



FIL-QORTI TAL-MAGISTRATI TA' MALTA

Magistrat Francesco Depasquale

LL.D. LL.M. (IMLI)

Illum it-Tnejn, 26 ta' Novembru 2018

Rikors Numru 347/2017

**Jean Claude Micallef
(ID 347187M)**

vs

**Emanuel Delia
(ID 560176M)**

Il-Qorti,

1. Premessi

1. Rat ir-rikors promotur ippresentat fit-28 ta' Novembru 2017 fejn ir-rikorrenti talab lill-Qorti tikkundanna lill-intimat:

prevja dikjarazzjoni illi int responsablli ghall-pubblikazzjoni fis-sit elettroniku Manuel Delia - Manuel Delia's notes' ta' malafama u libell, jew talli ppermettejt I-istess, fil-konfront tar-rikorrent, minhabba kumentarju ppubblikat fil-blog entitolat "When hypocrisy is transparent" kif jidher fl-anness Dok 'A' li gie ppubblikat nhar it-8 ta' Novembru 2017, li kien malafamanti u libelluz u nitiz sabiex itellef jew inaqwas ir-reputazzjoni u l-unur ta' l- istess rikorrenti kif ser jirizulta ahjar waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza; thallas lir- rikorrenti in linea ta" danni dik is-somma li tigi likwidata mill-Onorabli Qorti tal- Magistrati (Malta) ai termini tal-Art. 28 tal-Kapitolu 248 u dan bir-riserva ghall-kull dannu ulterjuri li jistgħu jigu subiti. Bl-ispejjez u bl-imghax skond il-ligi kontral- intimat li minn issa hija ngunta in subizzjoni.

2. Rat ir-risposta ta' l-intimati ippresentata fis-6 ta' Dicembru 2017 fejn laqa ghal dak mitlub billi qajjem is-segwenti difizi:

Illi l-kummentarju de quo ma jikkostitwix malafama skond il-Ligi peress illi:

Fl-ewwel lok mhux libelluz

Fit-tieni lok mehud fil-kuntest propriju tieghu huwa ‘fair comment’ u ghalhekk accettabqli f’socjeta demokratika kif jigi muri waqt is-smiegh.

Għaldaqstant, ir-rikors għandu jkun michud bl-ispejjeż.

2. Provi

1. Semghet ix-xhieda in exami u kontr-ezami ta' **Jean Claude Micallef** mogħtija fil 15 ta' Frar 2018 u rat id-dokumentazzjoni minnu ppresentata, ossija l-artikolu imtella fuq is-sit www.manueldelia.com intitolat '**When hypocrisy is transparent.**'
2. Rat l-artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna, datat **8 ta' Novembru 2017**, imtella fit 8.58am, illi jghid dan li gej:

Jean Claude Micallef is not famous for his consistency. He flipflopped between Labour and the PN according to where he thought his chances for career progression were better served. The PN first received him as parties habitually welcome sheep lost by the other side. But as his oily sleaze ran up the creeped-out collective spines of a rather more selective generation of candidate shortlisters, he found he could not use the PN to advance himself.

Back he went to Labour. Where he belonged all along. And in the callous, unprincipled indifference to right or wrong that makes him, here he is, pretending to be shocked by Daphne Caruana Galizia’s assassination. Here he is, a few hours after the news broke, reading from the prime minister’s hymn book feigning sadness and calling for ‘unity’, for which read oh do shut up about this.

Look at the hashtags at the bottom of his post: #rip #NinghaqduKontraVjolenza #LeGħallHdura. “Rest in Piece”. “Let’s Unite Against Violence”. “No to cruelty”. I admit a slight uncertainty on the translation of ”ħdura”. Native speakers will know what he means.

Here he is all of 2 weeks later no longer interested in the victim of the first ever targeted political assassination in the country in peace-time, no longer interested in the victim resting in peace, in unity against violence and, much less, in putting aside ħdura.

He withdraws his respect given so melodramatically two weeks before. And he exchanges all those empty unintended words for, literally, “tkasbir”. I had to confirm that with a dictionary. He vilifies the victim. He condemns the victim. He states he despises the victim.

This is the extent of the hate, the īdura, a PL general election candidate professes. These are the words of a TV ‘personality’ (for so we call the empty vessels on our public broadcasting) about a journalist who has been blown up in a car bomb.

