



# FIL-QORTI TAL-MAGISTRATI TA' MALTA

## MAGISTRAT DR FRANCESCO DEPASQUALE

**Seduta ta' nhar it-Tnejn hamsa u ghoxrin (25) ta' Gunju 2018**

**Rikors Numru 227/15 FDP**

**Dr Simon Busuttil  
(ID 242669M)**

**vs**

**Josef Caruana  
(ID 150573M)**

Il-Qorti:-

Rat ir-rikors promotur ippresentat fit-3 ta' Awissu 2015 fejn ir-rikorrent talab lill-Qorti tikkundanna lir-rikorrent, bhala editur tal-gurnal It-Torca

*(1) Prevja li din l-Onorabbli Qorti tiddeciedi u tiddikjara li l-artikolu ppubblikat fuq il-gurnal 'It-Torca' fl-ewwel (1), fid-disa' (9) u fit-tlettax (13)-il pagna tal-harga tal-Hadd, tnejn (2) ta' Awissu tas-sena elfejn u hmistax (2015) intitolat fl-ewwel (1) pagna 'RIKATT ABBUZIV NAZZJONALISTA' li jkompli fuq id-disa' (9) pagna bit-titolu 'SIMON: BIEX JIFTHU JAFU X'GHANDHOM JAGHMLU' u terga' ssir riferenza ghall-istess allegazzjoni fit-tlettax (13)-il pagna fl-editorjal bit-titolu 'MIN QAL LI L-POLITIKA TEHTIEG TINDIFA?!!' kien jikkontjeni allegazzjonijiet u insinwazzjonijiet inveritieri, foloz u malafamanti fil-konfront tal-attur u li għandhom bhala skop li joffendu l-unur, ir-reputazzjoni u I-fama tieghu u li jesponuh għar-redikolu u disprezz tal-pubbliku u/jew iħammgu r-reputazzjoni tieghu, senjatament fejn gie allegat fil-caption li r-rikorrent "..indika li seta' jabbuža mill-poter għal skopijiet partiggjani..." fejn jintqal li "... indikal car li jekk ried jerga' jiftah il-pompa tal-petrol f'Hal Qormi kella jaqthih dokumenti relatati mal-każ tad-Daewoo 20 sena qabel ..." kif ukoll numru ta' siltiet ohra li gew ippubblikati fl-imsemmi artikolu u l-editorjal;*

(2) *Tikkundanna lill-intimat sabiex ihallas lill-attur dik is-somma li tiffissa l-istess Onorabbbli Qorti, liema somma ma teccedix l-ammont ta' hdax-il elf, sitt mijja u sitta u erbghin ewro (11,646.00) bhala danni b'applikazzjoni tal-Att dwar l-Istampa, Kap. 248 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta.*

Rat l-ewwel artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna fejn, fil-faccata, flimkien ma' ritratt tar-rikorrent, hemm l-artikolu b'titulu ta' “**Rikatt Abbusiv Nazzjonalista**” illi jibda fil-faccata u jkompli fil-pagna disgha taht it-titulu ‘**Simon: “Biex jifthu, jafu x’ghandhom jaghmlu”**’, u jghid dan li gej:

*Lejliet l-Elezzjoni Generali tal-2013 Simon Busuttil iltaqa' man-negożjant Joe Gaffarena u indikal car li jekk ried jerga jiftah il-pompa tal-petrol f'Hal Qormi kelli jaghtih dokumenti relatati mal-kaž tad-Daewoo 20 sena qabel... wisq probabbli bl-iskop biex ikisser lil John Dalli*

*F'laqgha koordinata mill-Ministru tas-Sahha Joe Cassa ftit xhur qabel l-ahhar Elezzjoni Genearli, Simon Busuttil, li dak iz-zmien kien Vici Kap tal-Partit Laburista u l-id leminija tal-Prim Ministru Lawrence Gonzi, ried jiltaqa' personalment man-negożjanti maghrufin Joe u Marc Gaffarena. Missier u iben.*

*Dawn ta' l-ahhar, li bhalissa jinsabu fil-mira ta' attakki kontinwi mill-PON, f'dak il-periodu kienu qed jilmentaw li ma kienux qed jithallew joperaw pompa tal-petrol li għandhom f'Hal Qormi minhabba ksur tal-permess originali u kellha tghaddi l-Elezzjoni tal-2013 biex jibdew joperaw partijiet minnha.*

*Illum Busuttil ripetutament jinsisti fid-diskorsi tieghu li "trid tkun kunjomok Gaffarena biex tingeda minn dan il-Gvern Laburista", b'referenza kemm ghall-ghoti tal-permess ghall-użu ta' parti mill-pompa tal-petrol kif ukoll ghall-kaž tal-esproprjazzjori ta' parti minn binja fi Triq Zekka, il-Belt Valletta, mixtriha mill-Gvern minn fejn diga topera entità tieghu. Dan tal-ahhar, li fih kien involut is-Segretarju Parlamentari Michael Falzon, għadu qed jigi investigat mill-Gvern. Minkejja dan, tagħrif li t-TORCA kisbet minn sorsi qrib hafna tat-tmexxija Nazzjonalista, jirriżulta li dawn l-attakki feroci kellhom il-bidu tagħhom wara dik il-laqgha fid- Dar Centrali ghall-habta ta' Novembru Dicembru 2012, li nżammet sigrieta sal-lum.*

*L-istess sorsi jiishqu li Busuttil, dakinhar bhala Vici Kap Nazzjonalista, kelli I-impressjoni li Joe Gaffarena, li kien sid il-kumpanija tal-karozzi Daewoo f'nofs is-snin disghin, seta' jghaddilu materjal importanti biex ikisser lill-eks-Ministru John Dalli, li kif inhu magħruf ma kien xejn sew mat-tmexxija tal-PN.*

*Bil-maqlub, lejliet l-ahhar Elezzjoni Generali, mid-Dar Centrali kienu qegħdin jimbottaw biex Dalli jigi arrestat mill-Pulizija lokali b'rabta mad-Direttiva tat-Tabakk li kienet mistennija toħrog u I-allegat attentat ta' tixhim mill-eks-kanvażer tieghu Silvio Zammit biex jinfluwenzah bhala Kummissarju għas-Sahha tal-Unjoni Ewropea ... xi haga li Dalli għadu jikkontesta sal-lum.*

*Il-kaž kien ukoll wassal għar-riżenza sforzata ta' Dalli mill-Kummissjoni u ghad hemm kawża legali pendenti dwar dan.*

*"Peress li I-pjan tieghu li kien tar mar-riħ, (Busuttil) lanqas biss ried ikompli jitkellem ma' Joe (Gaffarena) u t-tifel (Mark). Indikalhom car li jekk riedu jifthu, jafu ezatt*

*x'kellhom jaghmlu," tennew is-sorsi, b'referenza li l-pompa tal-familja Gaffarena kienet ghadha miżmuma magħluqa mill-Awtorità Maltija għall-Izvilupp u l-Ippjanar (MEPA).*

