



QORTI TAL-APPELL

(KOMPETENZA INFERJURI)

(TRIBUNAL TA' REVIZJONI TAL-AMBJENT U L-IPPJANAR)

ONOR. IMHALLEF MARK CHETCUTI LL.D.

Illum L-Erbgha, 7 ta' Dicembru, 2016

Numru 4

Appell Nru. 16/2016

Nutar Emanuel George Cefai

vs

**L-Awtorita tal-Ippjanar
I-Awtorita ta' Malta dwar I-Ambjent u I-Ippjanar**

II-Qorti,

Rat ir-rikors tal-appell tan-Nutar Emanuel George Cefai tat-22 ta' Lulju 2016 mid-decizjoni tat-Tribunal ta' Revizjoni tal-Ambjent u I-Ippjanar tal-5 ta' Lulju 2016 li cahdet l-izvilupp propost fi stadju ta' outline permit PA 2382/00 'additions and alterations as per attched drawings to block of building fil-fond 305 Triq San Pawl kantuniera ma' Triq San Gwann, Valletta;

Rat ir-risposta tal-Awtorita li ssottomettiet li l-appell għandu jigi michud u d-decizjoni tat-Tribunal konfermata;

Rat l-atti kollha u semghet lid-difensuri tal-partijiet;

Rat id-decizjoni tat-Tribunal li tghid hekk:

Ikkunsidra:

Illi l-applikazzjoni odjerna giet rifutata mill-Kummissjoni tal-Kontroll tal-Izvilupp ghal dawn ir-ragunijiet segwenti:

"1. The proposed development would detract from the overall objectives of the Structure Plan for the preservation and enhancement of buildings, spaces and townscapes within Urban Conservation Areas and so does not comply with Structure Plan policy UCO 6.

2. The proposed development runs counter to Structure Plan policy UCO 10 in that it would adversely affect views of the Urban Conservation Areas and detract from the traditional urban skyline.";

Ra s-sottomissjoni ulterjuri tal-appellant prezentati fl-4 ta' Marzu 2009, fid-29 ta' Mejju 2009, fit-12 ta' Marzu 2010, fit-8 ta' Lulju 2010 u fit3 t'Awissu 2015;

Ra r-risposti tal-Awtorita' prezentati fis-27 ta' Marzu 2009, fid-29 ta' Lulju 2009, u fis-6 t'April 2010,

Ra l-verbal tal-access mizmum fuq is-sit minn dan it-Tribunal fit-28 t'April 2015;

Ra l-PA file numru 2382/00;

Ra l-atti kollha ta' dan l-appell;

Sema t-trattazzjoni tal-partijiet.

Ikkunsidra:

Illi dan l-appell rega gie rimess lura mill-Qorti tal-Appell b'sentenza tat-30 ta' Marzu 2006, fejn laqghet l-appell u konsegwentament hassret id-decizjoni tal-Bord tal-Appell dwar l-Ippjnar tal-15 ta' Settembru 2004, wara li osservat dan li gej:

"Illi mill-provi li kellha quddiemha din il-Qorti setghet tikkonstata li huwa minnu li l-appellanti tul il-process kollu semma diversi permessi li nhargu u skond huwa rragunijiet ghaflejñ is-sit fejn jinsab il-bini indikat għandu jitqies bhala wieħed committed għal zvilupp rikjest minnu kemm dwar uzu u kemm dwar għoli ta' bini.

Illi f'dan il-kuntest jirrizulta lil din il-Qorti li l-Bord fiddecizjoni tieghu praktikament injora għal kollex dawn issottomissjoni magħmula mill-istess appellanti, tant li fissentenza ma hemm riferenza ta' xejn ghall-permessi kollha ndikati mill-appellanti, anke permezz tan-nota fuq indikata u dan minkejja li l-appellati stess kienu pprezentaw nota wkoll fejn huma stess irreferew għal diversi permessi u allegati precedenti ohra fl-istess area, sit u Belt. Hawn qed issir riferenza partikolari ghaddokument sottomess mill-appellanti quddiem l-istess Bord ta' l-Appell dwar l-

Ippjanar datat 2 ta' Dicembru 2003 fejn hemm numru konsiderevoli ta' permessi li gew indikati lillistess Bord ghall-konsiderazzjoni tieghu, konsiderazzjoni li din il-Qorti thoss li I-Bord ta' I-Appell dwar I-Ippjanar ma kkonsidra fl-ebda parti tas-sentenza tieghu. Dan kif jidher mill-istess decizjoni nnfisha fejn il-punt ta' commitment ma gie bl-ebda mod trattat, anzi din il-Qorti thoss li dan kien injorat ghal kollox.

Illi din il-Qorti thoss li din hija mankanza serja fl-istess decizjoni ta' I-istess Bord ta' I-Appell dwar I-Ippjanar u li a bazi tal-premess dan I-aggravju għandu jintlaqa' a bazi tal-principju cerimus paribus affermat fl-istess decizjonijiet fuq citati u msemmija mill-appellanti fir-rikors tagħhom. Dan ghaliex I-istess Bord ta' I-Appell dwar I-Ippjanar kellu jezamina tali aggravju u jiddeciedi dwaru b'motivazzjoni debita u mhux semplicement jiskartah għal kollox, ghaliex dan irendi I-istess decizjoni priva minn motivazzjoni essenzjali għall-validita' ta' I-istess. Fuq din il-bazi biss lappell ta' I-appellant Emmanuel G. Cefai et għandu u qed jiġi milqugh."

Illi f'dan il-kaz dan it-Tribunal huwa fid-dover illi jikkunsidra I-aggravju ewljeni tal-appellant illi z-zona fejn jinsab is-sit inezami hija committed b'bini fejn gie permess zieda ta' sulari simili għal dak li qed jitlob I-appellant. F'dan ir-rigward I-appellant qed jesigi trattament ugwali billi jinhareg il-permess tal-izvilupp rigward it-talba ta' zieda ta' sulari fis-sit indezami.

Illi fl-ewwel lok għandu jiġi pprecizat illi fir-rigward I-uzu kummercjal fuq is-sit de quo li huwa parti mill-proposta mertu ta' dan I-appell, I-Awtorita' kienet ikkunsidrat mill-għid tali talba fl-applikazzjoni separata simili (mingħajr il-proposta għal-zieda ta' sulari) fl-applikazzjoni fi stadju ta' outline bin-numru 2192/10 għall-izvilupp ta' shopping complex li giet approvata mill-Kummissjoni għall-Ambjent u I-Ippjanar fit-13 ta' Lulju 2011. Għaldaqstant I-oggezzjoni li seta' kien hemm dwar I-uzu kummercjal illum jinsab ezawrit. F'dan ir-rigward, dan it-Tribunal ser jillimita I-konsiderazzjoni tieghu fir-rigward I-aggravji dwar ir-rifjut taz-zieda ta' sulari.

Is-sit jikkonsisti f'bija li tinsab kantuniera bejn Triq San Gwann u Triq San Pawl, il-Belt Valletta, mibniha fuq tlett sulari mill-livell ta' Triq San Pawl, u sular iehor konsistenti minn xi kmamar u terrazzin, liema kmamar jinsabu mohbija mit-triq permess ta' arkati li jinsabu mal-faccata tal-binja. L-entratura principali tal-binja tinsab fi Triq San Pawl, b'faccata tipika ta' townhouse, b'karettieristici arkitetttonici pjuttost semplici u nieqsa minn lavur eleborat (b'differenza ghaz-zewg binjet adjacenti mal-konfini tal-Griegal, fl-istess Triq San Pawl), ghajr għat-tieqa centrali fit-tieni sular u I-gwarrnicun u pilastru ornamenti fil-kantuniera bejn iz-zewg toroq. Interessanti li I-galleriji fl-ewwel t-tieni sular qed jolternaw, minn wahda għal tnejn fi Triq San Pawl, u minn zewg galleriji għal wahda fi Triq San Gwann fl-ewwel u t-tieni sular rispettivament. Il-livell tal-bejt jinsab imdawwar b'numru ta' arkati baxxi li jinsabu fuq il-faccata tal-bini, b'gholi ta' madwar 10 filati. Fuq in-naha retrostanti tinsab it-tromba u karma mdaqqsa b'faccata fuq Triq San Gwann, filwaqt li hemm access estern għal fuq is-saqaf tal-istess kmamar. Il-proposta mertu ta' dan I-appell hija ntiza sabiex jiġi estiz il-bini ezistenti fil-livell tal-bejt sal-linja tal-faccata u zieda ta' sulari addizzjonal.

Illi I-appellant prezenta lista' estensiva ta' kazijiet fil-Belt Valletta fejn gie permess zieda ta' sulari fuq bini ezistenti fis-sottomissjoni prezentata fl-4 ta' Marzu 2009 fejn gie spjegat dan li gej:

"1. Illi dan il-kaz ilu għaddej mis-sena 2000, bl-ikbar tul ta' zmien jinhela quddiem il-Bord ta' I-Appelli precedenti li minkejja li ingiebu quddiemu provi fuq provi ta' commitment relevanti ghall-kaz odjem, skarta dan il-principju u cahad l-applikazzjoni ta' I-esponenti. B'rizultat ta' dan I-esponenti kellhom iressqu dan il-kaz odjem quddiem il-Qorti ta' I-Appell (Inferjuri) li b'referenza ghall commitment relevanti ghall-kaz odjem innotat:

Illi mill-provi li kellha quddiemha din il-Qorti setghet tikkonstata ti huwa minnu li I-appellanti tul il-process kollu semma diversi permessi li nhargu u skond huwa rragunijiet għatfejn is-sit fejn jinsab il-bini indikat għandu jitqies bhala wieħed committed għat zvilupp rikjest minnu kemm dwar uzu u kemm dwar għoli ta' bini.