Any self-respecting media house in the known universe would have kicked his butt by now after posting such venom on his Facebook. But this is TVM we’re talking about and this, as she so rightly said, is no normal democracy.

Take the time to scroll through the heroes who tickled his hungry ego by ‘liking’, ‘commenting’ and ‘sharing’ this piece of hate literature that would have made the soldier with the spear on the Golgotha blush in embarrassment.

For your viewing pleasure here’s a screenshot of the Labour Mayor of Żurrieq congratulating Jean Claude Micallef. “Bravu” he calls him because that is the sweetest praise he can come up with. Here’s a man who went on TV while the charred soil where Daphne’s body was consumed was still warm, to announce to loud applause he was giving a kidney to his friend. He spoke of Christian charity and loving thy neighbour.

And then he proceeded to applaud a colleague slime-ball of his, fellow leader of the masses, for leading the vilification of a journalist who was brutally killed for selflessly serving her country. I wish for Mayor Natius Farrugia to live a long life and die in his old age with his loved ones around him mourning him and thanking him on behalf of all of us for his great service to the community. He gives me the creeps and right now I could hardly feel angrier at anyone, but it doesn’t mean I could ever bring myself to rejoice in his or anyone’s untimely death.

Jean Claude Micallef leads his crowds of fans, and follows them, in an orgy of hate from which it seems we will never recover. We are truly facing a crisis of irreconcilable differences widening the gap between these two Maltes. Human compassion, basic decency stops at politics. Look at Jean Claude Micallef here mouthing inanities about charity but only really interested in a political photo-op with the prime minister’s

I could spend all day posting links to revolting hate posts. To be honest it is my duty to do so because showing this sickening collective psychology to a nation that does not want to admit it is the first duty of a journalist.

But this tires me so.

3. Rat il-post imtella mir-rikorrent fuq il-pagna personali tieghu stess fuq is-sit socjali Facebook fis **16 ta' Ottubru 2017** mtella fit 20.47pm u imsemmi u ppubblikat mill-intimat fl-artikolu tieghu, ossija s-segamenti:

Dejjem ikkundannajt il-vjolenza fi kwalunkwe forma mhux biss ghax nemmen li kull azzjoni jkollha reazzjoni ekwa imma għaliex nemmen fil-liberta' u fil-politika ta' perswazjoni mhux dik tal-perversjoni.

Bhal diversi ohra, kont suggett ta' tkasbir f'kitbiet minn Daphne Caruana Galizia bhala strument għal klikka partikolari.

Kif dejjem kellimtha meta ltqajna wicc imb'wicc dejjem qbilna fuq id-dritt tal-espressjoni imma qatt favur il-firda jew xi forma t'ingustizzja.

Bl-istess principju huwa xokkanti dak li gara llum fuq kull livell personali, nibghat il-kondoljanzi lil familja Caruana Galizia għat-telfa tal-ghażiza tagħhom. Fuq livell pubbliku, nithasseb jekk noftmu l-persuna mill-personagg fl-analizi tagħna. Fuq livell socjali nheggeg biex l-għaqda nazzjonali tirrenja.

Tlifna Maltija b'att barbaru li ghax ahna demokratici u umami jimlina b'dieqa. Nistennew Il-Gustizza għalina lkoll filwaqt li nixtieq kuragg lil familja Caruana Galizia.

#rip #NingħaqduKontraVjolenza #LeGħallHdura

4. Rat il-post imtella mir-rikorrent fuq il-pagna personali tieghu stess fuq is-sit socjali Facebook fit **2 ta' Novembru 2017** u imsemmi u ppubblikat mill-intimat fl-artikolu tieghu, fejn b'tipa kbira ir-rikorrenti għamel is-segwenti dikjarazzjoni:

*Jekk tħixx hajtex tkasbar, tmut imkasbar.
Ir-rispett tirbhu tul hajtek mhux wara mewtek.*

5. Rat il-hmistax il-kumment, riprodotti fl-artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna, u illi kienu saru lir-rikorrent taht il-post tieghu imtella fit 2 ta' Novembru 2017, li kienu jghidu s-segwenti: (fol 51)

Tessie Caruana: Prosit tassew.

Christian Farrugia: Well said!

Rita Baldacchino: Well said

Rosalie Ellul:



Noel Camilleri: Great words Jean Claude

Bjorn Azzopardi: Spot on sieħbi.