*Dak iż-zmien il-MEPA kienet taht il-Ministru Mario DeMarco, illum Vici Kap tal-istess Busuttil.*

*Ikkuntatjat bit-telefown minn din il-gazzetta lbierah, in-negozjant Joe Gaffarena la cahad u lanqas ried jikkonferma li kien mitlub mill-Ministru Joe Cassar biex imur id-Dar Centrali fl-ahhar tal-2012 fejn kellu jkellmu I-Vici Kap Simon Busuttil u li kien talbu jiehu mieghu "I-karti kollha li kellu".*

*Huwa magħruf li dak iż-żmien Busuttil, bhala delegat specjali tal-Prim Ministro Gonzi u bhala Vici Kap tal-Partit wara li Tonio Borg, li kien jokkupa I-pożizzjoni, intbagħat iservi fil-Kummissjoni Ewropea, kien qed jiľtaqa' regolarmen ma' negozjanti li bdew jinqatgħu mill-PN bil-ghan li jipprova jnaqqas parti minn dik l-emorogija.*

*"M'għandix idea hi," kienet l-ewwel reazzjoni spontanja ta' Gaffarena. Izda meta indikajna certi dettalji oħrajn mill-istess lagħha u li Busuttil kien talbu xi karti biex ikun jiġi jista' jghinu jew jirrangalu halli jiftah il-pompa tal-petrol, Gaffarena tenna li lil Cassar ja fu u li jista' jaġhti l-każž li Itaqqa' ma' Busuttil meta kien għadu l-Vici ta' Gonzi.*

*Aktar minn hekk, meta gie indikat li seta' kien li hemm xi intenzjonijiet politici minn Busuttil f'dik l-istess lagħha lejliet I-Elezzjoni, Gaffarena wiegeb li "Busuttil zgur kien jaf b'pompi oħrajn tal-petrol li wkoll kellhom irregolaritajiet u nghataw il-permessi waqt li huma kien fil-Gvern. Jien qatt ma tlalt lill-Partit Laburista biex jiffavorini b'xi haga jew mort għand xi Ministro ghall-ghajjnuna.*

*"Politici Nazzjonalisti, l-aktar bhat-Tabib George Hyzler li kellu rispett kbir lejn il-familja tiegħi, imma wkoll bhal Eddie Fenech Adami u Guido de Marko, gejna ttrattati b'gustizzja Mħux l-istess nista' nħid għal Gonzi u Simon (Busut til). Il-permess originali tal-pompa kien ingħatali f'żmien (Eddie) Fenech Adami, iżda wara li Gonzi lahaq Prim Ministro u stedintu d-dar ghall-ikel, flimkien mal-mara tiegħu, m'ghaddewx għimaginej li cempluli mill-MEPA biex nagħlaq il-pompa ghax kien se jżommuli I-permess," kompla Gaffarena, bla ma rrefera għal-laqgha fid-Dar Centrali.*

*"U għal min issa qed ipoggi f'dubju qattx tajt xi haga lill-PN, nħid lu, f'Gunju ta' din is-sena stess, tajt lil Frank Psaila (eks-Ufficial tal-Komunikazzjoni Nazzjonalisti, illum prezen tatur fuq NET TV) u lil Michael Fenech Adami (eks-Sindku ta' Birkirkara, hu Beppe u iben l-eks-Prim Ministro u President Nazzjonalisti) mal-€1,500 reklami għan-NET, li wkoll kien bdew jixxandru fl-intervall tal-istess ahbari jiet principali fejn kienu bdew l-attakki fuqna minhabba l-pompa," temm jħid Gaffarena.*

*Mitlub ilbierah jikkonferma wkoll mit-TORCA jekk tali laqgha lejliet I-Elezzjoni Generali kinitx saret jew l u jekk iva x'kien diskuss, fi ftit ki kliem kelliem ghall-PN spjega xort'ohra. "Joe Gaffarena kien talab lil Simon Busuttil b' biex jinhariglu l-permess ghall-pompa tal-petrol illegali f'Hal Qormi. It-tweġiba kienet fin-negattiv. Madanakollu, il-permess li ntalab dakħar inhareg ftit jiem biss wara li Joseph Muscat sar Prim Ministro."*

Rat it-tieni artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna li, taht il-kliem "Fehma tat-Torca" kien hemm titolu li jħid "Min qal li l-politika tenħtieg tindifa?!" u mbghad jingħad dan li gej:

*Fl-ahhar xhur meta l-Partit Nazzjonalista ta' Simon Busuttil beda hiereg b'ideat "friski", li jinghad kienu gejjin minn pariri ta' xi esperti barranin, kien qed ikollna okkazjoni regolari ta' divertiment b' differenza fil-politika Maltija. Ovvjament, mehuda kull cirkostanza li ddeffes fihom jew deffsitu fihom il-klikka li ddur ma' Richard Cachia Caruana, l-istrategista ewlioni tal-Partit, l-investiment f'min kapaci jaghti dehra gdida lill-PN wara l-2013 u direzzjoni differenti biex tibda tigi rkuprata I-kredibbiltà kollha mitlufa, mhux biss kien necessarju, izda inevitabbli. Minkeja dan, l-ingagg ta' esperti, li zgur jafu kif jithallsu sew anke minn fuq Partit midjun sa mniehru, wassal ghal ferm izjed feriti mdennijin milli dik il-kura mixtieqa.*

*Tali esperti seta' kellhom konoxxenza ta kif tkun il-politika fpaijizi ohrain demokratici, iżda żgur mhux f'Malta, dik li l-istess Partit Ii ntalbu jghinu kien irriduciha f'agħar minn maqjal ghall-berah wara xebha xita. Dawk li kienu u forsi għadhom jagħtu l-pariri lill-PN, anke I-aktar semplici dwar kif il-Kap jidher "tan-nies", ma kellhom l-ebda idea li t-tattici tagħhom li setghu rnexxew kullimkien, hawn ma kienu se j'impressionaw lil hadd. Wahda minn dawn l-okkazjonijiet kienet dik fejn Busuttil hareġ bl-ghajta li jrid inaddaf il-politika lokali. Mhux ghax xi darba kellna xi dubju, izda llum konvinti - u l-istorja ewlenija ppubblikata fil-harga tagħna llum tkompli tapprova-kemm din ma kienet xejn inqas minn hanqa populista bhal oħrajin qabel jew wara u li ma jfissru u ma jsarrfu assolutament xejn ta' gid ghall-pajjiż jew il-poplu. Irridu nkunu tassew cwieč biex nemmnu li Simon Busuttil tal-lum u li fl-ahhar sentejn qatt ma ried u lanqas xtaq li jinqata' kompletament mill-passat ricenti tal-PN, m'hux wieħed li kien hawn f'Novembru-Dicembru 2012 meta bhala Viċi Kap Nazzjonalista kien stieden lil negozjant magħruf fid-Dar Centrali u, bil-poter għad-dispozizzjoni, irrikattah b'permess tal-MEPA. Awtorità li suppost kienet awtonoma u indipendentni mill-politika u l-amministrazzjoni. Jew jagħtih x'kellu bzonn il-Partit, inkella jinsa li jkompli bin-negożju.*