Illi f'dan il-kuntest jirrizulta lit din il-Qorti li I-Bord fid-decizjoni tieghu pratikament injora għal kollex dawn is-sottomissjonijiet magħmuta mill-istess appellanti, tant li fis-sentenza ma hemm riferenza ta' xejn għall-permessi kollha ndikati mill-appellant, anke permezz tan-nota fuq indikata u dan minkejja li I-appellati stess kienu pprezentaw nota wkoll fejn huma stess irreferew għal diversi permessi u allegati precedenti ohra fl-istess area, sit u Belt. Hawn qed issir riferenza partikolari għad-dokument sottomess mill-appellant quddiem I-istess Bord ta' I-Appell dwar I-Ippjanar datat 2 ta' Dicembru 2003 fejn hemm numru konsiderevoli ta' permessi li gew indikati lill-istess Bord għall-konsiderazzjoni tieghu, .." Appell 6/2004/RCP tat-30 /03/2006

2. Illi I-Qorti tal-Appell kellha quddiemha numru konsiderevoli ta' kazi fejn terzi ingħataw I-permessi drabi ukoll fi flit xhur u sahansitra minkejja I-parir kuntrarju tal-Heritage Advisory Committee u/jew tal Case Officer u/jew tal-Valletta Rehabilitation Committee. Illi I-Qorti tal-Appell hassret id-decizjoni precedenti li kienet ittiehdet min dan il-Bord diversament presjedut ghaliex rat illi I-appellant ma kienux gew mogħtija d-drittijiet tagħhom u rrimettiet il-kaz lura lil dan il-Bord tal-Appelli dwar I-Ippjanar sabiex dan jiddicedi fid-dawl tal-istess sentenza.

3. Illi minkejja li din is-sentenza tal-Qorti ta' I-Appell annullat id-decizjoni ta' I-Appeals Board precedenti, u dan proprju biex is sa jittieħdu in konsiderazzjoni dawk il-kazijiet kollha già' imsemmija, sal-lum id-drittijiet ta' I-esponenti baqghu jigu mkasbra. B'din is-sitwazzjoni, il-konsegwenza naturali hi li jinhela hafna izjed hin. Anzi tul dawn is-snин baqghu johorgu aktar permessi lil terzi, u għalhekk I-esponenti talbu li jagħmlu din in-nota ulterjuri ta' referenzi.

Kazijiet

A) ---- PA 1431/03 ---- Applikant Karl Farrugia Wismayer ----- permess mogħti li kien jinkludi '... part change of use from offices to language school 2nd/3rd floor levels) ...'

B)----- PA 4515/04---Applikant : Byron W Jeong --- fi Triq San Pawl Valletta, metri '1 bogħod mis-sit tal-appellant - gew approvati 'alterations and additions of a mezzanine floor and third floor..' approvat fi ftit xhur fl-10 ta' Jannar 2005 minkejja 'enforcement' u illegalitajiet u anki ukoll opposizzjoni mil-Valletta Rehabilitation Committee.

C) ----PA 6821/2006---- Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta --- Applikant : Dr John Refalo--- outline permit mahrug 'inter alia' għal 'addition of two receded floors at third and fourth floor levels .. 'kif ukoll' ... the change of use of the second floor apartment into office use ... '

Ukoll 'the proposal will include an additional office floor space of 236 m2 at second, third and fourth floor levels ..' [Ara Case Officer Report relativ]

D)---PA 192/02 --- Chinese Embassy- f'173/175 u 176 Melita Street c/w 17 Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta ---- 'Change of use ... to a Chinese Cultural Centre including the construction of an extra floor ... ' Il permess gie applikat fl-10 ta' Jannar 2002 u proprju ftit granet wara fit-28 ta' Jannar 2002 nhareg mill- Kummissjoni ghall-Kontroll tal-Izvilupp

E) PA 1582/2008 ----- fi Triq San Pawl Valletta, Malta - minkejja illi HAC fit- 2 ta' Lulju 2008 irrelata li : 't-tibdil propost huwa drastiku hafna u ghalhekk zieda ta' sular mhux accttabbli ... ' xorta nhareg il permess relativi fi124 ta' Settembru 2008 ghall istess.

F) PA 588/08----Applikant : Francis J Vassallo ---- Fi Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta Minkejja l-'Case Officer' sahansitra irrakomanda 'refusal' il-permess nhareg xorta wahda 4-0 fill0 ta' Settembru 2008

G)---PA 1107/07 - Applikant - David Crewe Read ---- Minkejja r 'refusal' tal-Case Officer gie approvat il-bini fuq il-bejt fl-14 ta' Jannar 2008 f'DCC meeting No 402208 'in view of the existing high party walls ... Skyline will therefore not be affected ... '

H)----PA 4172/05---- Applikant : Vincent Buhagiar ---- ghall post f'numri 4,5,6,7,8 u 9 Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta li kien jinkludi , ... reconstruction of floors to be used as office space ... ' Permess moghti - u dan minkejja il-valur tal-bini [hdejn Palazzo Parisio] f'Marzu 2008.

I)----- PA 669/2004 - Roof structures flivell ferm oghola minn ta' 1 esponenti f'318 Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta bl-applikant Dr Norman Shaw; ukoll ara sussegwement P A 660/05 li - minkejja illi kien hemm opposizzjoni mill HAC u anki enforcement' - il-permess inhareg u gie indikat lil dan il-Bord fl-access.

J)----PA 5909/94---- fi Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta proprju hdejn il Knisja monumental ta' San Pawl Nawfragu Valletta Malta --- Applikant Joe Grima --- Change of use to offices and additions at fourth floor to use as studios - dan il-permess hareg wara rikonsiderazzjoni fid-19 ta' Frar 1996.

K)----PA 3113/94--- Applikant: Zahra: fi Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta:- 'Renewal to carry out alterations and additions' qasma ma' qasma mal-proprietà tal-applikanti izda cirka 33 filata oghola, indikat ukollil dan il-Bord fl-access.

L)----- PA 2902/95 - Permess ghall kumpless 'Clamus' f'Melita Street Valletta Malta b'6 sulari - ara Condition No.I tal-permess li inter alia tghid:
"oo. height of building should not exceed 6 floors."

M)----- PA 5796/06 jittrata kaz f286 Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta proprju flit bibien '1 bogħod mill-proprietà tal-a pp ell anti. Skond ir rapport tal 'Case Officer' dan il fond huwa 'an existing four storey building' u kien qed jintalab bini 'l fuq min dan I-livell. Meta mqabbel mal-gholi tal-fondi adjacenti skond ir-rapport tal-Case Officer:

'..... it was noted that on one side of the roof level, the building is 0.8 metres less than that being proposed while on the other side the building height is 1.5 floors lower. The only higher structures are situated at the back (0.3m higher) ...'

Mela dan il-bini propost (PA 5796/06) kien (i) iktar gholi mill-bini ta' madwaru fnaha minnhom sular u nofs u (ii) inqas gholi mill-bini ta' hdejh minn wara biss fl-gholi ta' 0.3 metri u (iii) fuq diversi nahat izjed gholi (anke b'sular u nofs iktar) u kien inqas gholi fuq wara biss b'ammont minimu ta' 0.3 metri.

Hemmhekk il-Case Officer qal ukoll fir-rapposrt tieghu illi:

'... the proposal also runs counter to Grand Harbour Local Policy G V 15 since the proposal would disrupt the skyline, roofline, and long distance views ..'

Minkejja dan kollu il Bord tad DCC f'meeting mizmum fis 7 ta' Mejju 2007 iddecieda illi jaegti l-permessi mehtiega in view of adjacent commitment.

Meta tqabbel l-gholi tal-bini tal-appellanti (kif anke huwa muri fir rapport ta' l-arkitett ta' l-appellant iprezentat kontestwalment ma' din in-nota) vis-a-vis dak adjacenti fuq in-nahha tal-punent dan hu ta' prattikament tlitt sulari inqas meta fil-fatt fl-applikazzjoni PA 5796/06 il-bini bazikament fuq nahha minnhom hu sular u nofs għola u izjed mill-bini adjacenti. Minkejja dan il-permess PA 5796/06 nhareg 'in view of adjacent commitment' Illi għandu jigi rilevat illi d-deċiżjoni tal-Qorti tal-Appell già' msemmija hija mandatorja u għalhekk l-applikanti jinsistu illi l-hrug tal-permessi kif mitluba minnhom hu issa obbligatorju bil-ligi: il pro vi prodotti fdan l-istadju u l-permessi citati f'din in-Nota qed jitressqu bla pregudizzju għal dak li kien prodott fl-istadji precedenti; di fatti l-kazijiet hawn citati huma addizzjonali ghall kazijiet l-ohra li kienu diga' gew deskritti fid-dokumenti relattivi annessi mal-atti ta' dan il-process; u jikkonfermaw:

- Illi terzi ingħataw permessi ghall sulari extra anki sal-livell ta' hames sulari : ara kaz Refalo PA 6821/06 et supra; kif ukoll ghall seba' sulari: ara kaz Clamus PA 2902/05 supra.
 - Illi terzi ingħataw permessi ghall ufficini anki meta qabel dawn ma kienux jezistu : ara il-kazijiet Buhagiar (PA. 4172/05 supra), Refalo (in parti), u Grima (PA.5909/94 supra).
 - Illi l-istess terzi ukoll ingħataw permessi ghall hwienet u/jew biex ibieghu prodotti anki ('sulari differenti u anki sahansitra fir raba sular u oltre, ara: Clamus, fuq imsemmijin. Dan minbarra tant kumplessi ohra magħrufin fil-Belt Valletta bhal 'Tal-Lira', shopping arcades fuq diversi sulari u simili.
 - Illi mhux minnu illi d-dar ta' l-applikanti għandha xi karatteristici partikolari: anzi djar ohrajn li kellhom karatteristici ferm iktar partikolari ingħataw permess relattiv: ara l-kazijiet Shaw, Buhagiar, Grima [fejn il-Knisja San Pawl Nawfragu tal-Belt] supra.
 - Illi terzi ingħataw permessi ghall sulari extra anki meta il-bini ta' hdejhom kien iktar għoli min nahha wahda biss : ara Buhagiar; kif ukoll il-kaz PA 5796/06 precitat hawn fuq, meta l-bini ta' hdejhom kien sahansitra inqas għoli fiktar minn nahha wahda.
- Fid-dawl tas-sentenza tal-Qorti ta' l-Appell li tindika li din 1- applikazzjoni għandha tintlaqa' fl-intier tagħha a bazi ta' commitment già' ezistenti dakħinhar, meta jitqies li f'kazijiet ta' terzi, (bhalma hi 1- applikazzjoni PA 192/02) l-permessi relattiv nhargu fperijodu ta' ftit granet biss, iktar u iktar l-applikazzjoni odjema għandha tintlaqa' minnufih.