Nicolette Mallia: Ek huu

Gordon Pace: Skont għal xiex tkasbar. Pero, iva, r-rispett jigi ggħadjan ja.

Natiu Farrugia: Bravu

Charlene Bugeja: Kliem tad deheb !

Tonio Dowdow Agius: TIDHER LI DEMMEK GHAXQI KEMM TAF XI TEJD

Manuel Cumbo: Well said

Erika Mangion: Spot on !!!

Brian Xuereb: Well said. Champagne

Pietru Pawl Gatt: Spot on

6. Rat illi l-kumment tat 2 ta' Novembru 2017 intlaqa b'approvazzjoni minn 276-il persuna u gie mxerred 68 darba fuq is-sit docjali 'Facebook'.
7. Rat il-post imtella mir-rikorrent fuq il-pagna personali tieghu stess fuq is-sit socjali Facebook fil **21 ta' Ottubru 2017** u imsemmi u ppubblikat mill-intimat fl-artikolu tieghu, fejn hdejn ritratti ta' attivita' mtella minn Pink October fejn jidhru gemgha tfal u zghazagh u fosthom s-sinjura Michelle Muscat, mart il-Prim Ministro, ir-rikorrent u Jeffrey Pullicino Orland, kiteb is-segwenti:

Is-sabih ta' dan il-poplu huwa li xemx jew xita fejn tidhol l-ghaqda u s-support lil min jinhtieg, nqumu ghall-okkazzjoni.

Kburi li ghal sena ohra kont parti minn din l-attivita' success.

Prosit lis-Sinjura Muscat u l-Fondazzjoni Marigold flimkien mal-Esplora.

8. Rat illi fit 12 ta' April 2018 ir-rikorrent iddikjara illi ma kellux aktar provi x'jippresenta.
9. Semghet ix-xhieda in kontro ezami tar-rikorrent moghtija fl-10 ta' Mejju 2018.
10. Semghet ix-xhieda in ezami ta' l-intimat **Emanuel Delia** moghtija fil-21 ta' Gunju 2018 u rat id-dokumentazzjoni minnu ppresentata, ossija l-post imtella mir-rikorrenti fit 2 ta' Novembru 2017, fuq gia msemmi.
11. Semghet ix-xhieda in kontro ezami ta' l-intimat **Emanuel Delia** moghtija fit-18 ta' Ottubru 2018 u rat id-dokumentazzjoni minnu ppresentata, ossija artikolu intitolat 'Guidelines on the Obligation of Due Impartiality' miktab minn Dr Kevin Aquilina. (fol 66)
12. Rat illi fit 18 ta' Ottubru 2018, il-partijiet ddikjaraw illi ma kelhomx aktar provi u li l-kawza setghet tithalla għat-trattazzjoni finali.
13. Semghet it-trattazzjoni finali tal-abbli difensuri tal-partijiet illis aret fit 8 ta' Novembru 2018, wara liema trattazzjoni il-kawza giet differita għas-sentenza ghallum.

3. Konstatazzjonijiet fattwali.

1. Jirrizulta illi r-rikorrent huwa persuna pubblika, fejn fil-present huwa Xandar tat-Telivision filwaqt illi kien ukoll Kandidat ghall-Elezzjoni Generali ghall-Partit Laburista fl-elezzjoni precedenti, u fil-passat kien jimmilita wkoll fl-Partit Nazzjonalista.
2. Jirrizulta illi fis-16 ta' Ottubru 2017 kmieni wara nofsinhar, Daphne Caruana Galizia sfat maqtula b'bomba mqieghda fil-karozza tagħha hekk kif harget mid-dar tagħha, liema qtil kien qajjmet reazzjoni kbira dakinhar u fil-jiem u gimħat ta' wara, fejn kemm hi personalment kif ukoll il-kitbiet tagħha kien is-suggett ta' hafna kummenti, kemm positivvi kif ukoll negattivi, liema reazzjonijiet u kummenti għadhom għaddejjin sal-gurnata ta' llum.
3. Jirrizulta illi ftit sieghat wara il-mewt ta' Daphne Caruana Galizia, ir-rikorrent tella post fejn ikkundanna l- "att barbaru" illi sar dakinar u qal illi ghalkemm "kont suggett ta' tkasbir

f'kitbiet minn Daphne Caruana Galizia” dak li kien sehh giet minn deskrift bhala “xokkanti dak li gara” u “fuq livell personali, nibghat il-kondoljanzi lil familja Caruana Galizia”.

