27 ta' Mejju, 2016). M'hemm għalfejn li, biex jitqies bħala effettiv, ir-rimedju jintwera bħala wieħed li ser jagħti lir-rikorrent suċċess garantit, bizzżejjed iżda li jintwera li jkun wieħed li jista' jiġi użat b'mod prattiku, effettiv u effikaċi*

(ara **Rea Ceramics Limited v. Kunsill Malti Ghall-Isport et** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-18 ta' Lulju, 2014);

30. Tassew ir-regola li trid, li min idur għar-rimedju straordinarju għandu qabel ifittem ir-rimedji ordinarji kollha, ma hix xi regola teknika ta' applikazzjoni awtomatika. Il-qorti għandha diskrezzjoni biex tara jekk, fiċ-ċirkostanzi, ir-rimedju ordinarju kienx ikun biżżejjed għall-ħarsien tad-drittijiet fondamentali; ġalli b'hekk ma jsirx rikors għar-rimedju straordinarji, meta dawk ordinarji kienu jkunu biżżejjed. Ma hemm ebda ħtiega, iżda, illi r-rikorrent ikun ġela ħin u spejjeż fi proceduri li x'aktarx ma jagħtuhx rimedju tajjeb (ara **Evelyn Montebello et v. L-Avukat Generali et** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-13 ta' Lulju, 2018 u **Louis Apap Bologna v. Avukat Generali et** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fid-29 ta' Marzu, 2019); jew meta l-possibbiltà li jingħata rimedju taħt il-ligi ordinarja tkun waħda remota (ara **Joseph Bartolo v. Onorevoli Prim Ministru** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fit-2 ta' Dicembru, 2002, **John Mattei et v. L-Awtorità tad-Djar et** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fil-5 ta' Ottubru, 2018 u **Glen James Scott v. L-Onorevoli Prim Ministru et** deċiża mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fis-26 ta' Jannar, 2022);
46. Referenza għandha ssir ukoll għal każ John Grech et vs Onor. Prim Ministru et deċiża fid-29 t'April 2013 u kkonferma mill-Qorti Kostituzzjonali fil-31 ta' Jannar 2014 fejn dwar tali poter mogħti lill-Qorti, meta għandha ġurisdizzjoni ta' natura Kostituzzjonali, kellha dan xi tgħid:-

“Illi din il-kwistjoni ġiet dibattuta diversi drabi fil-Qrati tagħna. Il-Qorti Kostituzzjonali dahlet fil-fond tagħha fis-sentenza tagħha fil-kawża fl-ismijiet “**Dr Mario Vella vs Joseph Bannister nomine**” (deċiża fis-7 ta' Marzu 1994) fejn, wara li elenkat numru ta' sentenzi precedenti, qalet fost affarrijiet oħra illi:

“Minn din ir-rassenja kemm tal-Prim’ Awla u kemm ta’ din il-Qorti jistgħu jitnisslu dawn il-linji ġurisprudenzjali:

- a. Meta hu čar li hemm mezzi ordinarji disponibbli għar-rikorrenti biex ikollu rimedju għad-danni li qed jilmenta, bħala prinċipju ġenerali dawn għandhom jiġu adoperati u r-rikors għall-organi għudizzjarji ta' natura Kostituzzjonali għandu jsir wara li l-ordinarji jiġu eżawriti jew meta mhumiex disponibbli;
- b. Din il-Qorti Kostituzzjonali sakemm ma jirriżultawlhiex raġunijiet serji gravi ta' llegalita' jew ta' ġustizzja jew żball manifest ma tiddisturbax l-eżercizzju ta' diskrezzjonalita' tal-ewwel Qorti kkonferita mill-artikolu 46 (2) tal-Kostituzzjonali;
- c. Kull każ għandu l-fattispeċje partikolari tiegħu;
- d. Meta r-rikorrenti ma jkunx għamel użu minn rimedju li seta' kellu dan ma jfissirx li l-Qorti għandha tikkonsidra li ma għandhiex

teżerċita l-ġurisdizzjoni tagħha jekk dak il-possibbli rimedju ma kienx pero' jirrimedja ħlief in parti l-lanjanzi tar-rikorrenti;

- e. *Meta r-rikorrenti ma jkunx eżawrixxa r-rimedju ordinarji, jekk pero' dan in-nuqqas ikun ikkontribwixxa għalih l-operat ta' ħaddieħor allura ma jkunx desiderabbli illi l-Qorti tieqaf u ma tiproċedix bit-trattazzjoni tal-każ;*
- f. *Meta l-ewwel Qorti teżerċita d-diskrezzjoni tagħha u tieqaf mit-trattazzjoni mingħajr ma teżamina l-materja neċessarja li fuqha dik id-diskrezzjoni għandha tiġi eżerċitata, il-Qorti tat-tieni grad għandha twarrab dik id-diskrezzjoni.*