*Fil-fatt, la ma kienx ingħata dak li kien konvint li seta' jikseb facilment, l-istess permess baqa mizmum u kellha tghaddi l-Elezzjoni tal-2013 biex jinhareg b'mod ristrett.*

*Li l-awtoritajiet u l-entitajiet kollha taht l-ahhar Gvernijiet Nazzjonalisti kienu sfruttati biex jaqdu lill-Partit mill-koxxa kien magħruf izżejjed. Kritika komuni dak iż-żmien kienet li ma kien fadal l-ebda distinżjoni bejn Partit u Gvern. Izda kemm tagħtina l-memorja din hi l-ewwel darba li johrog hekk car kif tali poter intuża abbużivament biex jigi rrrikattat xi hadd għal kwistjoni li hija purament partiggjana il-qtil politiku tal-eks-Ministru John Dalli, li hu wkoll magħruf minn kulhadd kemm ma jarax ghajn m'ghajnejha ma Richard Cachia Caruana u l-klikka tieghu, inkluż Busuttil innifsu.*

*Dan u aktar - bhax-xibka ta' spjunagg infilsata fic-Civil - fil-verità ma jindika xejn ghajr li, irrelevanti minn dak li jiddikjara, bhala Kap tal-PN Busuttil jinsab nieżel ffossa tinten politika aktar malajjr minn kemm ha żmien il-predeċessur tieghu, Lawrence Gonzi, li wkoll kien jappartjeni lill-istess corma.*

Rat illi fil 5 ta' Awissu 2015 ir-rikorrent għamel talba sabiex il-kawza tieghu tinstema bl-urgenza, liema talba giet michuda mit-13 ta' Awissu 2015.

Rat ir-risposta ta' l-intimat ippresentata fl-24 ta' Awissu 2015 fejn laqa' għal dak mitlub billi qal is-segwenti:

1. *Il-kitba in kwistjoni tikkontjeni fatti u ahbarijiet u xi osservazzjonijiet dwar dawn li jaqghu taht "value judgement" li mieghu il-qarrej hu hieles li jaqbel jew ma jaqbilx.*
2. *Il-kwistjoni "Gaffarena", kif saret illum maghrufa, hi oggett ta' nteress liku li gibed l-attenzjoni ta' diversi nhawi u jista jinghad tal-pajjiz kollu. Din hi kwistjoni li tqajjmet mir-Rikorrent stess u mill-kollegi politici tieghu (kif kellhom dritt) u l-esponent fdan ir-rigward qeda dmiru lejn il-qarrejja tieghu billi gharrafhom b'fatti li sar jaf bihom liema dmir jikkorrispondi mad-dritt tal-qarreja li jkunu mgharrfa bl-istess.*
3. *Illi llum dejjem qed izjed jigi konoxxut is-siwi, f'demokrazija, tal-gurnalizmu investigativ u gwaj jekk dan jigi mfixkel jew jinstadd il-halq. Fl-istess waqt hi llum gurisprudenza kostanti li min jiddeciedi li jidhol fil-tista titqies insolenti (dejjem fcertu qies) ecc.*

Rat l-affidavit ta' **Dr Simon Busuttil**, ippresentat fit-19 ta' Novembru 2015.

Semghet ix-xhieda ta' **Dr Joseph Cassar**, moghtija fis-7 ta' April 2016, u prodott mir-rikorrenti, in ezami u kontro ezami.

Rat illi fis 7 ta' April 2016 ir-rikorrenti ddikjara illi ma kellux aktar provi x'jippresenta.

Semghet ix-xhieda ta' **Josef Caruana** moghtija fis-6 ta' Ottubru 2016.

Ra l-affidavit ta' **Joseph Gaffarena** u ta' **Marco Gaffarena** ppresentat mill-intimat fix-xhieda tieghu u li tinstab inserita fil-process 231/15 fl-ismijiet 'Dr Simon Busuttil vs Josef Caruana' (fol 33 u 35) illi qieghda tigi deciza flimkien mal-kawza odjerna.

Semghet ix-xhieda ta' Joseph Gaffarena, moghtija fis-16 ta' Frar 2017 u prodott mill-intimat, in ezami u kontro ezami.

Semghet ix-xhieda ta' **Marco Gaffarena**, moghtija fl-24 ta' April 2017 u prodott mill-intimat, in ezami u kontro ezami.

Semghet ix-xhieda ulterjuri ta' **Dr Joseph Cassar**, moghtija fl-20 ta' Novembru 2017, u prodott mir-rikorrenti, in ezami u kontro ezami.

Rat illi fl-24 ta' April 2018 il-partijiet ddikjaraw illi ma kienx hemm provi ohra x'jippresentaw.

Semghet is-sottomissjonijiet orali tal-abbli difensuri taz-zewgt partijiet moghtija fl-10 ta' Mejju 2018, wara liema data il-kawza giet differita ghas-sentenza.

## Ikkunsidrat

Jirrizulta, mill-provi prodotti, illi r-rikorrenti, Dr Simon Busuttil, meta gie ppubblikat l-artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna, kien Kap tal-Partit Nazzjonalista u Kap tal-Opposizzjoni tal-gurnata.

Jirrizulta wkoll illi qabel l-Elezzjoni Generali tas-sena 2013, huwa kien Vici Kap tal-Partit Nazzjonalista.

Jirrizulta illi fil-periodu meta gew ippubbliakti z-zewgt artikoli meritu tal-kawza odjerna, kienu qieghdin jigu rappurtata stejjer fuq il-mezzi tax-xandir illi kienet tinvolvi lill-familja Gaffarena, wahda illi kienet tirrigwarda l-ghoti ta' permess ghall-pompa tal-petrol gewwa Hal-Qormi lill Joseph Gaffarena u ohra illi kienet tirriwgwarda l-akkwist da' parte ta' Marco Gaffarena ta' sehem ta' binja gewwa Strada Zekka, il-Belt, liema storja spiccat kemm fuq il-mezzi tax-xandir kif ukoll fil-Qrati.

Jirrizulta illi fit-tnejn (2) ta' Awissu 2015, il-gazzetta ta' nhar ta' Hadd, it-Torca, tellghet storja fil-faccata tagħha u kompliet fid-disgħa pagna, fejn allegat illi r-rikorrent Simon Busuttil, qabel l-elezzjoni tas-sena 2013, talab illi jiltaqa' mal-familja Gaffarena, precizament Joe Gaffarena u Marco Gaffarena, sabiex jingħata informazzjoni da' parte ta' l-istess Gaffarena dwar il-kaz tad-Daewoo illi kien sehh ghoxrin sena qabel, biex ikun jista jattakka politikament lill John Dalli.