4. Jirrizulta illi fit 2 ta’ Novembru 2017, ftit aktar minn gimghatejn wara illi tella tali post, ir-rikorrent, li regolarmen itella posts fuq is-sit socjali tieghu, tella post fejn ghamel is-segmenti assersjoni: “*Jekk tghix hajtek tkasbar, tmut imkasbar. Ir-rispett tirbhu tul hajtek mhux wara mewtek*”.
5. Jirrizulta illi daka il-kumment gab l-approvazzjoni ta’ mhux anqas minn 276-il persuna, filwaqt illi hmistax-il persuna kkummentaw favorevolment u kkongratulaw lir-rikorrent tal-assersjoni tieghu, fejn nies qalulu, fost affarjiet ohra “Prosit tassee”, “Well said”, “spot on”, “bravu”, “Kliem tad-deheb” u kumplimenti ohra.
6. Jirrizulta illi rizultat ta’ tali kumment, l-intimat Manuel Delia, illi għandu sit elettroniku tieghu intitolat www.manueldelia.com fejn huwa jtella ‘blogs’ u artikoli tieghu u ta’ terzi kontributuri li sussegwentement huma suggetti ghall-kummenti mill-qarrejja, tella ‘blog’ fit-8 ta’ Novembru 2017 intitolat **‘When hypocrisy is transparent’** Ili fih l-intimat ghamel varji assersjonijet u kummenti fil-konfront tar-rikorrent.
7. Jirrizulta illi r-rikorrent hassu malafamat b’dak illi inkiteb u, ftit jiem wara, nieda l-proceduri odjerni.
8. Jirrizulta illi, fix-xhieda tieghu, ir-rikorrent kelli dan xi jghid dwar dak illi inkiteb: (fol 15)

Mela, out of the blues, is-Sur Manuel Delia fetah blog tieghu u f'dan il-blog insinwa diversi titli xejn sbieħ fil-konfront tieghi, fosthom illi ipokrita, fosthom ukoll accenna illi jiena xerridt xi forma ta’ hate speech, u appartu minn hekk ukoll, mar oltre minn hekk ghaliex rajtha dannuza fejn beda jirrimarka li għandi nitkeċċa mix-xandir ghaliex b’xi mod ivvilifikajt u anke fil-kitbiet tieghi, fl-istatuses tieghi fuq facebook, urejt certu mibgheda fil-konfront tas-Sinjura Daphne Caruana Galizia, l-iktar mod li ma stajtx nifhem hu kif prova jallacca kummenti tieghi fuq facebook fejn tajt il-kondoljanzi lil familja Caruana Galizia, u imbagħad prova idawwarhom b’mod, igifieri qabad status iehor, hekk minn jeddu, u għal xi raguni dan allaccjah mas-Sinjura Daphne Caruana Galizia.

9. Jirrizulta illi, fix-xhieda tieghu, l-intimat iddifenda lilu nnifsu billi qal is-segmenti:

Jiena li assrejt huwa li Jean Claude Micallef għamel zewg kummenti kontrastanti fis-sighat u fil-granet ta’ wara li nqatlet Daphne Caruana Galizia. (fol 44)

Kieku dan il-kumment sar kwalunkwe gurnata qabel il-15 ta’ Ottubru, kien ikun qisu kwotazzjoni, jien naf minn dawn li jippubblikaw statement minn Confucius u jagħmluh tagħhom. Imma l-kuntest taz-zmien meta kien ippubblikat kien inevitabbli għalija u għal kull min qrah illi qisu bhala referenza diretta għal Daphne Caruana Galizia u kumment totalment inkonsistenti mas-sentimenti li esprima immedjatamente wara mewtha. U jien fil-kummentarju tieghu u fl-osservazzjoni tieghi għamilt l-osservazzjonijiet għar-ragunijiet għal din l-inkonsistenza illi immedjatamente wara l-qtıl ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia, is-Sur Micallef irreagixxa kif haseb li għandu jirreagixxi kulhadd u kif dak il-hin kulhadd pubblikament kien ged jirreagixxi. Imma sa ftit granet wara 1-burdata f’sezzjoni tal-popolazzjoni illi kienet tinteressa lis-Sur Micallef kienet bdiet

tinbidel u beda jsir l-argument, illi jiena nirrifjuta bl-aktar mod kategoriku, illi Daphne Caruana Galizia immeritat il-mewt tagħha.