L-Awtorita' wiegbet hekk kif gej:

"In these submissions, appellant has stated that other similar development had been approved and hence, this request for appeal should also be accepted.

PA 1431/03: Minor internal alterations, part change of use from offices to language school (2nd /3rd floor levels). Directorate's recommendation: Gtd
Decision date: 10.06.03

PA 4515/04: Alterations and addition of a mezzanine floor and third floor.
Directorate's recommendation: Gtd
Decision date: 10.01.05

PA 6821/06: Miscellaneous additions and alterations to existing offices/flat including change of use from flat to offices.
Directorate's recommendation: Gtd
Decision date: 03.03.08

PA 192/02: Change of existing offices and residence to a Chinese Cultural Centre including residences and the construction of an extra floor.
Directorate's recommendation: Gtd
Decision date: 28.01.02

PA 1582/08: Miscellaneous additions and alterations to existing office/flat including change of use of flat to offices.
Directorate's recommendation: Gtd
Decision date: 24.09.08

PA 588/08: Proposed change of use from shop to garage including minor internal & external alterations also to existing interconnected overlying offices.
Directorate's recommendation: Refused since the garage door is less than 2.4m wide
Decision date: 24.09.08 The DCC however decided that retention of existing archway is considered as an over-riding reason to safeguard UCO policies and a small car can be accommodated.

PA 1107/07: To construct two rooms at roof level and to change condition II of approved permit 1750/04. Minor internal alterations.
Directorate's recommendation: Refused since The proposed development runs counter to Condition (11) of PA 1750/04 which states: 'No access to the roof of the proposed extension at roof level shall be provided.'
Decision date: 14.01.08 The DCC however decided that in this particular case, the existing surrounds would be completely wrap around the proposed development and the skyline would not be affected.

PA 4172/05: Restoration of facade, demolition of dangerous internal walls and ceilings and reconstruction of floors to be used as office space.
Case is still being assessed by the Directorate and no formal recommendation / decision has been taken to date.

PA 669/04: Site located in Fgura.

PA 5909/94: Change of use to offices and additions of rooms at fourth floor touse as studios.

Directorate's recommendation: Refusal

Decision date: 19.02.96 The DCC however decided favorably since in this particular case, the proposed additional rooms at fourth floor could be acceptable.

PA 3113/94: Renewal to carry out alterations and additions Directorate's recommendation: Gtd Decision date: 03.10.94

PA 2902/95: Refurbishing of existing Haro retail outlet including demolition of part of building.

Directorate's recommendation: Gtd

Decision date: 19.02.96

PA 5796/06: Internal alterations & extension to dwelling.

Directorate's recommendation: Refusal

Decision date: 07.05.07 The DCC however decided favorably in view of adjacent commitment, and HAC and VRC on objection.

PA 2902/05: Site located in Naxxar.

In view of the above, the Authority states that none of the above cited cases had substantial identical planning considerations to the case under appeal mainly due to their different locations, nature of proposed development, different assessment by the Directorate / VRC / HAC and in view of the planning policies applicable at the time of the decision.

It is thus important to reiterate that in this particular case, the proposed additions at 3rd floor and the new floor at 4th floor (both levels to be used as shops) were objected to by the:

Heritage Advisory Committee in sitting held on 23rd August 2000 when latest plans were assessed (red 16 in file).

Valletta Rehabilitation Committee (red 17) which, in letter dated 4th July 2000 stated that:

The Committee highly objects to this application. This building is a 'palazzo', one of a series of important buildings adjacent to each other in this part of the street. This proposal would seriously effect the skyline of this group of buildings. Furthermore this is the only building in Valletta that has an original 'patio' at the uppermost level: this element in itself is enough to ensure that this building should not have additions made to it.

The Planning Directorate (red 32) report assessed this request for development vis-à-vis the planning policies relevant to this site and recommended that it is to be refused since it breached Structure Plan Policy UCO6 and Structure Plan Policy UCO10.

Development Control Commission, in sitting held on 2nd May 2001 discussed this application and decided that it breaches both Structure Plan Policies cited by the Directorate. The DCC further noted that further to a site inspection by the Board on

30th March 2001' the Board noted that noted that the corners on either side of St.Paul's Street were equal in height. A refusal was thus issued on 11th May 2001.

In this regard, the Authority reiterates that in line with its previous reports, the requested development goes against the present planning policies relevant to this area and states that the DCC's decision to dismiss this request for development was justified and hence respectfully requests the Planning Appeals Board to dismiss this request for appeal."

Minn naha l-ohra, l-Appellant rribatta u spjega f'ikatar dettal il-portata legali tal-commitment fil-permessi citati ghall-kaz odjern fis-sottomissjoni prezentata fid-29 ta' Mejju 2009, li taqra hekk kif gej:

"I Dwar Kazijiet

Il-kontro-parti rreferiet ghall-kazijiet imsemmija mill-appellanti, liema kazijiet effettivamente jikkonfermaw 1-esiztenza commitment mahluq mill-kazijiet ikkwotati mill-appellanti. Izda 1 kontro-parti ghogobha tagħti stamp storta ta1-kazijiet b'dawn i1-metodi u cieoe':-

- (i) Meta 1-kazijiet kienu jirreferu ghal zvi1uppi ingentissimi, anke ferm u ferm akbar minn dawk ta1-appellanti, u għal iktar su1ari (ara l-kaz Refalo PA 6821/2006) 1-kontroparti kellha bil-fors tammetti 1i inhareg il-permess izda ghogobha ma titkellem xejn dwar i1-kobor u 1-gho1i ta' 1-izvi1upp rikjest, bha1 biex tipprova tnessihu1na.
- (ii) Meta 1-kazijiet ta' permessi mahruga kienu jirreferu għa1 strutturi inqas kbar giet addotta strategija differenti jigifieri illi b'certu detta1 jkun deskrift il-progett biex dan jidher ckejken, anzi icken milli fil-fatt hu.
- (iii) Wieħed jassumi li dawn 1-affarrijiet saru biex i1-kontroparti tipprova b'moc fin tiggustifika 1-posizzjoni diskriminatorex tagħha, u tnaqqas u timminimizza 1-kobor ta1 ingustizzji sofferti mill-appellanti, kif wara kollox jixhdu 1-permessi ikkwotati u ammessi mill-istess kontroparti.

Fis-'Second Statement' ukoll il-kontro-parti ghogbha ssemmi illi l-permess bin numru PA 2902/05 jirreferi ghall Naxxar, mentri l-appellanti riedu jirreferu ghall permess mahrug lill-istabiliment 'Clamus' li għandu n-numru PA2902/95; di piu' ghall kull buon fini 1 esponenti qed jieħdu din l-opportunita' sabiex jibdu l-attenzjoni tal-Bord ghall permessi ohrajn mahrugin lil terzi li huma :-

- (i) Applikazzjoni mill APS Bank Malta kwazi quddiem il knisja ta' San Frangisk jithalla jitla bini ta' sitt sulari jew aktar (Ara applikazzjoni deciza mid DCC fil 21 t'Ottubru 1996)
- (ii) Applikazzjoni ohra li permezz tagħha zdiedu il-livelli fil-Qorti ta' Malti (Trik ir-Repubblika - Ara PA3814/08)
- (iii) In rigward tal Casa Rocca Piccola zdiedu sulari fl-gholi (ara PA 1007/06).
- (iv) Applikazzjoni minn Sterling Jewellers PA 4840/00 li 'inter alia' jinkludi 'construction of fourth floor level' 'granted' fit 30 ta' Mejju 2001
- (v) "Change of use of stores to retail facilities" P A 3994/08 mahrug fit-28 ta' Jannar 2009
- (vi) Ritz Shopping Centre (ara PA 3512/08) Triq ir-Repubblika Valletta: 'alterations at first floor, addition of shops at second floor, and administrative office space at third floor' 'granted' fl-10 ta' Marzu 2009
- (viii) PA1967/06: South Street clw Republic Street Valletta fejn l-izvilupp kien Development of offices and retail units. Alterations to existing premises and change of use to offices mahruga fis-16 t'Ottubru 2007.

(ix) PA 7051/07: Addition of floors in place of existing steel roof

Dawn l-applikazzjonijiet qed jizdiedu mal-kazijiet citati precedentement ghaliex il-kontro parti farsi hasbet li billi bi zball gie citati permess li jirreferi ghan-Naxxar, l-esponenti ma kellhiex commitments ohra lejn xiex tipponta. Dawn l-applikazzjonijiet huma lkoll fil-Belt Valletta u juru kif lill-terzi jinhargulhom permessi ta' entita', anki fi zmien qasir bla wisq tahbit filwaqt illi lill-applikanti ma nhargulhomx il-permessi lilhom dovuti snin kbar ilu, anzi l-kontroparti għadha tagħmel kull sforz biex izzomm lura lill-esponenti milli jieħdu l-permess, bi hsara kontinwa lilhom u lill-proprietà tagħhom.