Jirrizulta illi, tali storja eventwalment giet irrapprtata fuq il-One News, li hija l-meritu tal-kawza Rik Nru 230/15 – ‘*Simon Busuttil vs Aleander Balzan*’ u fuq il-‘One Radio’, li hija l-meritu tal-kawza Rik Nru 230/15 – ‘*Simon Busuttil vs Aleander Balzan*’, liema kawzi qed jigu decizi kontestwalment mal-kawza odjerna.

Jirrizulta wkoll illi tali storja giet ukoll irrapprtata fil-gurnal l-Orizzont tat-3 ta' Awissu 2015 u l-4 ta' Awissu 2015, liema artikoli huma l-meritu ta' zewgt kawzi ohra, Rik Nru 228/15 u 231/18 rispettivament, t-tnejn fl-ismijiet ‘*Simon Busuttil vs Josef Caruana*’, liema kawzi qed jigu decizi kontestwalment mal-kawza odjerna.

## Ikkunsidrat

Jirrizulta illi, fil-proceduri odjerni, xehdu, apparti z-zewgt partijiet, Dr Joseph Cassar prodott mir-rikorrent, Joseph Gaffarena, li tieghu gie ppresentat wkoll affidavit datat 3 ta' Awissu 2015, ossija l-ghada illi gie ppubblikat l-artikolu meritu tal-kawza odjerna, u Marco Gaffarena, dawn it-tnejn ta' l-ahhar prodotti mill-intimat.

Jirrizulta illi, skond ma jintqal fl-artikoli meritu tal-kawza odjerna, ir-rikorrent, meta kien għadu Vici Kap tal-Partit Nazzjonalista u qabel l-Elezzjoni Generali ta' Marzu 2013, kien talab specifikament sabiex jiltaqa' ma' Joseph u Marco Gaffarena, missier u iben, u kien inkariga lill Dr Joseph Cassar, dak iz-zmien Ministru tas-sahha fil-Gvern tal-gurnata immexxi mill-Partit Nazzjonalista, sabiex jirranga tali laqgha.

Jirrizulta, dejjem skond tali artikoli, illi l-laqgha kienet intiza da' parte tar-rikorrent sabiex l-familja Gaffarena tipproducilu dokumentazzjoni u “*sabiex jghaddilu materjal importanti biex ikisser lill eks-Ministru John Dalli, li kif inhu magħruf ma' kien xejn sew mat-tmexxija tal-PN.*”

Jirrizulta, dejjem skond tali artikolu, illi l-familja Gaffarena ma gabitlu ebda dokumentazzjoni izda insistiet illi r-rikorrenti, bhala Vici Kap tal-Partit Nazzjonalista u bhala pjacir fil-periodu ta' qabel l-Elezzjoni Generali, kellu jghinhom sabiex jigibu l-permess ghall-pompa tal-petrol gewwa Hal Qormi, liema permess il-MEPA kienu qed jirrifjutaw illi jagħtuh.

Jirrizulta, skond dak miktub fl-artikolu, illi r-rikorrent meta sema hekk “*indikalhom car li jekk riedu jifthu, jafu ezatt x'kellhom jagħmlu*”, liema fatt kien ifisser, skond l-artikolist, illi r-rikorrent “*kien talbu jiehu mieghu ‘l-karti kollha li kella’*.”

## Ikkunsidrat

Dr Joseph Cassar, prodott mir-rikorrent, jikkontendi illi f'laqgha illi huwa kellu ma' Joseph Gaffarena, dana kien talbu li jirrangalu laqgha mar-rikorrent Dr Simon Busuttil, liema laqgha huwa kien ha hsieb illi jirranga, u li saret gewwa d-Dar Centrali tal-Partit Nazzjonalista, ghal liema laqgha Joseph Gaffarena attenda ghaliha wahdu flimkien ma' Dr Joseph Cassar u r-rikorrent.

Jirrizulta, skond Dr Cassar, illi Joseph Gaffarena ried jitqua' mar-rikorrent sabiex jiddiskuti l-problema tieghu relatata mar-rifjut tal-hrug ta' permess ghall-pompa tal-petrol ta' Hal Qormi illi huwa kellu, u Gaffarena intalab minn Dr Cassar igib mieghu d-dokumentazzjoni kollha in konnessjoni ma' tali pompa u l-permessi illi huwa kien qiegħed jitlob.

Jirrizulta illi, skond Dr Cassar, waqt il-laqgha, Joseph Gaffarena lmenta mieghu dwar il-posizzjoni meħuda mill-MEPA kontra l-pompa tal-petrol tieghu u, skond Dr Cassar, ir-risposta ta' Dr Busuttil kienet lill Joseph Gaffarena: “*kien jaf x'inhuma l-affarjet li kellu jagħmel biex he puts himself in order with MEPA.*” (fol 26)

Jirrizulta, dejjem skond Dr Cassar, illi iben Joseph Gaffarena, Marco Gaffarena, ma kienx presenti, u fl-ebda mument waqt il-laqgha ma saret referenza ghall-kwistjoni tad-Daewoo u John Dalli u Joseph Gaffarena qatt ma ntalab jiproduci dokument in konnessjoni mad-Daewoo.

## Ikkunsidrat

Jirrizulta illi Joseph Gaffarena, fix-xhieda tieghu, kif ukoll ikkonfermat fl-affidavit tieghu miktub min-nutar peress illi huwa stqarr li ma jafx jikteb, huwa jagħti s-segwenti verzjoni tal-fatti:

*Għedlu (lill Marco Gaffarena) “imxi mieghi ghedlu ghax iriedna Simon Busuttil. Ghedlu nahseb li ser jaġtuna l-permess tal-pompa,” jien. U jiena morna, kif dhalt, mejda kbira hekk. Cassar hawn fit-tarf tagħha, jien hawn, it-tifel tieghi hdejja, u Simon bilqiegħda hekk quddiemna. Qalli “mela ma gibhomx il-karti?” Ghedlu “x’ karti huma?” Qalli “mhux tad-Daewoo!” Ghedlu “jien hsibt li l-karti tal-pompa. Id-Dawoow x’ għandha x’ taqsam?”, jien. “Ta’ John Dalli ta’ John Dalli”. Ghedlu “jiena x’ għandi x’ naqsam f’ dawn l-affarjet? Ghedlu jien fuq il-pompa għandi x’ naqsam, dak li jinteressani, mhux minn John Dalli!” Qam Simon minn bilqiegħda u qal “ghax is-Sur Gaffarena jaf x’ għandu jagħmel.” Ghedlu “min qallek li ma nafx x’ għandi nagħmel?” Ghedlu “bye”. U talqt ‘il barra. Dik l-istorja.*

Jirrizulta illi, fix-xhieda ta' Joseph Gaffarena, huwa jikkontendi illi lill-Partit Nazzjonalista huwa kien ta hafna flus “biex nghinhom għal partit dak iz-zmien” u “tlett xhur qabel (il-laqgha mar-rikorrenti) tajhom ghoxrin elf. Tliet xhur qabel, hadhomli ta’ xejn .... il-familja tiegħi!”(fol 47-48)

Jirrizulta illi, mitlub jghid jekk kienx qed jippretendi illi l-Partit Nazzjonalista johroġlu l-permess tal-pompa tal-petrol ta' Hal Qormi, huwa jghid is-segwenti:

*Ma' hadd iehor mhux hekk għamlu? Tahulhom il-permess. Mhux ser noqghod insemmi lil min. Ma jinteressani minn hadd jien. Imma kulhadd jaf min huma. Daqs kemm taw.*

Jirrizulta, finalment, illi dejjem skond Joseph Gaffarena, illi wara l-Elezzjoni Generali, il-permezz tal-pompa tal-petrol inhariglu, ghalkemm kellu jagħmel garanzija ta' nofs miljun Euro.