4. Konsiderazzjoni Legali

1. Jirrizulta illi d-difiza ta' l-intimat hija msejsa fuq l-argument illi l-pubblikkazzjoni kienet ‘fair comment’ u għalhekk sostanzjalment korreti.
2. Dwar id-difiza principali ta’ ‘fair comment’, ikun ippportun illi jitqiesu xi principji generali stabblilit mill-Qrati lokali u esteri dwar tali kuncett.
3. Kif intqal fis-sentenza **Ligens vs Austria**, mhaddna mill-Qorti Maltin ukoll, il-politiku, kif ukoll, din il-Qorti tikkontendi, persuna pubblika illi tippresenta servizz fil-komunita’ illi tista tagħtiha pjattaforma li tista tintuza ghall-invloviment tagħha fil-politika, bhal fil-kaz tar-rikkorrent, huwa soggett ghall-livell ta’ kritika ferm oghla minn-normal, u di fatti, s-sentenza tħid:

The limits of acceptable criticism are accordingly wider as regards a politician as such than as regards a private individual. Unlike the latter, the former inevitably and knowingly lays himself open to close scrutiny of his every word and deed by both journalists and the public at large, and he must consequently display a greater degree of tolerance. No doubt Article 10 para. 2 (art. 10-2) enables the reputation of others - that is to say, of all individuals - to be protected, and this protection extends to politicians too, even when they are not acting in their private capacity; but in such cases the requirements of such protection have to be weighed in relation to the interests of open discussion of political issues.

4. Kif intqal fil-Qorti Ewropea għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fil-kawza **Chauvy and Others vs France** deciza fid 29 ta' Gunju 2004,

Freedom of expression constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society and one of the basic conditions for its progress and each individual's self-fulfilment. Subject to paragraph 2 of Article 10, it is applicable not only to "information" or "ideas" that are favourably received or regarded as inoffensive or as a matter of indifference, but also to those that offend, shock or disturb. Such are the demands of that pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness without which there is no "democratic society". As set forth in Article 10, this freedom is subject to exceptions, which must, however, be construed strictly, and the need for any restrictions must be established convincingly.

5. Kif gie osservat fil-kawza **Delphi AS vs Estonia** deciza mill-Qorti Ewropeja tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fl-10 ta' Ottubru 2013, id-dritt tal-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni, li giet invokata mill-intimat, giet ikkunsidrata kif gej:

78. The fundamental principles concerning the question whether an interference with freedom of expression is “necessary in a democratic society” are well established in the Court’s case-law and have been summarised as follows:

- (i) *Freedom of expression constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society and one of the basic conditions for its progress and*

for each individual's self-fulfilment. Subject to paragraph 2 of Article 10, it is applicable not only to 'information' or 'ideas' that are favourably received or regarded as inoffensive or as a matter of indifference, but also to those that offend, shock or disturb. Such are the demands of pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness without which there is no 'democratic society'. As set forth in Article 10, this freedom is subject to exceptions, which ... must, however, be construed strictly, and the need for any restrictions must be established convincingly ...

.....

80. *The Court reiterates that the right to protection of reputation is a right which is protected by Article 8 of the Convention as part of the right to respect for private life. In order for Article 8 to come into play, however, an attack on a person's reputation must attain a certain level of seriousness and be made in a manner causing prejudice to personal enjoyment of the right to respect for private life.*

81. *When examining whether there is a need for an interference with freedom of expression in a democratic society in the interests of the "protection of the reputation or rights of others", the Court may be required to ascertain whether the domestic authorities have struck a fair balance when protecting two values guaranteed by the Convention which may come into conflict with each other in certain cases, namely on the one hand freedom of expression protected by Article 10, and on the other the right to respect for private life enshrined in Article 8.*

82. *The Court has found that, as a matter of principle, the rights guaranteed under Articles 8 and 10 deserve equal respect, and the outcome of an application should not, in principle, vary according to whether it has been lodged with the Court under Article 10 of the Convention by the publisher of an offending article or under Article 8 of the Convention by the person who has been the subject of that article. Accordingly, the margin of appreciation should in principle be the same in both cases.*