II Dwar l-Applikabilita' tal-Kazijiet

Xott xott u mingħajr ma tat-ragunijet għalhekk il-kontroparti allegat illi l-kazijiet citati mill-esponenti mhumiex applikabbli. Tipprova toħloq id-dubju bla ma tissostanza li tghid. Fil-kaz prezenti l-commitment huwa favur l-esponenti mill-aspetti kollha ta' dan il-principju:

- (a)----l-kazijiet jirreferu ghall permessi ta' bini fuq bini diga' ezistenti. Hekk hu il-kaz odjem u għalhekk certament l-kazijiet huma applikabbli.
- (b)----l-kazijiet jirreferu ghall-ghoti ta' strutturi tal-gebel fuq bini ezistenti - kif inhu il-kaz in kwistjoni; u
- (c)----l-kazijiet jirreferu ghall-ghoti ta' 'quantum' ta' bini li l-volum tieghu hafna drabi huwa daqs jew izqed minn dak tal-applikanti
- (d)----Ir-ragunijiet principali ghaliex il-permessi tat-terzi inhargu kien ghaliex tal-inqas fuq nahha wahda tat-triq kien hemm bini izqed għoli – fil-kaz tal-applikanti hemm bini għoli qasma ma qasma mal-beni tal-applikanti li huma ekwivalenti prattikament għal tlitt sulari, kif ukoll per exempju il-kaz 'Azzopardi' PA5976/06 fejn gie akkordat il-permess tal-bini b'sular extra, u dan gie spjegat li inhareg ghaliex fuq wara tal-binja l-izvilupp kien ser ikun circa filata wahda biss zejda - mentri l-applikazzjoni ta' l-esponenti mhux ser tkun xejn oħla minn tal-fond adjacenti (li prezenteżtem huwa circa 33 filata oħla minn ta' l-esponenti - ara rapport tal-Perit Johann Farrugia ja-esebit).

Għall-finijiet ta' commitment jissemma l-kaz 'Vanni Ganado f'isem Gasan Properties Limited vs DCC' [deciza fil-21 ta' Marzu 1994] [Decizjonijiet tal-Ippjanar Vol III p.586] id-DCC kienet rrifutapplikazjoni ghaliex sostniet illi tezisti height limitation ta' erba sulari fiz-zona in kwistjoni. Il-Bard tal-Appell kien laqa' l-appell ghaliex sostna illi : 'skond il-Pjan ta' Struttura kelli jsir l-ahjar uzu tal-art u peress illi fli stess triq kien jezisti bini għoli hames sulari, il-Bord ikkontenda illi l-izvilupp propost ma kienx ser jkollu impatt negattiv fuq il-bini cirkostanti wil-karatru tal-lokal.'

III Dwar il-Post

L-appellata dehrilha illi anki dwar is-sit jitfghu allegazzjoni billi jghidu illi l-kazijiet citati huma irrelevanti. Izda għall-allegazzjoni tagħhom ma gabux imqar raguni wahda u dan għas semplice raguni ghaliex tali raguni ma tezistix. Ma hemm l-ebda policy illi tapplika ghall 305 Saint Paul Street Valletta Malta biss u mhux għall-kumplament tal-Belt.

Izda bħallikieku dan mhux bizzejed, dawn jghidu li mhumiex relevant anke permessi rtoroq vicini fl-istess nahha tal-Belt, u sahansitra wkoll għall-istess Triq San Pawl

Valletta, fejn inghataw ammont kbir ta' permessi matul is-snin 1il terzi, generalment fil pront u minghajr il-martirju u terturi li Qed jsiru mal-applikanti.

Izda bhallikieku dan mhux bizzejed hemm parti mill-permessi li huma mhux biss fi Triq San Pawl Valletta Malta imma sahansitra ftit metri 'l boghod mis-sit tal applikanti. Izied minn hekk ukoll qasma ma' qasma mal-propieta' tal-applikanti.

IV Dwar il Policies

Diga' giet accennat illi l-policies tal Belt japplikaw ghall Belt kollha. Il-kazijiet ikkwotati juru bla dubju ta' xejn illi dawn il policies riferenti ghall Belt Valletta huma identici ghall dawk in rigward tas-sit in kwistjoni ghaliex (i) jirreferu ghall postijiet fil Belt Valletta u ghalhekk ghal policies dwar l-istess; (ii) jirreferu ghall 'building height limitation' u 'extra floors' kif inhu dan il-kaz ukoll; u (iii) l-interpretazzjoni favorevoli tal-istess policies fil-kazijiet ta' terzi jikkonferma ukoll kif bl-istess mod u maniera favorevoli dawn il-policies kellhom ikunu applikati mal-applikanti fil kaz odiern u fissit odiern bil-hrug tal-permessi relattivi.

Hekk isiru l-argumenti; hekk trid is-serjeta wil-gustizzja; mhux kif ghamlet il-kontroparti li b'mod skorrett tefghet allegazzjoni illi l-policies ma japplikawx minghajr l-anqas biss semmiet policy wahda u iktar minn hekk minghajr ma inghatat biss raguni wahda ghaliex dawn il-policies majapplikawx. Veru il kaz ta' quod gratis asseritur gratis negatur

L-appellata ghogobha issemmi il Planning Directorate u d-DCC li mhuma xejn hliel strutturi fi hdan il-MEPA. Li ma ssemmix ukoll il-kontroparti hu li fil-kaz partikolari li hija ssemmi, dak li gie ritenut minn dawn il Entitajiet minnha msemmija ma giex kondiviz jew ritenut mill Qrati tagħna izda mhassar u annullat ghall kollox.

B ----- Jissemmej ukoll il HAC u VRC. Apparti l-fatt li anki hawn mhux dak kollu li ntqal hu korrett xejn ma jissemmej il-kazijiet ta' fejn l-istess kontroparti minkejja il-pariri kuntrarji tal HAC u/jew il-VRC xorta wahda harget il-permessi ghall bini fil Belt Valletta anki sahansitra ftit metri l-boghod mill-applikanti fi triq San Pawl Valletta stess.

C----- Imma ghall grazza tal-argument anki fir-riferenza ghall HAC l-kontro-parti inqabdet f'inezattezza. Hi tghid u tallega, ossija timplika, illi l-HAC irrifjuta u ma qabilx mal-hrug tal-permessi odjemi meta fil-fatt dan il-Kumitat ma oggezzionax għal dawn il-pemessi izda tal ab revizjoni ta' xi affariiiet fil-pjanti daqstant ghall kredibilita' tal-kontroparti u dak li kitbet.

IV Dwar ir-Rapport tal-Perit

Imma kemm tiswa il-kredibilita' tal-kontroparti u tas Second Statement tagħha jidher minn dak li qal wara fir Rapport meta qalet illi fuq zewg kantunieri il-bini huwa indaqs mal-bini ta' hdejh. Apparti li dan mhuwiex ezatt ghaliex hemm fejn hu izjed għoli, il-kontroparti konvenjentement insiet dawn il-fatti:-

1- Insiet ir-rapport tal-Perit Johann Farrugia li jagħmilha cara li hemm differenza ta' prattikament tlitt sulari mal-fond adjacenti; u

2- Insiet tagħmel riferenza għar-ritratti annessi mal-rapport tal-istess Perit Farrugia li juru b'mod viziv dan kollu. Imkien ma l-kontroparti ma ipproduciet xi materjal viziv

ritratt jew simili li bi xi mod jichad dan kollu. Ghaliex is sewwa maghruf ma jista jichdu hadd.

3- Dan juri illi mhux talli l-fond in kwistjoni hu indaqs il-fondi ta' hdejh fuqa iz-zewg kantunieri imma hu sahansitra baxx prattikament tlitt sulari qasma ma' qasma mad-dar immedjatament adjacenti. Ben differenti mill kaz Azzopardi PA 5796/06 fejn permess inghata ghaliex fuq nahha wahda biss fuq wara kien hemm filata izjed gholi imma kien hemm 1.5 sulari inqas fuq nahha ohra u fil kaz Refalo fejn inghataw zewg sulari li flimkien mal-bini ezistenti hdbu sitt sulari

Inoltri qed tingieb l-iskuza tal -patio - u biex tkompli 'tpingi' b'mod kulurit dan kollu qed ssir il kwotazzjoni relativa.

Tajjeb illi wiehedjippreciza dwar din r-referenza ghall 'patio' u cioe':-

a----L-ewwelnett il 'patio' mhuwiex xi struttura monumentali jew ta' storja; u mhu marbut ma' l-ebda grajja storika jew sinjifikanti; ghalhekk hu struttura prattikament minghajr l-ebda importanza; u

b---- Tajjeb illi wiehed jfakkar illi dak li għandu jkun protett l-iktar fil-Belt Valletta hu strutturi monumentali u in rigward ta' dawn hargu bizibilju ta' permessi f'landmarks marbutin ma valur monumental, storiku jew ta' posizzjoni kif già imsemmija u ohrajn. c----Dan apparti l-fatt illi jekk u talvolta il 'patio' hu tant ghall qalb u ta' importanza ghall- kontroparti, allura ma messiex harget il-permessi tal-bini ghall binja qasma ma' qasma mal-istess patio (Permessi Zahra).

d----Apparti dan kollu mhuwiex minnu illi l-istess patio, hu l-uniku wiehed fil-prezent fil Belt u apparti dan kollu m'ghandu l-ebda valur partikolari bhal kazijiet l-ohra imsemmijin fuq fejn il-kontroparti harget il-permessi lill terzi u hekk tkompli tiddiskrimina b'mod sfaccat mal-applikanti.

Bhallikieku illi l-Belt Valletta tikkonsisti biss tl-istoricita' tagħha mid-dar tal-applikanti! Dan mhu xejn hlief attentat tal-kontroparti biex tipprova tghatti d-diskriminazjoni li trid u li qed twettaq mal-proprijeta tal-applikanti.

VII Dwar Dwar I-Obbligu Mandatorju

Imma dawn il-kunsiderazzjonijiet huma lkoll suggetti ghall fatt prevalent illi b'decizjoni tal -Qorti tal-Appell meħuda fit-30 ta' Marzu 2006. Dan il-Bord tal Appell dwar l-Ippjanar huwa tenut illi johrog il-permess relativ u dan fid-dawl tal-hafna permessi fil Belt mahrugin lill terzi biex b 'hekk jingħata permess lill applikanti skond il-principju ta 'cerimus paribus '.