Dwar ir-rikorrent, Joseph Gaffarena kellu dan x'jghid: (fol 45-46)

*“Dejjem jattakkani familjari, personali, familja. Mill-pedament sa fuq. Il-familja x’ tahti? Ghalfejn dejjem jattakka l-familja tieghi? Ma għandux hu familja x’ jara? Ma għamilx zbalji mal-familja tieghu? Jien ma għamiltx wiehed. Wiehed. Imma hu għamel kemm għamel ...!*

...

*Tieghi jara? Tagħna jara? Lilna jattakka l-hin kollu! Dahħal lilna u għadu jattakkana s’ issa u l-pompa qiegħda magħluqa. Ghaliex? Għandi daqshekk tfal! X’ jagħmlu t-tfal? Imorru jitgħallu kollha?! U jien magħhom l-ewwel wieħed?!*

...

*...il-pompa jien. Barra li hadu huma l-eluf ta’ liri kbar.”*

Jirrizulta illi Marco Gaffarena, fix-xhieda tieghu, dwar il-laqgħa jghid illi huwa kien presenti dakinhar tal-laqgħa flimkien ma’ missieru, Joseph Gaffarena, u Dr Joseph Cassar, u dwar il-laqgħa qal li jmiss: (fol 75)

*Kien hawn sufan hawn Sur Magistrat, sufan hawn u sufan hawn, u kien hawn mejda baxxa hawnhekk. Simon Busuttil qam minn fuq is-sufan; imma mhux minn fuq il-mejda! Hadd ma qam minn fuq il-mejda.*

Jirrizulta illi, konfrontat bil-fatt illi missieru, Joseph Gaffarena, stqarr illi ltaqghu madwar mejda, Marco Gaffarena jghid is-segwenti: (fol 76)

*Jekk il-mejda kienet madwar is-sufan tagħmel allura innehhi l-mejda min-nofs?! Mela l-uffiċċju tiegħi! (jidhaq) la kienet hemm! Jien x’ nagħmlilha?*

*Da zgur li tad-dahq. Taffejn mhix tad-dahq x’ hin kont intihom l-ghaxart elef...*

*Ma tghidhiex meta kienu icempluli ghaxar elef - ghaxart elef biex jaġħtuni permess; ghida dik; ghiduha. Il-hin kollu jcemplu.*

## Ikkunsidrat

Qabel ma l-Qorti tghaddi biex tagħmel l-apprezzament tal-provi tagħha w l-konkluzjoniet minnha milħuqa, ikun opportun illi jigu kkunsidrat l-elementi legali tad-difiza ta’ l-intimat.

Jirrizulta, mill-eccezzjonijiet mqajjma mill-intimat bhala editur tal-gurnal, li d-difiza mqajjma mill-intimat kienet principalment dik ta' "fair comment" dwar persuna involuta fil-politika, bhalma huwa r-rikkorrent, li huwa Kap tal-Opposizzjoni, liema kritika setghet tkun oħħla, u anke iebsa kif ukoll dwar id-dover illi huwa kellu, bhala gurnalist, illi jwassal ahbar lill-qarrejja sabiex jigi interpretat minnhom.

L-intimat jistqarr illi bhala editur ezercita d-diligenza rikjesta mill-ligi filwaqt illi saħaq li l-artikolista kellu d-dritt tikkummenta dwar l-operat tar-rikkorrent.

Dwar tali id-dritt, fil-kawza '**Axel Springer AG vs Germany**', deciza mill-Grand Chamber tal-Qorti Ewropeja għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fis-7 ta' Frar 2012, saret referenza ghall-principji generali li jirregolaw il-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni w il-gurnalist, kif ukoll introduciet serje ta' kriterji li kellhom jigu kkunsidrati sabiex jigi meqjus il-bilanc li għandu jitlahaq bejn il-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni u d-dritt tal-individwu privat li jkollu r-reputazzjoni tieghu protetta, fejn qalet is-segmenti:-

*78. Freedom of expression constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society and one of the basic conditions for its progress and for each individual's self-fulfilment. Subject to paragraph 2 of Article 10, it is applicable not only to "information" or "ideas" that are favourably received or regarded as inoffensive or as a matter of indifference, but also to those that offend, shock or disturb. Such are the demands of pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness without which there is no "democratic society". As set forth in Article 10, freedom of expression is subject to exceptions, which must, however, be construed strictly, and the need for any restrictions must be established convincingly.*

Dwar ir-rwol li għandu jkollu gurnalist fil-qasam tal-liberta ta' l-espressjoni, l-Qorti tkompli biex tghid is-segmenti:

*79. The Court has also repeatedly emphasised the essential role played by the press in a democratic society. Although the press must not overstep certain bounds, regarding in particular protection of the reputation and rights of others, its duty is nevertheless to impart – in a manner consistent with its obligations and responsibilities – information and ideas on all matters of public interest. Not only does the press have the task of imparting such information and ideas; the public also has a right to receive them. Were it otherwise, the press would be unable to play its vital role of "public watchdog".*

.....

*Not only do the media have the task of imparting such information and ideas; the public also has a right to receive them.* (sottolinear ta' dina l-Qorti)

*81. Journalistic freedom also covers possible recourse to a degree of exaggeration, or even provocation. Furthermore, it is not for the Court, any more than it is for the national courts, to substitute its own views for those of the press as to what techniques of reporting should be adopted in a particular case.*

Tali rwol importanti tal-gurnalist u d-dritt tal-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni, madanakollu, għandu jigi bilancjat bi drittijiet u obbligi illi l-istess gurnalist, fil-qadi ta' dmirijietu, għandu josserva u, di fatti, il-Qorti Ewropea, dwar tali doveri da' parte tal-gurnalist, tghid hekk:

*82. However, Article 10 § 2 of the Convention states that freedom of expression carries with it "duties and responsibilities", which also apply to the media even with respect to matters of serious public concern. These duties and responsibilities are liable to assume significance when there is a question of attacking the reputation of a named individual and infringing the "rights of others". Thus, special grounds are required before the media can be dispensed from their ordinary obligation to verify factual statements that are defamatory of private individuals. Whether such grounds exist depends in particular on the nature and degree of the defamation in question and the extent to which the media can reasonably regard their sources as reliable with respect to the allegations.*

Biex jigi accertat jekk intlaħaqx bilanc bejn id-dritt tal-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni u id-dritt tal-protezzjoni tar-reputazzjoni, il-Qorti Ewropeja għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem, fl-istess decizjoni fuq imsemmija, tghaddi biex issemmi sitt kriterji importanti illi għandhom jitqiesu sabiex jigi assikurat li, kif tħid il-Qorti, "the right to freedom of expression is being balanced against the right to respect for private life".