Illi l-istess Qorti fil-kawża fl-ismijiet “**Philip Spiteri vs Sammy Meilaq**” (deċiżza fit- 8 ta' Marzu 1995) qalet ukoll li:

“Meta l-oġġett tal-kawża jkun ta' natura komplessa – u jkollu kwistjonijiet li għandhom rimedju f' xi ligi oħra, u oħrajn li ma għandhomx, rimedju ħlief Kostituzzjonali – allura għandha tipprevali din l-aħħar azzjoni”. F'din is-sentenza l-Qorti osservat li jkun sewwa li mal-kelma ‘komplessa’ jiżdied il-kliem ‘jew inkella mħallta’.

Fil-kawża fl-ismijiet “**Maria sive Marthexe Attard et vs Policy Manager tal-Malta Shipyards et**” (deċiżza mill-Prim Awla, Sede Kostituzzjonali, fit-30 ta' Settembru 2010) ġie dikjarat illi:

“L-eżistenza ta' rimedju ieħor trid titqies fil-kuntest tal-allegat ksur tad-dritt fundamentali. Għandu jkun rimedju aċċessibbli, xieraq, effettiv u adegwat biex jindirizza dan il-ksur. Fl-istess waqt ma hemmx għalfejn li biex jitqies effettiv ikun jirriżulta li r-rimedju sejjer jagħti lir-rikorrenti succcess garanti. Huwa bieżżejjed li jintwera li jkun wieħed li jista' jiġi segwit b'mod prattiku, effettiv u effikaci.

Meta jidher li jeżistu mezzi ordinarji disponibbli biex jikseb rimedju għall-ilment tiegħu r-rikorrent għandu jirrikorri għal dawk il-mezzi, qabel ma jirrikorri għar-rimedju Kostituzzjonali u huwa biss wara li jkun fittex dawk il-mezzi jew wara li jidher li dawk il-mezzi ma jkunux effettivament disponibbli li għandu jintuża r-rimedju Kostituzzjonali.”

Illi f'dan is-sens wieħed jista' jsib ukoll l-insejament fir-rigward fis-sentenza tal-Qorti tal-Appell fl-ismijiet “**Joseph Fenech vs Awtorita' tal-Ippjanar et**” deċiżza fid-9 ta' Novembru 2012.

Illi wieħed għandu jqis li kemm l-artikolu invokat mill-intimati u kemm il-Kostituzzjonali ta' Malta ssemmi mezzi li ‘kienu disponibbli’ u allura anke jekk kien hemm mezzi li ‘kienu’ disponibbli għar-rikorrent iżda li minħabba t-trapass taż-żmien ma jkunux għadhom (disponibbli), il-Qorti tista' jekk hekk jidhrilha tiddeklina li teżerċita l-ġurisdizzjoni tagħha.