Dan l-obbligu mandatorju jagħmilha cara u obbligatorju fuq dan il-Bord biex johrog il-permessi relattività.

L-obbligu mandatorju johrog ukoll u fuq kollex mis-sentenza mogħtija mill Qorti tal - Appell fil-kaz Trident Properties Limited versus Awtorita' ta' l-Ippjanar fejn l-istess Qorti għamlitha cara illi meta ikunu nhar u permessi simili għas-sit in applikazzjoni l-permessighandhom johorgu minkejja kull policy, Local Plan jew dokument iehor simili in rigward. Dan kollu jagħmel mandatorju il-kors li għandu jiehu dan il-Bord tal Appell dwar l-Ippjanar - jigifieri dak illi dan l-istess Bord - kif inhu d-dover tieghu u kif wara kollex tirrikjedi u tesigi il-gustizzja - johrog il-permessi relattività.

VIII Il-kaz J.Formosa Gauci f'isem Trident Development Limited vs L-Awtorit.tda' Malta dwar l-Ambjent u l-Ippjanar, deciza 26 ta' April 2009

L-appellanti jaghmlu referenza ghal dan il-kaz fondamentali ghall-kaz formanti oggett ta' dan l-appell.

1. Fil-qosor il-fatti dwar il-kawza 'de quo' kienu illi kienet inghatat decizjoni fl-ismijiet fuq imsemmija mill-Bord tal-Appelli dwar I-Ippjanar, liema decizjoni akkordat permess ta' zvilupp lill-applikant/appellant (i) minkejja d-dettami diversi tal-policies u Pian ta' Struttura, Local Plans u simili u (ii) peress illi kien hemm 'commitment'

2. Sar appell minn din id-decizjoni mill-Awtorita' lill Qorti tal-Appelliema appell gie deciz fis 26 ta' Marzu 2009.

3. Illi fis-sentenza tagħha if-Qorti tal-Appell cahdet l-appell tal-Awtorita' appellanti u fl-istess sentenza 'inter alia' il-Qorti ikkwotat il-kaz Consiglio d' Amato versus il Kummissjoni ghall-Kontroll tal-Izvilupp u qalet:

"..... Din il-Qorti jidhrilha li kemm l-Awtorita tal-Ippjanar kif ukoll il-Bord tal-Appell dwar I-Ippjanar, it-tnejn għandhom is-setgħa, mingħair ma ibiddlu t-Temporarv Provisions Schemes li jevalwaw kull kaz fuq il-mertu u fuq il-fatti sped propriji tieghu. Inoltre, fejn ikun jirrizulta car li hemm cirkostanzi specjali ta' commitment, kemm l-Awtorita' kif ukoll il-Bord għandhom is-setgħa guridizzjonali li johorgu permess ta' zvilupp li jkun jiddapartixxi, per ezempju mill-maximum height limitation impost fit-temporary provision schemes ... '

In oltre il-Qorti ta' l-Appell fis-sentenza tat-Trident, għamlitha cara illi jesistu kazijiet fejn ic-cirkostanzi partikolari tagħhom jesigu, meta hekk jokkorri, li l-Bord jiddipartixxi mid -dettami imposti fl-iskemi, Local Plans u Policies officjali, partikolarmen fil-kaz ta' commitments (li fdan il-kaz odjern il-commitment gia' gie stabbilit u res judicata) u għalhekk il-permessi relativi għandhomjhargu minn dan il-Bord.

4. Illi minn dan kollu jirrizulta car illi (A) dan il-Bord tal-Appelli dwar I-Ippjanar ma jistax jinjora l'-commitment, u dan anki (B) meta il-policies il-Pjani Lokali u l-Pjan ta' Struttura huma f'sens kuntrarju, u minkejja dak li ġiġi fl-istess; u li għalhekk (C) meta jkun hem commitment (kif già' dikjarat mill-Qorti ta' l-Appell) dan il-Bord huwa obbligat illi johrog il-permess tal-izvilupp merament fuq dik il-bazi u minkejja kull kunsiderazzjoni kuntrarja ohra.

Illi l-Awtorita' rrispondiet billi baqqhet ferma fl-oggezzjoni u spjegat dwar l-importanza tal-kuntest fejn jinsab is-sit u l-importanza tal-preservazzjoni tal-iskyline tal-Belt Valletta. L-Awtorita' qed tinsisti li kull kaz għandu jigi ezaminat fil-kuntest u l-fattispeci tieghu. Dan gie spjegat fis-sottomissjoni prezentata fis-seduta tad-29 ta' Lulju 2009 u li taqra hekk kif gej:

"The Authority had forwarded its comments to the submissions received on 11th March 2009 and had commented on all the cited cases as necessary as per standard procedure in such circumstances. The Authority sustains that these comments were faithful to the information as gathered from its data base system and this report was prepared only as a reaction to appellant's submission wherein it was claimed that similar permits were actually issued.

It is to be stated that Valletta is quite a unique and exceptional city where buildings have a long history of tradition, culture and contribution to their particular

surroundings in which these are located. This particular area is so unique that contrary to many other places in the Island, there are no fixed (and detailed) land use maps which show the height and uses which every part of the street could be developed. In this sense, it is important to underline that even though certain permits have been issued to intensify existing buildings (as cited by appellant and confirmed by the Authority in its response statements) it is very important that one understands that what was granted in a certain area in Valletta does not create an automatic precedent to anywhere else in Valletta and which entails all other owners to be granted the same height / use as others which are located in other parts of Valletta.

Existing building heights do vary from area to area and these are also effected by nearby iconic buildings such as churches or scheduled buildings which prohibit taller buildings in their vicinity which could lessen their architectural and contextual importance in a particular streetscape. In this particular case, the proposed alterations on the existing 3rd floor and the addition of a new floor above are not considered acceptable in this particular location. It is futile for one to argue that the total height of the building (in metres) would be similar to nearby buildings since the acceptable topography of such an important city is not to create a 'square box' where buildings would reach the tallest building in a particular street, but rather, the total height of buildings is to follow the natural topography of Valletta where buildings located on a higher level would 'overlook' over other buildings located on lower ground.

In this particular case, from the site inspection carried out on 3rd September 2008, it was noted that nearby buildings were in fact located on higher ground than the case under appeal. Hence, the total height of buildings should also respect the difference in the natural ground level. Additionally, being such an important and historical city, the same importance is to be given to the typical difference in the height of buildings which contribute to Valletta's unique character. Existing rare façade features are also important to be safeguarded from development which while providing additional floorspace, would also eliminate particular features which depict the real character of Valletta.

The same applies to non-residential uses. While residential uses are the most common and acceptable form of use in Valletta, when one considers commercial uses such as shops (especially being of a certain magnitude), one must consider their effect on the neighbourhood and its collateral effect on nearby users. In this particular case, the case under appeal is proposing a change of use of the upper floor (3rd floor) as well as the construction of a new floor which is also to be used for retail. The resultant development would be two complete floors of retail which, according to the submitted plans would share the same stairs and lift as the floors below (from the site inspection it was found to be residential). The issue of use is also objectionable since the addition of two retail floors in this part of Valletta is not considered to be ideal when considering the actual neighbourhood of this particular area which is predominantly that for residential purposes. The relevant Structure Plan policies strive to safeguard residential neighbourhoods from commercial activities which would not contribute to the neighbours themselves but rather exploit the economic potential of the town / city in which these are located and attract clientele from outside the city, thus creating unsympathetic cumulative effects to their particular neighbourhood.

As regards to the additional cited cases, the Authority states that:

PA 2902/95: Refurbishing of existing Haro retail outlet including demolition of part of building.

Directorate's recommendation: Gtd

Decision date: 19.02.96

PA 3814/08: Proposed extension of basement levels -1 and -2 into the existing loggia in Strait Street and internal alterations to basement levels -3, -2 and -1, ground, first, second and third floors. - Courts of Justice Building Directorate's recommendation: Gtd

Decision date: 21.10.08 Lm 9000 paid for CPPS.

PA 1007/06: Alterations and extension at roof level of 74 Republic Street and 53 St. Dominic Street.

Case upheld at reconsideration stage: DCC's comments: Approved 6-0 since roof structures are now being constructed around the existing structures and along the area where several other adjoining party walls exist. Proposal therefore conforms to UCO policies (DCC also noted the no objection from HAC in min. 90).

Decision date: 25.03.09

PA 4840/00: Structural alterations to convert two class 4 shops into one Jeweller's shop; change of use from upper floors storage area into Artisans Centre; construction of fourth floor level; internal and external alterations; fixing of advert sign

Directorate's recommendation: Gtd

Decision date: 30.05.01 Lm 1800 paid for CPPS and Lm 3000 paid as Bank Guarantee to ensure that the existing ornate staircase is conserved in its totality.

PA 3994/08: Change of use from stores to retail facilities, including internal alterations, restoration of facade and fixing of sign.

Directorate's recommendation: Gtd

Decision date: 28.01.09

PA 3512/08: Alterations at first floor, addition of shops at second floor, and administrative office space at third floor. - Renewal of PA 5706/00 Whilst the Directorate had recommended a refusal due to nearby scheduled building, the DCC decided that: Approved 4-0 since DCC considered that plans as approved on the original permit do not compromise the Grade 1 scheduling of the building, since works are to be carried out in the new part of the building. (DCC noted contents of min. 32).

Decision date: 10.03.09

PA 1967/06: Outline Development of offices and retail units.

Alterations to existing premises and change of use to offices.

The Directorate noted: Outline development permit application seeking permission for the proposed change of use from a residence into office (an area of 190m²). Works also include internal alterations to the existing building and the addition of a room at roof level.

While this was refused at first decision stage, at reconsideration stage it was noted that the Cultural Heritage Advisory Committee, the Integrated Heritage Management Unit and the Valletta Rehabilitation Committee all stated that there are no objection to the proposed development and since the main issue was the creation of further offices in Valletta, the Board eventually decided that a permit could be issued.