83. *The Court has considered that where the right to freedom of expression is being balanced against the right to respect for private life, the relevant criteria in the balancing exercise include the following elements: contribution to a debate of general interest, how well known the person concerned is, the subject of the report, the prior conduct of the person concerned, the method of obtaining the information and its veracity, the content, form and consequences of the publication, and the severity of the sanction imposed.*

6. Fil-kawza **Erla Hlynsdottir vs Iceland** deciza mill Qorti Ewropeja għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fil-21 ta' Ottubru 2014, intqal is-segwenti:

A fundamental distinction should be made between statements that are to be categorized as factual assertions and value judgments. In its contextual examination of the disputed statement as a whole, the Court must carry out its own evaluation of the impugned statement. Furthermore, the Court has acknowledged that the distinction between value-judgments and statements of fact

may be blurred, and that the issue may need to be resolved by examining the degree of factual proof.

7. Dana l-argument huwa rifless anke fid-decizjoni illi l-istess Qorti kienet tat fil-kawza **Sizma vs Hungary** deciza f'Ottubru 2012 fejn intqal is-segwenti:

"The Court would add that in order to assess the justification of the statements in question, a distinction needs to be made between statements of fact and value judgments, in that, while the existence of facts can be demonstrated, the truth of value judgements is not susceptible of proof. The requirement to prove the truth of a value judgment is generally impossible to fulfil and infringes freedom of opinion itself, which is a fundamental part of the right secured by Article 10. The classification of a statement as a fact or a value judgment is a matter which, in the first place, falls within the margin of appreciation of the national authorities, in particular the domestic courts. However, even where a statement amounts to a value judgment, there must exist a sufficient factual basis to support it, failing which it may be."

8. Dwar ‘fair comment’, imbghad, **Gatley on Libel and Slander** jghid:

To succeed in a defence of fair comment the defendant must show that the words are comment, and not a statement of fact. He must also show that there is a basis of fact for the comment, contained or referred to in the matter complained of. Finally, he must show that the comment is on a matter of public interest, one which has expressly or implicitly put before the public for judgment or is otherwise a matter with which the public has a legitimate concern. If, however, the plaintiff can show that the comment was not made honestly or was actuated by malice, he will defeat the plea.

9. Fil-kawza **Dr Louis Galea vs Etienne St John u Felix Agius** deciza fit 30 ta' April 2015, intqal is-segwenti :

... dwar l-aspett tad-difīża tal-kumment ġust ilu żmien jingħad mill-Qrati tagħna li, biex id-difīża tal-kumment ġust tkun tħodd, jeħtieg li min jistrieh fuqha jseħħħlu juri li (a) l-kumment kien imsejjes fuq fatt li jkun issemma fil-pubblikazzjoni li minnha jitressaq l-ilment; (b) il-fatt imsemmi jrid ikun sostanzjalment minnu; (c) il-kumment irid jintwera li jkun ġustifikabbli jew mistħoqq; (d) il-kumment irid ikun tali li jikkwalifika bħala kritika u mhux żebliħ, tgħajjir jew insolenza; u (e) irid jagħti l-fehma onesta tal-kummentatur u li l-pubblikazzjoni ta' dik il-fehma ma saritx b'hażen jew bil-ħsieb preċiż li jweġġa' lil dak li jkun.

10. Tali tagħlim huwa anke rifless f'gurisprudenza estera u, di fatti, fil-kawza **Spiller vs Joseph** deciza mill-Qorti tal-Appell Ingliza fl-1 ta' Dicembru 2010, Lord Phillips għamel is-segwenti konsiderazzjonijiet meta wieħed iqis id-difiza ta' ‘fair comment’:

A subsidiary but important issue was what it was that a defendant had to prove in order to establish the defence of fair comment. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that the defendant had to establish that: (i) the words complained of were comment; (ii) the comment was on facts; (iii) the facts commented on constituted a matter of public interest; (iv) the comment was objectively “fair”; that is the comment was one that was capable of being honestly founded on the facts to which it related, albeit by someone who was prejudiced and obstinate; (v) the

comment represented the defendant's honest opinion. If he discharged all these burdens, the defence could none the less be defeated by proof of malice on the part of the defendant, but the onus of proving malice lay on the plaintiff. Both the Court of Appeal and the House of Lords held that there was no burden on the defendant to establish the fifth element. The defendant's honesty was assumed unless the plaintiff could disprove it by establishing malice.

