# COURT OF MAGISTRATES (MALTA) 

# AS A COURT OF CRIMINAL JUDICATURE 

# MAGISTRATE DR. KEVAN AZZOPARDI B.A. LL.D 

## Today the 15th of July 2023

The Police<br>(Inspector Roderick Attard)

vs.

Joseph Lee Jacobs

## The Court:

Having seen that the accused Joseph Lee Jacobs, aged 39, son of Dave Jacob and Elizabeth nee' Price, born in Liverpool on the 29.10.1983, without fixed address and holder of United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northen Ireland number 140116433, was arraigned under arrest and charged with having in Mosta and/or within the Maltese islands during the night between the 13th to the 14th July 2023:

1. Caused Amber Kate Wilby fear that violence may be used against her;
2. Reviled, threatened or caused bodily harm to PS 217 I. Mizzi person lawfully charged with a public duty, whilst in the act of discharging his duty or because of him having discharged such duty, or with intent to intimidate or unduly influence hi in the discharge of such duty;
3. Committed spoil, damage or injury to or upon any movable or immovable property belonging to MG Administrators Ltd and/or Anglu Xuereb and/or Emanuel Xuereb and /or other persons, which damages exceeds $€ 2,500$;
4. By means of an electronic communications network or apparatus threatened the commission of any crime;
5. By means of an electronic communications network or apparatus made any other improper use thereof;
6. Uttered insults or threats against Ps 217 I. Mizzi and Amber Kate Wilby not otherwise provided for in the Criminal Code, or being provoked, carried his insult beyond the limit warranted by the provocation;
7. Willfully disturbed the public good order or the public peace.

The court was kindly requested to provide for the safety of PS 217 Italo Mizzi \& Amber Kate Wilby in accordance with provisions of article 412C of Chapter 9 of the laws of Malta.

The Court was also requested to apply Section 533(1) of Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, with regards to the expenses incurred by the Court appointed Experts.

Having seen the examination of the accused, whereby he registered a guilty plea soon after the prosecution read and confirmed the charges under oath.

Having seen the evidence tendered by the prosecution.

Having seen the acts of the case.

Having heard the defence counsel and the prosecuting officer's final oral submissions in relation to the punishment to be inflicted upon the accused.

## Considerations:

Having seen that the accused admitted to the charges brought against him at the very first opportunity, leave no option to the Court but to find him guilty of the charges brought against him.

## Decision:

For these reasons, the Court, having seen articles 49(a) and (c) of Chapter 399 of the Laws of Malta, and articles 251B(1), 339(1)(e), 339(dd), 95, and 325(1)(a) of the Criminal Code, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, upon the admission of the accused, finds the said accused guilty of the charges brought against him, and condemns him to a term of eighteen (18) months imprisonment, and after having seen Article 28A of the Criminal Code, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, orders that the defendant be dealt with through a suspended sentence, provided that the sentence inflicted shall not begin to take place except if, during the three (3) year period that begins to count from the date of this order, the offender commits another crime punishable by imprisonment, and after that a competent court orders in terms of article 28B of the Criminal Code, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta that the original judgement should begin to take effect. The Court, in terms of subarticle (4) of article 28A of the Criminal Code, Chapter 9 of the Laws of Malta, warned the offender about the legal consequences should he commit another crime within the prescribed operative period of the suspended sentence.

## Kevan Azzopardi B.A. LL.D Magistrate

Josanne Gauci<br>Deputy Registrar