Decision date: 16.10.07

PA 7051/07: Addition of floors in place of existing steel roof, alterations to stairs and toilets, relocation of sub-station within building Case is still pending at case officer and no report has yet been prepared.

As regards to appellant's comment that the Authority's second report did not cite faithfully the HAC's objections, the Authority is producing the exact comments by the HAC of meeting of 23rd August 2000:

Tibdil u zidiet. Il-kumitat rega' ikkunsidra l-applikazzjoni u wara li ra l-ittra u l-pjanti godda sottomessi mil-perit (red 15/15A) iddecida li billi l-arkati fuq it-triq tal-gemb (Trik San Gwann) mhux qed jintwerew li se jibqghu miftuhin, il-kumitat ma jistax jilqa't-talba.

As regards to appellant's claim that: Dan l-obbligu mandatorju jagħmilha cara u obbligatorju fuq dan il-Bord biex johrog il-permessi relativi, the Authority disagrees that the Board is somehow obliged to decide either way at this point since the Board is actually constituted by law to analyse / evaluate and decide as an independent body according to the evidence and arguments brought forward on a case by case bases and the Board will eventually decide basing its decision on ALL the issues related to a case and is in no way 'compelled' to decide in favour of either party as stated by appellant.

Furthermore, as regards the Planning Appeals Board's eventual decision, the Authority also makes reference to a recent decision by the PAB re Proposed extension at second floor to match predominant building height, at Palazzo Marina, St. Christopher Street, Valletta – PAB 4/07. Sentence delivered on 6th March 2009.

In this case, MEPA had refused the proposed extension for two main reasons, that the additional floor would negatively impact on the existing building and its surrounding urban context, and that the intensification of commercial use on this site goes counter to the zoning of the area as a residential area. In its decision, the Planning Appeals Board emphasised the need for careful consideration in such development in Valletta and the decision included:

"Dan il-Bord kien ferm aktar imħasseb fuq l-impatt fiziku u vizwali li tali estenzjoni proposta ikollha fuq il-bini innifsu u il-vista tat-triq San Kristofnu. Huwa fatt li l-proporzjonijiet u id-disinn tal-palazz kienu a bazi tal-gholi ezistenti. Ghalkemm fil-binja biswit kienet saret estenzjoni ta' sular iehor xi mitt sena ilu dan il-fatt m'ghandux jiggustifika li għalhekk wieħed jista' jghola b'sular iehor li certament jkollu impatt vizwali negattiv fuq il-binja ezistenti. Jekk jingħata permess jinholoq precedent fejn kull bini fil-belt jista' jaspira li jghola a bazi tal-bini biswit li jkun aktar għoli. Il-proposta tal-estenzjoni kif giet sottomessa lill-Awtorita' certament li tibdel totalment il-karatteristici vizwali ta' dan il-palazz storiku u dan b'mod negattiv.

Il-proporzjonijiet tal-faccata jinbidlu ghal kollox, il-gwarnica originali tigi spustjata b'aktar minn ghoxrin filata u dan wkoll b'dannu ghal vista tat-triq minn San Kristofnu u impatt fuq l-iskyline tal-Belt.

Tenut kont li il-Belt bhalha 'World Heritage City' għandha jkollha zvilupp sostenibbli li jiġi jirispetta l-arkitettura storika u kuntex urban tali proposta ta' estenzjoni ta' sular iehor tmur kontra il-principji ta' konservazzjoni. Anke il-'Heritage Advisory Committee' (HAC) kien oggezzjona bil-qawwi kontra tali proposta. Dan il-Bord għandu l-obbligu u id-dmir li jassigura li tali proposta ma jkollha l-ebda effett negattiv kemm mil-lat ta' 'Heritage' u li il-policies kif stabiliti fil-pjan lokali jigu mharsa u rispettati.

Għal dawn il-motivi, dan il-Bord qiegħed jikkonferma ir-rifut mahrug mill-Kummissjoni ghall-Kontroll ta' l-Izvilupp u jirrifjuta dan l-appell."

This clearly shows that although permits could have been issued for additions at roof level (as cited by appellant) in Valletta, the main focus in each decision is the projected resultant scenario which each new development would create on its particular surroundings in which it is located. Contrary to appellant's arguments that since other permits were issued in the past in Valletta, then, this appeal should automatically follow suite, in fact, there is no blanket consent in Valletta that justifies additional floors so that every building could be granted additional floors so as to be in line with the highest existing building in Valletta. On the contrary, each and every request for development in Valletta has to be analysed on its particular circumstances and subject to the recommendations of expert bodies such as the HAC, the VRC (HAC objected in meeting held on 23rd August 2000, whilst the VRC Technical Committee, in letter dated 4th July 2000 stated that "The Committee highly objects to this application") the Directorate as well as the Development Control Commission itself.

In this particular case, all the above independent committees have to date objected / refused such an intensification of this particular site and the Authority is still considering the proposed new retail complex on two floors to be objectionable. The main reasons being; the change in the existing character of the façade, such a retail over existing residence, the resultant change in the skyline, and the resultant negative impacts of the proposed retail complex in this particular area in Valletta. The site under appeal is considered to be located in a predominantly residential part of Valletta which would not have a positive contribution from the new proposed retail complex.

This is surely not in line with good planning practice which should be adopted in conserving this World Heritage City.

In this regard, the Authority reiterates that in line with its previous reports, the requested development goes against the present planning policies relevant to this area and states that the DCC's decision to dismiss this request for development was justified and hence respectfully requests the Planning Appeals Board to dismiss this request for appeal.

L-appellant qed jinsisti f'diversi sottomissionijiet ulterjuri illi l-bini għandu jkun estiz sal-faccata bi drid (emfazi tal-appellant) bhal 'Theuma House' (li jigu l-bini adjacenti fi

Triq San Pawl) u jkun l-istess gholi tal-imsemmija ‘Theuma House’ bhala l-iktar bini gholi li jmiss mal-fond mertu ta’ dan l-appell.

Ikkunsidra ulterjorment:

Illi dan it-Tribunal wara li ezamina fid-dettal id-diversi sottomissioniet estensivi prezsentata mill-partijiet fil-kors ta’ dan l-appell, jista jislet zewg konsiderazzjonijiet principali, li huma s-segwenti;

1. Illi fil-Belt Valletta hemm diversi xenarji ta’ bini u zoni differenti u distinti, b’karaterristici artikottonici, storici, u kulturali unici u differenti. It-toroq fil-Belt Valletta huma mizghuda b’binjet unici, b’grammatika ta’ arkittura u karakteristici differenti biswit xulxin, u dan jinkludu l-gholi u numru ta’ sulari li mhux necessarjament huma omogeniji bejn binja u ohra jew bejn blokka ta’ bini jew ohra. Certament dan tal-ahhar jiddeskrivi sewwa l-karaterristici ta’ din il-parti ta’ Triq San Pawl, kif ukoll dik ta’ Triq San Gwann fejn jinsab is-sit inezami.

Din il-mixxela differenti ta’ bini u karaterristici differenti fl-arkitettura, komposta minn elementi ta’ barokk, klassiku, u forom ohra t’arkitettura, fejn sahansitra f’certu kazijiet jistgħu jinstabu f’armonja flimkien f’binja wahda (bhal fil-kaz il-bini adjacenti is-sit inezami, bl-isem ta’ ‘Theuma House’) u li dan jirrfletti l-izvilupp urban tal-Belt Valletta mal-mixja taz-zmien mill-ahhar tas-seklu sittax (16) għal zmienijiet aktar ricienti. Dan wara kollo xirrifletti fl-importanza tal-Belt Valletta bl-istatus ta’ World Heritage Site.

2. Minn naħa l-ohra, huwa evidenti illi zieda ta’ sulari gew permessi mill-Awtorita’ kif gie sottolinjat b’diversi referenzi ta’ permessi prezentati mill-appellant fil-kors ta’ dan l-appell, fejn ghaldaqstant iz-zieda ta’ sulari addizzjonali fil-Belt Valletta mhux għal kollo eksluz.

Illi dan it-Tribunal kemm-il darba gie rijinfaccat b’aggrvji dwar commitment u ezamina fid-dettal permessi ta’ zvilupp u decizjonijiet li jkun relevanti għal kaz mertu tal-appell rispettiv. Fl-applikazzjoni ta’ permessi simili bhala konsiderazzjoni ta’ commitment, dan it-Tribunal huwa ukoll gwidad b’decizjoni ridenti tal-Qorti tal-Appell, fl-ismijiet Raymond Cassar kontra l-Awtorita’ tal-10 ta’ Dicembru 2015, fejn il-Qorti għamlet dawn l-osservazzjonijiet:

“Din il-Qorti diversi drabi f’diversi sentenzi già esprimiet l-opinjoni tagħha illi t-Tribunal għandu jikkonsidra l-element ta’ ugwaljanza bejn applikant u iehor fuq applikazzjonijiet simili meta u safejn il-policies jippermettu li jsir dan. Ebda raguni ta’ similitudni ma tista’ treggi jekk l-applikazzjoni tmur kontra l-kiem espress ta’ ligi jew policy. Konsiderazzjonijiet ohra li jinsorgu minn tali applikazzjoni ta’ dan il-kuncett jistgħu fil-kazijiet kongrui jaġħtu lok għal proceduri ta’ natura legali ohra mill-applikant fil-konfront ta’ min seta’ gie percepit li naqas fil-konfront tieghu pero fl-ambitu ta’ appell ta’ ippjanar iridu jigu applikati di rigore l-ligijiet, pjaniżiet u policies konnessi mal-ippjanar.