11. Finalment, kif osservat dina l-Qorti, kif ppresjeduta, fil-kawza ‘**Jesmond Mugliette vs Alfred Sant’**

Din il-Qorti tirrileva wkoll illi wasal il-mument illi tali regola titwessa aktar fis-sens illi meta jkun hemm dibattitu politiku għaddej bejn politici, tali livell ta' kritika għadha interpretata f'livell aktar wiesgha minn dak ipprovdut hawn fuq, peress illi d-dibattitu politiku huwa l-pern ta' socjeta demokratika u, għalhekk għandha tigi protetta u stimulata minn dina l-Qorti u mhux imxekkla fl-operat tagħha.

5. Konsiderazzjonijiet Finali

1. Jirrizulta, mill-provi prodotti illi, r-rikorrent ma huwiex biss xandar tat-televizjoni, izda huwa wkoll persuna politika, stante illi huwa kien ukoll kandidat tal-Partit Laburista fl-elezzjoni ta’ Gunju 2017, ossija ftit zhur qabel ma gew ippubblikat il-‘posts’ illi dwarhom ikkummenta l-intimat u li wasslet ghall-kawza odjerna.
2. Jirrizulta illi, dakinhar tal-qtıl ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia, ir-rikorrent espriema s-sogħba tieghu għal dak illi gara u kkundanna il-vjolenza tal-akkadut, u dana billi ppubblikah fuq il-pagna tieghu fuq is-sit socjali Facebook.
3. Jirrizulta, izda, illi ftit aktar minn gimgatejn wara, fuq l-istess pagna tieghu, huwa ghazel illi jtella kumment fejn ddikjara lill kullhadd li “*Jekk tħixx hajtek tkasbar, tmut imkasbar. Ir-rispett tirbhu tul hajtek mhux wara mewtekk*”
4. Jirrizulta illi tali dikjarazzjoni saret fl-isfond ta; dak kollu illi kien qiegħed isehh dak iz-zmien fil-pajjiz, ossija dskussjoni u dibattiti shah dwar il-qtıl ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia, fejn il-kitbiet u l-agir tagħha kien suggett ghall-opinjonijiet divergenti, fejn uhud bdew jidolizzawha filwaqt illi ohrajn bdew jiddimonizzawha.
5. Jirrizulta illi r-rikorrent, kien già indika, fil-post tieghu, illi huwa kien “*suggett ta' tkasbir f'kitbiet minn Daphne Caruana Galizia bhala strument għal klikka partikolari*”
6. Jirrizulta, għalhekk, illi l-fatt illi r-rikorrent ghazel illi, fil-post tieghu tat 2 ta’ Novembru 2017, li jutilizza l-kelma “*tkabsar*” fl-assersjoni tieghu, meta ftit jiem qabel kien asserixxa wkoll illi huwa kien “*suggett ta' tkasbir f'kitbiet minn Daphne Caruana Galizia*” setgħet facilment tigi interpretata bhala illi kien qed jagħmel il-kumment tieghu tat 2 ta’ Novembru 2017 fil-konfront ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia u l-hajja tagħha.
7. Jirrizulta illi ghalkemm ir-rikorrenti jikkontendi u jinsisti illi tali kumment ma kienx fil-konfront ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia, huwa car, kemm mill-isfond u atmosfera li kien hemm fil-pajjiz dak iz-zmien illi ntqal tali kumment, fejn il-qtıl ta’ Daphne Caruana Galizia w-l-investigazzjonijiet kienu dejjem fuq il-mezzi tax-xandir bi prominenza, kif ukoll mil-kummenti tas-segwaci tar-rikorrent fuq il-pagna tas-sit socjali tieghu, fejn gie kkongratulat

tal-kumment tieghu b'approvazzjoni, illi tali kumment inftiehem li kien dirett lejn Daphne Caruana Galizia w il-hajja tagħha.