Dawna l-kriterji kif stabbiliti huwa s-segwenti:

**(a) Contribution to a debate of general interest**

*90. An initial essential criterion is the contribution made by photos or articles in the press to a debate of general interest. The definition of what constitutes a subject of general interest will depend on the circumstances of the case. The Court nevertheless considers it useful to point out that it has recognised the existence of such an interest not only where the publication concerned political issues or crimes, but also where it concerned sporting issues or performing artists. However, the rumoured marital difficulties of a president of the Republic or the financial difficulties of a famous singer were not deemed to be matters of general interest*

**(b) How well known is the person concerned and what is the subject of the report?**

*91. The role or function of the person concerned and the nature of the activities that are the subject of the report and/or photo constitute another important criterion, related to the preceding one. In that connection a distinction has to be made between private individuals and persons acting in a public context, as political figures or public figures. Accordingly, whilst a private individual unknown to the public may claim particular protection of his or her right to private life, the same is not true of public figures. A fundamental distinction needs to be made between reporting facts capable of contributing to a debate in a democratic society, relating to politicians in the exercise of their official functions for example, and reporting details of the private life of an individual who does not exercise such functions.*

*Whilst in the former case the press exercises its role of “public watchdog” in a democracy by imparting information and ideas on matters of public interest, that role appears less important in the latter case. Similarly, although in certain special circumstances the public’s right to be informed can even extend to aspects of the private life of public figures, particularly where politicians are concerned, this will not be the case – even where the persons concerned are quite well known to the public – where the published photos and accompanying commentaries relate exclusively to details of the person’s private life and have the sole aim of satisfying the curiosity of a particular readership in that respect. In the latter case, freedom of expression calls for a narrower interpretation.*

**(c) Prior conduct of the person concerned**

*92. The conduct of the person concerned prior to publication of the report or the fact that the photo and the related information have already appeared in an earlier publication are also factors to be taken into consideration. However, the mere fact of having cooperated with the press on previous occasions cannot serve as an argument for depriving the party concerned of all protection against publication of the report or photo at issue.*

**(d) Method of obtaining the information and its veracity**

93. *The way in which the information was obtained and its veracity are also important factors. Indeed, the Court has held that the safeguard afforded by Article 10 to journalists in relation to reporting on issues of general interest is subject to the proviso that they are acting in good faith and on an accurate factual basis and provide “reliable and precise” information in accordance with the ethics of journalism.*

**(e) Content, form and consequences of the publication**

94. *The way in which the photo or report are published and the manner in which the person concerned is represented in the photo or report may also be factors to be taken into consideration. The extent to which the report and photo have been disseminated may also be an important factor, depending on whether the newspaper is a national or local one, and has a large or a limited circulation.*

**(f) Severity of the sanction imposed**

95. *Lastly, the nature and severity of the sanctions imposed are also factors to be taken into account when assessing the proportionality of an interference with the exercise of the freedom of expression.*

Għandu jigi osservat ukoll illi, kif intqal fis-sentenza **Ligens vs Austria**, mhaddna mill-Qorti Maltin ukoll, il-politiku huwa soggett għall-livell ta' kritika ferm oghla minn-normal, u di fatti, s-sentenza tħid:-

*Freedom of the press furthermore affords the public one of the best means of discovering and forming an opinion of the ideas and attitudes of political leaders. More generally, freedom of political debate is at the very core of the concept of a democratic society which prevails throughout the Convention.*

*The limits of acceptable criticism are accordingly wider as regards a politician as such than as regards a private individual. Unlike the latter, the former inevitably and knowingly lays himself open to close scrutiny of his every word and deed by both journalists and the public at large, and he must consequently display a greater degree of tolerance. No doubt Article 10 para. 2 (art. 10-2) enables the reputation of others - that is to say, of all individuals - to be protected, and this protection extends to politicians too, even when they are not acting in their private capacity; but in such cases the requirements of such protection have to be weighed in relation to the interests of open discussion of political issues.*

Kif gie osservat fil-kawza **Delphi AS vs Estonia** deciza mill-Qorti Ewropeja tad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem fl-10 ta' Ottubru 2013, id-dritt tal-liberta' ta' l-espressjoni, li giet invokata mill-intimat, giet ikkunsidrata kif gej:

78. *The fundamental principles concerning the question whether an interference with freedom of expression is “necessary in a democratic society” are well established in the Court’s case-law and have been summarised as follows:*

*(i) Freedom of expression constitutes one of the essential foundations of a democratic society and one of the basic conditions for its progress and for each individual’s self-fulfilment. Subject to paragraph 2 of Article 10, it is applicable not only to*

*'information' or 'ideas' that are favourably received or regarded as inoffensive or as a matter of indifference, but also to those that offend, shock or disturb. Such are the demands of pluralism, tolerance and broadmindedness without which there is no 'democratic society'. As set forth in Article 10, this freedom is subject to exceptions, which ... must, however, be construed strictly, and the need for any restrictions must be established convincingly ...*

.....

79. *Furthermore, the Court reiterates the essential function the press fulfils in a democratic society. Although the press must not overstep certain bounds, particularly as regards the reputation and rights of others and the need to prevent the disclosure of confidential information, its duty is nevertheless to impart – in a manner consistent with its obligations and responsibilities – information and ideas on all matters of public interest. In addition, the Court is mindful of the fact that journalistic freedom also covers possible recourse to a degree of exaggeration, or even provocation. The limits of permissible criticism are narrower in relation to a private citizen than in relation to politicians or governments.*

80. *The Court reiterates that the right to protection of reputation is a right which is protected by Article 8 of the Convention as part of the right to respect for private life. In order for Article 8 to come into play, however, an attack on a person's reputation must attain a certain level of seriousness and be made in a manner causing prejudice to personal enjoyment of the right to respect for private life.*

81. *When examining whether there is a need for an interference with freedom of expression in a democratic society in the interests of the "protection of the reputation or rights of others", the Court may be required to ascertain whether the domestic authorities have struck a fair balance when protecting two values guaranteed by the Convention which may come into conflict with each other in certain cases, namely on the one hand freedom of expression protected by Article 10, and on the other the right to respect for private life enshrined in Article 8.*

82. *The Court has found that, as a matter of principle, the rights guaranteed under Articles 8 and 10 deserve equal respect, and the outcome of an application should not, in principle, vary according to whether it has been lodged with the Court under Article 10 of the Convention by the publisher of an offending article or under Article 8 of the Convention by the person who has been the subject of that article. Accordingly, the margin of appreciation should in principle be the same in both cases.*