F’dan il-kaz it-Tribunal ikkonsidra l-permessi kwotati mill-appellant li l-istess appellant issottometta li kienu simili ghall-applikazzjoni tieghu. It-Tribunal qies illi kellu jiddeċiedi l-kwistjoni fuq il-parametri u konsiderazzjonijiet ta’ ppjanar u l-karakteristici taz-zona fejn hu propost l-izvilupp li jfisser li kull kaz irid jigi deciz fuq il-mertu tieghu. Ghalkemm qies li t-Tribunal ma kellux jiddiskrimina u jkun konsistenti fl-applikazzjoni

u interpretazzjoni tal-policies, fejn l-izvilupp f'ODZ hu varju u spars, mhux semplicement il-kaz li applikazzjoni titqabbel ma' ohra. It-Tribunal ikkonsidra il-permessi u wasal ghal konkluzzjoni illi l-kaz prezenti kelli konsiderazzjonijiet differenti mill-permessi kwotati u b'mod partikolari meta titqies il-vicinanza tas-sit ghal zona residenzjali u l-limitazzjoni tal-izvilupp kif intiz fil-policy rilevanti applikabbli ghal kaz."

Illi ghalhekk dan it-Tribunal, huwa tal-fehma li fil-kaz ta' zvilupp gewwa l-Belt Valletta, b'mod partikolari fejn hemm propost zieda ta' sulari, jirrikjedi konsiderazzjonijiet ta' ppjanar, kif ukoll konsiderazzjonijiet dwar il-kuntest u l-karaterristici tat-triq u z-zona fejn jinsab l-izvilupp. Dan ghalhekk iwassal ghal fatt li kull kaz għandu jigi ezaminat fuq il-merti u l-fattispeci tieghu. Huwa tajjeb kif issottometa l-appellant li l-Awtorita għandha timxi bi principji ta' ekwita u konsistenza fl-applikazzjoni u fl-interpretazzjoni tal-policies, imma jiqla l-fatt li kif għad għad-ding id-deċiżjoni, il-Belt Valletta toffri diversi xenarji b'karaterristici unici u differenti minn binja ghall-ohra, minn triq ghall-ohra, u minn zona ghall-ohra li mhux la kemm wieħed jista jqabbel kas ma iehor.

Għalhekk l-argument ta' commitment m'għandux ikun il-gustifikazzjoni ewlenijia li twassal għal hrug ta' permess ta' zvilupp b'mod awtomatiku, jew bi dritt, kif qed jinsisti l-appellant.

Illi dan it-Tribunal ezamina bir-reqqa d-diversi permessi citati mill-appellant fis-sottomissjoni tad-29 ta' Mejju 2009, kif ukoll f'dawk prezentati fis-sottomissjoni tat-8 ta' Lulju 2010, fejn huwa evidenti l-fatt li kull kaz gie kunsidrat skont il-fattispeci partikolari tieghu, b'mod partikolari fir-rigward tal-kuntest fl-immedjat ta' kull sit rispettivament.

Illi minn ezami tal-inkartament tal-files rispettivi, dan it-Tribunal seta' jikkonstata numru ta' permessi PA 4515/04, PA 6821/06 (outline, u permess full development PA 1582/08), PA 192/02, PA 1107/07, PA 4172/05, PA 5909/94, PA 1007/06, PA 4840/00, fejn iz-zieda ta' sulari gie gustifikat minhabba l-prezenza ta' appoggi mikxufa tal-bini adjacenti. Prima face jidher illi hemm sitwazzjoni simili għal kaz odjern, peress illi s-sit inkwistjoni jinsab ukoll ma appogg mikxuf.

It-Tribunal seta' jinnota ukoll li f'certu kazijiet bhal permessi PA 4515/04, PA 192/02, PA 699/04, PA 3814/08 (dan tal-ahhar il-bini tal-Qorti fejn iz-zieda ta' sular gie approvat fil-permess PA 4276/01), u PA 3512/08 (bhala renewal tal-permess PA 5706/00), iz-zieda ta' sulari huma rtirati mill-faccata principali, u fil-kaz ta' Casa Rocca Piccola skon il-permess numru PA 1007/06, l-estensjoni giet ridotta u limitata għal fejn kien għad hemm hitan għoljin mad-dawra tad-dar. Fil-kaz kwotat bin-numru PA 7051/07 relatav mal-kumpless kummerciali ma kienx hemm zieda ta' sulari hekk il-proposta approvata hija limitata għal bdil intern u tibdil ta' "roof sheet with incorporated PV panels".

Mhux f'kull kaz intwera sensittivita għal kuntest u l-iskyline tal-Belt Valletta, u dan it-Tribunal ma jistax jifhem kif zvilupp li gie gustifikat mill-Awtorita' a bazi ta' commitments u bini għoli adjacenti, meta minn naha l-ohra gie krejat appoggi mikxufa godda bħal fil-kaz ta' PA 192/02, PA 5796/06, PA 6821/06 u PA 4731/04 mil-lista ta' permessi citati.

Fil-kaz odjern, is-sit jinsab appogg ma hajt gholi ta' madwar 28 filata 'I fuq mill-livell tal-bejt, ghalkemm l-gholi ta' dan il-hajt minn kif jidher mit-triq huwa mitigat kemm minhabba l-faccata għola tal-bini mertu ta' dan l-appell, kif ukoll il-wesa' tat-triq ta' San Pawl li ma tippermettix vizwal fit-tul, ghalkemm l-istess hajt huwa wieħed sostanzjali.

Minn naħa l-ohra dan it-Tribunal mhux tal-fehma illi konsiderazzjoni ta' zieda ta' sulari fuq dan is-sit għandha tiddependi biss fuq l-ezistenza ta' dan il-hajt t'appogg fuq naħa wahda tas-sit indezamina. L-istess konsiderazzjonijiet għandha tigi applikata ukoll għas-sit odjern, meta l-istess bini inkwistoni għażi jinsab b'madwar zewg sular 'il fuq mill-bini adjacenti tas-sit fi Triq San Gwann.

Illi f'dan il-kaz, dan it-Tribunal huwa ukoll imħasseb fuq l-impatt vizwali, b'mod partikolari l-istepping effect tal-bini li jinsab fi Triq San Gwann fit-tul kollu tagħha li certament biz-zieda tal-estensjoni vertikali tal-bini kif propost ser jiddisturba. Ta' minn isemmi ukoll illi l-istess bini huwa espost ukoll għal veduti tal-Port il-Kbir fejn għaldaqstant iz-zieda ta' sulari addizzjonali ser ihalli effett mhux mixtieq mill-veduti tal-Belt Valletta hekk kif tidher minn naħa tal-Port il-Kbir.

Illi b'mod simili, anke l-vizwal ta' Triq San Pawl hekk kif wieħed iħares lejn id-direzzjoni tal-Lbic, ser ikun hemm diskrepanza fl-gholi tal-bini, b'mod partikolari hekk kif dan jitqabbel mal-kantuniera opposta li għandha karaterristici simili. Illi anke l-ftuh tal-arkati fil-livell tal-bejt li gew replikati fil-bini oppost, qed jaġtu dehra unika u partikolari għal din il-parti ta' Triq San Pawl hekk kif din tinghaqad ma Triq San Gwann, u għaldaqstant dan it-Tribunal ma jarax lok a bazi ta' konsiderazzjonijiet ta' ippjanar, u estetici li jiddisturba l-karaterristici tal-bini ezistenti, anke tenut kont tal-fatt illi dan jinsab f'kantuniera, li bhala konsegwenza huwa sensittiv għal kuntest fejn jinsab u ben espost kemm minn Triq San Pawl, kif ukol minn Triq San Gwann.

F'dan il-kaz, dan it-Tribunal qed jikkonkludi illi l-gwadan li l-appellant qed jinsisti li ser ikun jirrizulta bit-tnejhiha tal-appogg mikxuf ezistenti mhux tali meta mqabbel mal-impatt sostanzjali fuq il-karaterristici arkittonici tal-bini ezistenti li ser jintilfu, kif ukoll ghall-impatt vizwali sostanzjali fuq il-karatru tat-toroq fejn jinsab is-sit de quo. F'dan ir-rigward, dan it-Tribunal qed jichad l-aggravji tal-appellant u jikkofferma r-ragunijiet ta' rifjut a bazi tal-Policies UCO 6 u UCO 10 li jimmilitaw favur il-konservazzjoni tazz-zoni urbani ta' valur storiku u arkittoniku bhal fil-kaz tal-Belt Valletta.

Osservazzjoni finali hija rigward il-Policy 16.5 tal-linja gwida dwar il-kontroll tal-izvilupp tas-sena 2007 li l-appellant qed jindika li tapplika għal kaz odjern fis-sottomissjoni prezentata fit-12 ta' Marzu 2010 (part E). Illi din tipprovdi gharrilassiment tal-maximum building height sabiex tkopri hitan t'appogg mikxufa. Illi dan it-Tribunla jinnota illi tali policy ma tapplikax għal kaz odjern meta l-linja gwida tas-sena 2007, inkluz il-part 16 tal-istess dokument, japplika biss fir-rigward tal-applikazzjoni validati wara (emfazi tat-Tribunal) id-data tas-16 t'April 2007, filwaqt li l-parti 16 ta' dan il-linja gwida tapplika biss għal dawk l-applikazzjonijiet pendentli li kienu għadhom ma gewx decizi mill-Awtorita' fid-data tas-16 t'April 2007. Fit-tieni lok, il-policy msemmija tirreferi għal bini li qed jeccedi l-gholi massimu tat-triq fejn jinsab, li fil-kaz tal-Belt Valletta dan certament ma japplikax għar-raguni li ma hemm ebda

maximum building height ghal bini fil-Belt Valletta skont il-Pjan Lokali tal-Grand Harbour. Ghal dawn ir-ragunijiet, dan l-aggravju qed jigi michud ukoll.

Ghal dawn il-mottivi dan it-Tribunal wara li kkunsidra l-fattispeci kollha tal-kaz, qed jichad l-appel u jikkonferma d-decizjoni ta' rifjut.