Konsiderazzjonijiet finali

47. Ikkunsidrat dak kollu hawn fuq espost, kemm bħala fatti tal-każ, kif ukoll bħala ġurisprudenza tal-Qorti nostrani tagħna, il-Qorti tgħaddi biex tagħmel l-observazzjonijiet finali tagħha.
48. Jidher čar, l-ewwel u qabel kollox, illi fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, ir-rikorrenti, wara li tressqu quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati mill-Pulizija Eżekuttiva (658/2014), ammettew illi, fit-3 ta' Novembru 2014, kienu naqsu milli jressqu dokumentazzjoni kif ukoll risposti lill-Awtoritajiet illi kienu talbuhom tali informazzjoni.
49. Jidher čar ukoll illi, meta tressqu fid-19 ta' Novembru 2014 b'varji akkuži gravi inkluż ta' traffikar ta' persuni, misappropriazzjoni u nuqqas ta' ħlas ta' pagi lill-ghaxar persuni differenti ta' nazzjonalita' Vietnamiż u Ċiniżi, huma ġew akkužati wkoll illi naqsu milli jressqu dokumentazzjoni mitluba lilhom, u dana fit-3 ta' Novembru 2014.
50. Jirriżulta illi, permezz tal-proċeduri odjerni, ir-rikorrenti qed jittentaw īħassru d-deċiżjoni finali, meħuda kontra tagħhom fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, fejn ġew ikkundannati sitt snin ħabs, taħt il-pretest illi huma ġew akkužati darbtejn fuq l-akkuža illi ġgib id-data tat-3 ta' Novembru 2014.
51. Jirriżulta, madanakollu, illi, għalkemm konxji ta' tali fatt sa mid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, l-ewwel darba illi r-rikorrenti ħassew li kellhom jqajjmu tali ilment kif fit 19 ta' april 2024, meta huma intavolaw il-proċeduri odjerni, wara illi kienu intbġħatu għal sitt snin il-ħabs.
52. Jirriżulta čar illi, **fl-ebda stadju tal-proċeduri** bin-numru 1128/2014 li wasslet għad-deċiżjoni finali ta' sitt snin prigunerija fis-6 ta' Jannar 2023, huma ma qajjmu ilment dwar tali fatt, kemm lill-Qorti tal-Maġistrati kif ukoll lill-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali.
53. Jirriżulta čar, għalhekk, illi r-rikorrenti xjentement għażlu illi ma jqajjム tali ilment fi stadju illi fih kienu qed jiġi ġġudikati, meta kellhom kull dritt illi jagħmlu dan, w-qajjumuha biss issa, wara illi s-sentenza finali kontra tagħhom ingħatat u qed jiskontaw piena ta' sitt snin ħabs.
54. Din il-Qorti tosserva wkoll illi, meta wieħed iqis in-natura tal-akkuža illi dwarha huma qed jilmentaw illi ġew ġjudikati darbtejn, ossija talli naqsu milli jgħaddu dokumentazzjoni jew jirrispondu għal domandi li sarulhom fit-3 ta' Novembru 2014, dawna huma akkuži ċertament *de minis* meta mqabbla mal-gravita' tal-akkuža l-oħra illi għalihom huma nstabu lkoll ħatja.
55. Għalhekk, tenut kont tal-fatt illi r-rikorrenti kienu ben a korrent ta' dak illi kienu nstabu ħatja fid-29 ta' Settembru 2016, kif ukoll għan-natura *de minis* tal-akkuža illi dwarha huma qed jilmentaw, il-Qorti ma għandha ebda diffikulta tikkonkludi illi kien nuqqas esklussiv tar-rikorrenti illi huma ma qajjム l-ilment tagħhom quddiem il-Qorti illi kienu qed jiġi ġġudikawhom, w-tqis illi t-tentattiv illi qed isir issa huwa illi jagħmel użu minn tali nuqqas amministrattiv sabiex jaħarbu minn deċiżjoni illi ġiet mogħtija fil-konfront tagħhom.
56. Għaldaqstant, il-Qorti tqis illi ladarba r-rikorrenti naqsu milli jużaw ir-rimedju ordinarji mogħti lilhom waqt il-proċeduri quddiem il-Qorti tal-Maġistrati u/jew il-Qorti tal-Appell Kriminali, huma ma jistgħux issa jinqdew b'din il-kawża kostituzzjonali sabiex

jippruvaw jirrimedjaw għall-iżball tagħhom. Ċertament, kieku din il-Qorti tippermetti dan li jsir, hija tkun qiegħda tippermetti li l-istitut tal-kawżi kostituzzjonali jibqa' jiġi abbużat filwaqt illi l-proċeduri ordinarji jiġu mnaqqsa fl-iskop u fil-valur tagħhom.

57. Għalhekk, din il-Qorti thoss illi huwa xieraq u ġust illi, f'dan il-każ, tilqa' t-tieni eċċeżżjoni ta' l-intimati u tagħżel li ma tinqedix bis-setgħat lilhom mogħtija taħt il-Kostituzzjoni ta' Malta u l-Kap 319, la darba r-rikorrenti ma ġadux ħsieb illi jinqdew, kif imiss, mir-rimedji mogħtija lilhom fil-Ligi.

Konklużjoni

Il-Qorti,

Wara illi rat l-atti proċesswali kollha ppreżentati quddiemha;

Wara illi rat is-sottomissjonijiet ta' l-abbli difensuri tal-partijiet;

Tgħaddi biex taqta' u tiddeċċiedi l-vertenza billi:

Tilqa' t-tieni eċċeżżjoni ta' l-intimati u għalhekk:

Tiddikjara illi r-rikorrenti Bin Han u Jia Liu naqsu milli jeżawrixxu r-rimedji ordinarji tagħhom u għaldaqstant, a tenur tal-Artikolu 46(2) tal-Kosituzzjoni ta' Malta u l-Artikolu 4(2) tal-Kap 319 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta, tagħżel li tiddeklina milli teżerċita' l-funzjoni kostituzzjonali tagħha fil-każ odjern; u għalhekk

Tiċħad it-talbiet kollha attriċi.

L-ispejjeż kollha a kariku tar-rikorrenti in solidum bejniethom.

Francesco Depasquale LL.D. LL.M. (IMLI)

Imħallef

Rita Sciberras

Deputat Registratur