83. *The Court has considered that where the right to freedom of expression is being balanced against the right to respect for private life, the relevant criteria in the balancing exercise include the following elements: contribution to a debate of general interest, how well known the person concerned is, the subject of the report, the prior conduct of the person concerned, the method of obtaining the information and its veracity, the content, form and consequences of the publication, and the severity of the sanction imposed.*

Tali principju kif fuq enunciat reggha gie ripetut fil-kawza **Erla Hlynsdottir vs Iceland** deciza mill Qorti Ewropeja għad-Drittijiet tal-Bniedem ricentement, ossija fil 21 ta' Ottubru 2014, fejn intqal is-segmenti:

*62. The protection of the right of journalists to impart information on issues of general interest requires that they should act in good faith and on an accurate factual basis and provide “reliable and precise” information in accordance with the ethics of journalism . Under the terms of paragraph 2 of Article 10 of the Convention, freedom of expression carries with it “duties and responsibilities” that also apply to the media, even with respect to matters of serious public concern. Those “duties and responsibilities” are significant when there is a question of attacking the reputation of a named individual and infringing the “rights of others”. Thus, special grounds are required before the media can be dispensed from their ordinary obligation to verify factual statements that are defamatory of private individuals. Whether such grounds exist depends in particular on the nature and degree of the defamation in question and the extent to which the media can reasonably regard their sources as reliable with respect to the allegations.*

Fl-istess decizjoni, il-Qorti ghamlet is-segwenti osservazzjonijiet dwar id-difiza ta' 'fair comment' imqajjma mill-intimat, maghrufa bhala 'value judgment' quddiem il-ECHR:

*A fundamental distinction should be made between statements that are to be categorized as factual assertions and value judgments. In its contextual examination of the disputed statement as a whole, the Court must carry out its own evaluation of the impugned statement. Furthermore, the Court has acknowledged that the distinction between value-judgments and statements of fact may be blurred, and that the issue may need to be resolved by examining the degree of factual proof.*

Dana l-argument huwa rifless anke fid-decizjoni illi fl-istess Qorti kienet tat fil-kawza **Sizma vs Hungary** deciza f'Ottubru 2012 fejn intqal is-segwenti:

*"The Court would add that in order to assess the justification of the statements in question, a distinction needs to be made between statements of fact and value judgments, in that, while the existence of facts can be demonstrated, the truth of value judgements is not susceptible of proof. The requirement to prove the truth of a value judgment is generally impossible to fulfil and infringes freedom of opinion itself, which is a fundamental part of the right secured by Article 10. The classification of a statement as a fact or a value judgment is a matter which, in the first place, falls within the margin of appreciation of the national authorities, in particular the domestic courts. However, even where a statement amounts to a value judgment, there must exist a sufficient factual basis to support it, failing which it may be."*

Dwar 'fair comment', imbgħad, **Gatley on Libel and Slander** jghid:

*To succeed in a defence of fair comment the defendant must show that the words are comment, and not a statement of fact. He must also show that there is a basis of fact for the comment, contained or referred to in the matter complained of. Finally, he must show that the comment is on a matter of public interest, one which has expressly or implicitly put before the public for judgment or is otherwise a matter with which the public has a legitimate concern. If, however, the plaintiff can show that the comment was not made honestly or was actuated by malice, he will defeat the plea.*

Fil-kawza **Dr Louis Galea vs Etienne St John u Felix Agius** deciza fit 30 ta' April 2015, intqal is-segwenti :

... dwarf l-aspett tad-difīża tal-kumment ġust ilu żmien jingħad mill-Qrati tagħna li, biex id-difīża tal-kumment ġust tkun tghodd, jeħtieg li min jistrieh fuqha jseħħlu juri li (a) l-kumment kien imsejjes fuq fatt li jkun issemma fil-pubblikazzjoni li minnha jitressaq l-ilment; (b) il-fatt imsemmi jrid ikun sostanzjalment minnu; (c) il-kumment irid jintwera li jkun ġustifikabbli jew mistħoqq; (d) il-kumment irid ikun tali li jikkwalifika bħala kritika u mhux żebliħ, tgħajjur jew insolenza; u (e) irid jagħti l-fehma onesta tal-kummentatur u li l-pubblikazzjoni ta' dik il-fehma ma saritx b'hażen jew bil-ħsieb preciż li jweġġa' lil dak li jkun.

Tali tagħlim huwa anke rifless f'gurisprudenza estera u, di fatti, fil-kawza **Spiller vs Joseph** deciza mill-Qorti tal-Appell Ingliza fl-1 ta' Dicembru 2010, Lord Phillips għamel is-segwenti konsiderazzjonijiet meta wieħed iqis id-difiza ta' 'fair comment':

*A subsidiary but important issue was what it was that a defendant had to prove in order to establish the defence of fair comment. Counsel for the plaintiff submitted that the defendant had to establish that: (i) the words complained of were comment; (ii) the comment was on facts; (iii) the facts commented on constituted a matter of public interest; (iv) the comment was objectively "fair"; that is the comment was one that was capable of being honestly founded on the facts to which it related, albeit by someone who was prejudiced and obstinate; (v) the comment represented the defendant's honest opinion. If he discharged all these burdens, the defence could none the less be defeated by proof of malice on the part of the defendant, but the onus of proving malice lay on the plaintiff. Both the Court of Appeal and the House of Lords held that there was no burden on the defendant to establish the fifth element. The defendant's honesty was assumed unless the plaintiff could disprove it by establishing malice.*

## Ikkunsidrat

Jirrizulta illi, fiz-zewgt artikoli meritu tal-kawza odjerna, illi t-tnejn gew ippubblikat fuq l-istess harta tal-gurnal It-Torċa, l-allegazzjoni illi saret fil-konfront tar-rikorrent kienet illi huwa kien qiegħed jirrikatta lill-familja Gaffarena bil-hrug tal-permess tal-pompa tal-petrol tagħhom gewwa Hal Qormi billi jinsisti biex jaġtuh materjal biex jaġħmel hsara lill ex-Ministru John Dalli.

Jirrizulta, illi l-intimat ibbaza l-istorja tieghu fuq sors anonimu illi taht tali informazzjoni, liema informazzjoni sussegwentement giet ikkonfermata minn Joseph Gaffarena meta sar kuntatt mieghu.

Jirrizulta ukoll illi l-ghada tal-pubblikazzjoni, Joseph Gaffarena u Marco Gaffarena għamlu affidavit redatt mill-Avukat tagħhom Dr Keith Bonnici u mahluf quddiem in-Nutar tagħhom n-Nutar Mario Bugeja.