Ikkunsidrat

L-aggravji tal-appellant huma s-segwenti:

1. It-Tribunal fetah l-appell mill-gdid qisu ma kien hemm ebda sentenza tal-Qorti tal-Appell tal-2006. Il-provi li tressqu kienu biex jissostanzjaw it-tezi tal-commitment mressqa mill-appellanti rigward zieda ta' sulari. It-Tribunal flok strada l-appell fuq dak il-binarju biss u ddiferixxa ghas-sentenza, l-appell iggebbet ghal diversi snin;
2. It-Tribunal ma tax importanza ghal commitment tant li mar kontra l-gurisprudenza stabbilita mit-Tribunal precedenti, u li b'tali nuqqas jista' jwassal ghal diskriminazzjoni;
3. It-Tribunal zbalja meta ma applikax il-height relaxation policy billi fil-fatt din il-policy kienet tapplika ghall-applikazzjonijiet in vigore qabel I-2006 kif inhi l-applikazzjoni prezent;
4. Il-policies UCO 10 fil-pjan ta' struttura ikkwotati mit-Tribunal huma illum estinti u sorvolati bl-SPED, u ghalhekk id-decizjoni tat-Tribunal hi bla bazi fil-ligi;
5. Gew applikati kriterji mal-applikant li kieku gew applikati ma' terzi, certi permessi ma kienek jinhargu. It-Tribunal ikkonsidra policies u argumenti negattivi bhal gholi li jmur kontra l-iskyline u l-veduti li ma ssemmewx f'kazijiet ohra u dan hu diskriminatorju ghax Belt Valletta hi kollha UCA u World Heritage Site u kolloq għandu jigi trattat bl-istess mod. Kwindi d-decizjoni għandha tigi revokata għal metodu diskriminatorju u selettiv tal-mottivi għad-decizjoni;
6. It-Tribunal ma segwiex id-direttiva tal-Qorti tal-Appell fis-sentenza tagħha tat-30 ta' Marzu 2006 li implicitament kienet tħisser li l-permess kellu jinhareg fuq il-bazi ta' commitment ezistenti, mhux jigi michud. Id-decizjoni tat-Tribunal ukoll tilledi d-dritt tal-applikant għal proprjeta.

L-ewwel aggravju

Dan l-aggravju ma hu xejn hliet lanjanza fuq it-tul li ha l-appell quddiem it-Tribunal. Ma fi ħebda punt ta' ligi deciz mit-Tribunal li minnu skatta dan l-aggravju. L-appellant

donnu qed isostni illi s-sentenza tal-Qorti tal-Appell tat-30 ta' Marzu 2006 stabbiliet xi terminu jew procedura kif kelly jinstema l-appell mill-gdid quddiem it-Tribunal. Il-Qorti laqghet l-appell tal-applikant ghax l-aggravju tal-commitment ma giex kunsidrat u deciz mill-Bord tal-Appelli illum it-Tribunal u b'hekk annullat id-decizjoni tal-Bord tal-Appell biex il-Bord, illum it-Tribunal jiddisponi mill-kaz skond il-ligi anke fid-dawl ta' dak deciz u fil-kuntest tas-sottomissjonijiet kollha li saru mill-partijiet. Il-Qorti tal-Appell kwindi regghet baghtet l-atti lura biex jerga' jigi deciz mill-gdid l-appell bla ebda limitazzjoni la ta' possiblita li jingiebu provi u anqas b'xi parametri ta' zmien li fih kelly jdum jinstema l-appell. Madankollu jrid jigi rilevat illi l-inkartament ma jurix xi dewmien ingustifikat. Fl-ewwel lok saru diversi differimenti fuq talba tal-appellant. In oltre saru zewg accessi wiehed fuq talba tal-Awtorita u iehor ftit qabel id-decizjoni fuq talba tal-appellant. Saru diversi sottomissjonijiet bil-miktub mill-partijiet fuq il-vertenza. Ghalhekk ma jistax jinghad li t-tul tal-appell kien ingustifikat.

Dan l-aggravju ghalhekk ma fih ebda mertu legali.

It-tieni aggravju

Dan l-aggravju ma fih xejn mis-sewwa. It-Tribunal ikkonsidra kwazi esklussivament il-kwistjoni tal-commitment b'mod generali fil-kaz tal-Belt Valletta u in partikolari meta kkunsidra l-kaz in kwistjoni komparat ukoll ma permessi ohra mahruga mqajma mill-appellant. It-Tribunal anzi rrefera ghal kazijiet fejn wera n-nuqqas ta' qbil ghal mod kif inhargu. Il-Qorti tagħmilha cara li fuq kwistjonijiet strettament ta' ippjanar ma tindahalx fl-operat tat-Tribunal specjalment meta dawn il-kwistjonijiet jitrattaw zona sensittiva u delikata bhal ma hi l-Belt kapitali. It-Tribunal ikkonsidra l-kwistjoni tal-'commitment' imqajma mill-appellant u wasal ghal konkluzzjonijiet tieghu kif f'dan il-kaz tali 'ilment' ma kienx daqstant validu li seta' jegħleb principji ohra ta' ippjanar kif minnu esplicitament dettaljati fid-decizjoni tieghu. Ma jfissirx li t-Tribunal injora l-kwistjoni jew immitiga l-effetti ta' commitment izda applika din il-kunsiderazzjoni bhala wiehed mid-diversi elementi li kellhom jintiznu fl-ambitu tal-ippjanar biex jasal għad-decizjoni jekk l-izvilupp kienx permissibbli u dan dejjem subordinat għal principju li l-Awtorita u t-Tribunal huma marbutin li jaapplikaw il-ligijiet, pjanijiet u policies qabel kollox. It-Tribunal mhux marbut bil-principju tal-precedent ghalkemm

hu xieraq li ma jinghatawx decizjonijiet konfliggenti. Pero I-Qorti tifhem ukoll li kull kaz ikollu I-fattispecie partikolari tieghu li jridu jittiehdu in konsiderazzjoni.

Ghalhekk dan I-aggravju ma fihx mertu.

It-tielet aggravju

Dan I-aggravju wkoll ma fihx mertu ghal fatt wahdu li din il-policy cioe I-provvedimenti tas-sezzjoni 16 tal-Policy and Design Guidance tal-2007 jaghmluha cara illi I-'height relaxation' ser japplika ghal applikazzjonijet li kienu għadhom mhux determinati mill-Awtorita sas-16 ta' April 2007 meta l-applikazzjoni in kwistjoni ilha deciza mill-Awtorita mit-2 ta' Mejju 2001.

Ir-raba' aggravju

Dan I-aggravju wkoll ma fihx mertu ghaliex dawn kien r-ragunijiet ta' rifjut originali mogħtija mill-Awtorita fuq l-applikazzjoni u l-appelli li saru jirreferu għal din id-decizjoni appellata. Ma saret ebda lanjanza jew aggravju quddiem it-Tribunal li kellhom jigu applikati policies ohra u għalhekk il-lanjanza tikkostitwixxi aggravju gdid li ma jirrizultax bhala kwistjoni li dwarha saret lanjanza f'xi stadju quddiem it-Tribunal.

Il-hames aggravju

L-appellant jilmenta li r-ragunament tat-Tribunal kien diskriminatorju fil-konfront tieghu ghax kieku l-istess ragunament gie applikat f'applikazzjonijet ohra, zviluppi ohra ma kienux jigu permessi. L-appellant fil-fehma tal-Qorti naqas li jissustanzja dan l-argument ipotetiku u spekulattiv. Pero fi kwalunkwe kaz, it-Tribunal ikkonsidra materja valida ta' ippjanar fil-konfront tal-applikazzjoni quddiemu. It-Tribunal mhux marbut ma precedent ghalkemm hu dejjem tajjeb li l-Awtorita u t-Tribunal ikunu konsistenti fid-decizjonijiet tagħhom. Madankollu f'dan il-kaz it-Tribunal ikkonsidra I-fattispecie ta' dan il-kaz u wasal ghall-konkluzjoni li fuq fatturi ta' ippjanar li gew spjegati b'mod car fid-decizjoni u anki wara li kkonsidra d-diversi permessi msemmija mill-appellant, il-permess ma kellux jinhareg. It-Tribunal ukoll semma permessi li fil-

fehma teighu kienu inspjegabbli. Dan ma jfissirx li l-appellant gie diskriminat izda li t-Tribunal kellu fehma cara li ghal ragunijiet kjarament esposti u li huma allaccjabbli ma oggezzjonijiet tal-ippjanar, permess f'dan il-kaz ma setax jinhareg. Jekk l-appellant iqis li l-Awtorita naqset bl-atteggjament tagħha fil-konfront teighu meta jitqiesu permessi ohra, għandu l-possibilita li jipprova jfittex rimedju alternattiv izda zgur mhux dak li jingħata permess meta permess mhux misthoqq.

Is-sitt aggravju

Dan l-aggravju jsegwi l-ewwel aggravju. It-Tribunal mexa fl-ewwel lok mad-direttiva tal-Qorti tal-Appell li jikkonsidra l-kwistjoni tal-commitment bhala aggravju u in oltre qies ukoll il-mertu kollu tal-appell billi l-ewwel decizjoni tal-Bord tal-Appell illum it-Tribunal, giet annullata mill-Qorti tal-Appell fis-sentenza tat-30 ta' Marzu 2006. L-istess appell rega' infetah fit-totalita tieghu. Mhux minnu illi s-sentenza tal-Qorti tal-Appell implicitament rabbet idejn it-Tribunal li l-permess kellu jinhareg. Is-sentenza la tghidha u lanqas timplikaha.

Għalhekk l-aggravju qed jigi michud.

Decide

Għal dawn ir-ragunijiet il-Qorti qed tichad l-appell tan-Nutar Emanuel George Cefai u tikkonferma d-decizjoni tat-Tribunal ta' Revizjoni tal-Ambjent u l-Ippjanar tal-5 ta' Lulju 2016, bl-ispejjez kontra l-appellant.

Onor. Mark Chetcuti LL.D.

Imħallef

Anne Xuereb

Deputat Registratur