8. Jirrizulta illi kienet rizultat ta' tali percezzjoni illi setghet tat il-kumment tieghu, illi l-intimat għamel il-kummenti tieghu fil-konfront tar-rikorrenti.
9. Jirrizulta, għalhekk, illi l-intimat kien korrett jasal għal tali konkluzjoni, anke jekk ir-riktorrent jinsisti li l-kummenti ma kienux fil-konfront ta' Daphne Caruana Galizia, ghax il-percezzjoni mogħtija lill-qarrejja fil-mument tal-pubblikkazzjoni setghet ma kienetx dik illi jikkontendi r-riktorrent, u għalhekk għandhom jitqiesu bhala ‘fair comment’ il-kummenti ta’ l-intimat dwar il-posizzjoni mehudha fil-pubbliku mir-riktorrent, illi huwa persuna pubblika kif ukoll politika b’numru konsidervoli ta’ segwaci fuq il-pagna tieghu fuq is-sit socjali Facebook.
10. Il-Qorti, hawnhekk, ma tistax ma tagħml ix-referenza għal dak illi din il-Qorti stess qabel fil-kawza **‘Charmaine Martin vs Jasmine Urry’** deciza fit 12 ta’ Novembru 2018, fejn qalet is-segwenti:

Il Qorti, għal darba ohra, ma tistax ma tesprimix it-thassib serju tagħha dwar kien qiegħed jintuzaw l-pjattaformi tal-hekk-imsejjha “social media”, bhalma hija Facebook, fejn, aktar ma jghaddi z-zmien, aktar jidher illi dawn qed ikunu ghodda ta’ tħejġi u tkasbir ta’ individwi da parte ta’ persuni illi jkunu jridu jitfghu dawl ikrah fuq individwu jew individwi ma’ grupp ta’ persuni jew, sahansitra, mad-dinja kollha.

Sfornatamente, jidher illi flok ma’ tali mezzi qed jintuzaw sabiex jghaqqu n-nies flimkien, dawni qiegħdin jintuzaw sabiex joholqu aktar disgwid u mibgheda bejn gruppi ta’ nies u qed iwasslu għal estremizmu fl-ideat u veduti ta’ persuni, bil-possibilita’ ta’ azzjonijiet perikoluzi bhala rizultat ta’ tali estremizmu, liema zvilupp ma jagħmel ebda gid lil socjeta demokratika, illi hija bbazata fuq il-pluralizmu u skambju liberu ta’ veduti, u li tenhtieg id-diskussjoni libera u franka bejn kullhadd u rispett lejn l-opinjonijiet ta’ kullhadd sabiex d-demokrazija tkun tista tirnexxi u tistaghna.

Jenhtieg illi kullhadd jgharraf il-hsara illi tali mezzi qed jikkraw illum il-gurnata u jifhmu li huwa biss bl-edukazzjoni fil-mod kif jintuzaw tali mezzi u rispett lejn xulxin illi s-socjeta demokratika, bhalma hija dik Malta, tista timxi l’quddiem.

11. Il-Qorti tosserva ukoll illi persuni politici u pubblici għandhom ikunu ta’ ezempju ghac-cittadini w is-segwaci tagħhom fuq is-sit socjali tagħhom u għandhom jgharrfu l-konsegwenzi ta’ kull ma jghidu fejn għandhom jifhmu illi l-mod dwar kif jagħixxu u jesprimu ruhhom pubblikament u fuq il-mezzi socjali jista facilment jhegħegġ lill-ohrajn jagħmlu bhalhom u jitqies accettabbli, u għalhekk jinkombi l-obbligu fuq tali persuni politici u pubblici illi jassikuraw li jkunu huma stess ezempju positiv lill kullhadd sabiex, fi kliem ir-riktorrent stess fil-post tieghu tas-16 ta’ Ottubru 2017, “*fuq livell socjali nhegħegġ biex l-ghaqda nazzjonali tirrenja*”.

6. Konkluzjoni

Il-Qorti,

Wara illi rat il-provi kollha prodotta quddiemha,

Wara illi semghet is-sottomissjonijiet ta' l-abбли difensuri taz-zewgt partijiet

Tghaddi biex taqta u tiddeciedi l-kaz billi

Tilqa l-eccezzjonijiet tal-intimat, u ghalhekk

Tichad it-talba attrici

Spejjez kollha tal-proceduri odjerni ikunu a kariku tar-rikorrent.

Magistrat Francesco Depasquale

Rita Sciberras

Deputat Registratur