Din il-Qorti tosserva illi kellha l-opportunita illi tisma viva voce l-verzjoni tal-fatti mogħtija minn Dr Joseph Cassar, li kien il-persuna li ha hsieb li organizza tali laqgħa, u lill Joseph Gaffarena u Marco Gaffarena, u setghet tiehu qies tal-komportament tax-xhieda fuq il-pedana tax-xhieda u l-attitudni tagħhom lejn, kemm il-Qorti kif ukoll id-difensur tar-rikorrent, u abbazi ta' dan tista, mingħajr ebda esitazzjoni tghid illi l-verzjoni tal-fatti kif mogħtija minn Dr Joseph Cassar huma ferm aktar kredibbli u veritjeri minn dawk mogħtija minn Joseph u Marco Gaffarena.

Il-Qorti tasal għal tali konkluzjoni in vista tal-fatt illi, filwaqt illi Dr Cassar kien konsistenti fix-xhieda tieghu u fil-verzjoni tal-fatti minnu mogħtija, s-sinjuri Gaffarena, ghalkemm missier u iben, jidher illi ma' kienux ppreparaw rwieħhom sew meta taw ix-xhieda tagħhom viva voce, problema illi

huma ma kellhomx meta ghamlu l-affidavit taghhom li gie ghalihom redatt u ffirmat mill-konsulenti legali taghhom.

Dana qiegħed jingħad ghax, filwaqt illi Joseph Gaffarena viva voce jikkontendi illi huma dahħlu gewwa kamra fejn kien hemm mejda kbira u ltaqgħu madwar mejda, Marco Gaffarena, illi allegatament kien presenti ghall l-istess laqgha, viva voce jghid illi huma dahħlu u qaghdu bilqieghda fuq sufanijiet u l-aqgħha saret madwar sufanijiet u, meta Marco Gaffarena kien konfrontat mill-fatt illi missieri qal li ltaqgħu madwar mejda, huwa pprova jsalva l-posizzjoni tieghu billi jghid illi kien hemm mejda bejn is-sufanijiet.

Għalkemm tali dettall jista jagħti kaz illi huwa wieħed minimu u insignifikanti, il-Qorti ma tistax ma tosservax illi huwa f'tali dettall u fin-nuqqas ta' korroborazzjoni ta' tali dettall illi johrog car jekk ix-xhieda humiex qed jghidu l-verita' jew inkella qiegħdin jivvintaw storja sabiex jagħmlu hsara lill persuna.

Il-Qorti bla ebda esitazzjoni ma għandha ebda dubju illi l-verzjoni tal-fatti mogħtija minn Joseph u Marco Gaffarena ma hijiex veritjera u hija verzjoni tal fatti dettata mill-mibgheda illi l-istess Gaffarena għandhom fil-konfront tar-rikorrent Dr Simon Busuttil u l-Partit Nazzjonalisti li tieghu huwa kien Vici Kap dak iz-zmien, u dana peress illi kienu qed jirrifjutaw illi jagevolawh sabiex johorgulu l-permess tal-MEPA ghall-pompa tal-petrol illi attwalment il-MEPA kien għalqulu ghax kien bena sular aktar minn dak lili permess.

Il-Qorti tosserva illi, kif johrog car mix-xhieda, l-familja Gaffarena ppretendiet illi la darba hallset hafna flus lill Partit Nazzjonalisti, sahansitra ammont ta' ghoxrin elf Euro ftit gimħat qabel il-laqgha, huma kienu qed jistennew illi jinhargilhom il-permess illi kellhom bzonn, u talli tali permess ma harix, huma kienu disposti illi jagħmlu allegazzjonijiet inveritjeri, anke bil-gurament.

Għaldaqstant, johrog car illi l-bazi tal-artikoli meritu tal-kawza odjerna, ossija l-verzjoni tal-fatti ta' Joseph Gaffarena, ma hijiex sostanzjalment vera u, anzi, hija totalment kontradetta kemm mir-rikorrent u, aktar u aktar, minn Dr Joseph Cassar, illi kien il-persuna illi laqqa lill Joseph Gaffarena mar-rikorrent u li kien presenti dakinhar.

Il-Qorti thoss, f'dana l-istadju, illi għandha tagħmel referenza għal dak osservat mill-Qorti tal-Appell (Sede Inferjuri) fis-sentenza '**Sylvana Debono vs Alexander Farrugia**', deciza fis-27 ta' Jannar, 2016.

*Id-dritt tal-liberta tal-espressjoni m'huxiex licenzja biex thammeg ir-reputazzjoni ta' haddiehor u mbagħad tipprova tistahba wara dan id-dritt.*

Kif gie ukoll osservat mill-Qorti tal-Appell (Sede Inferjuri) fis-sentenza '**Ingiener Anthony Bezzina vs Josef Caruana**' deciza fl-10 ta' Marzu 2017,

*Filwaqt li għurnalist għandu kull jedd u anzi dmir li jirrapporta grajjiet ta' interess pubbliku, pero' għandu jaqdi dmirrijetu in bona fede u m'għandux jedd jakkuza persuna b'fatti li ma jkunux veri. Id-dritt tal-liberta ta' espressjoni mhuxiex dak li tivvinta .*

## Konkluzjoni

Il-Qorti,

Wara illi rat il-provi kollha prodotta quddiemha,

Wara illi seghet it-trattazzjoni orali ta' l-abbli difensuri tal-partijiet,

Wara illi ghamlet il-konsiderazzjoniet kollha tagħha kif fuq spjegat,

Tghaddi biex taqta u tiddeciedi l-kaz billi

**Tichad** l-eccezzjonijiet kollha ta' l-intimati,

**Tilqa** t-talba tar-rikorrent kif dedotti u

**Tiddikjara** il-kontenut ta' l-artikolu ppubblikat fuq il-gurnal 'It-Torca' fl-ewwel (1), fid-disa' (9) u fit-tlekk (13)-il pagna tal-harga tal-Hadd, tnejn (2) ta' Awissu tas-sena elfejn u hmistax (2015) intitolat fl-ewwel (1) pagna 'RIKATT ABBUZIV NAZZJONALISTA' li jkompli fuq id-disa' (9) pagna bit-titulu 'SIMON: BIEX JIFTHU JAFU X'GHANDHOM JAGHMLU' u terga' ssir riferenza ghall-istess allegazzjoni fit-tlekk (13)-il pagna fl-editorjal bit-titulu 'MIN QAL LI L-POLITIKA TEHTIEG TINDIFA?!" li tieghu l-intimat kien l-editur u għalhekk responsabbi, bhala libelluz u malafamanti fil-konfront tar-rikorrenti u għalhekk

**Tikkundanna**, a tenur ta' l-artikolu 28 tal-Kap 248, lill-intimat ihallas lir-rikorrent is-somma komplexiva ta' elfejn Euro (€2,000) in linea ta' danni sofferti minnu rizultat tal-pubblikkazzjoni ta' l-istorja fuq imsemmija.

Spejjez tal-proceduri odjerni għandhom ikunu a kariku ta' l-intimat

## Magistrat Francesco Depasquale

**Rita Sciberras**

Deputat Registratur