



QORTI CIVILI PRIM`AWLA

ONOR. IMHALLEF
JOSEPH ZAMMIT McKEON

Illum il-Hamis 28 ta` April 2016

Kawza Nru. 9
Rik. Gur. Nru. 533/13 JZM

HSBC Bank Malta plc (3177)

kontra

Standard Chartered plc, bank esteru registrat fir-Renju Unit, bin-numru ufficjali ta` registratori 00966425, ub`digriet tas-7 ta` Ottubru 2013 lisem tal-konvenut gie jaqra “Standard Chartered Bank, bank esteru registrat fir-Renju Unit”

Il-Qorti :

I. Preliminari

Rat ir-rikors guramentat prezentat fl-4 ta` Gunju 2013 li jaqra hekk :-

1. Illi fl-24 ta` Awissu 2011 fuq struzzjonijiet moghtija minn societa` estera bl-isem Ahmed Mansoor AL A Ali Co BSC (C), il-Bank konvenut hareg letter of credit ossia Documentary Credit (DC) Number 682010006026-L a favur tas-societa` Emirates Aircrte Industries Co. Corporation Ltd (C 51943) ghall-import ta` US\$ 680,000.00 (+/- 5%), liema strument sussegwentement gie modifikat sabiex jirrifletti import ta` US\$ 866,000.00 (+/- 10%) ;

2. Illi fis- 7 ta` Dicembru 2011, il-Bank konvenut hatar u awtorizza lill-Bank attur sabiex jawtorizza l-izbank tal-import kopert bl-imsemmi letter of credit mill-imsemmi beneficjarju u dan diment li l-istess Bank attur ikollu ddokumentazzjoni kollha ndikata fl-istess strument, kopja ta` liema qed tigi hawn annessa ;

3. Illi l-Bank attur gie anke awtorizzat sabiex jiskonta jew jaccelera l-hlas ;

4. Illi wara li l-Bank attur ghamel l-accertamenti kollha necessarji fil-kaz u rrizultalu illi kien hemm ir-rekwisiti kollha necessarji u sodisfacenti sabiex isir il-hlas a favur tal-imsemmi beneficjarju ;

5. Illi in effetti fl-14 ta` Novembru 2011 kienu gew prezentati lill-Bank attur id-dokumenti kollha relativi li kienu konformi mal-imsemija letter of credit, liema dokumenti gew mghoddija mill-Bank attur lill-Bank konvenut fit-18 ta` Novembru 2011 u liema dokumenti kienu accertati bhala konformi ma` dak kollu previst fl-imsemija letter of credit ;

6. Illi l-Bank konvenut accetta dawn id-dokumenti fis-7 ta` Dicembru 2011 u kkonferma illi l-pagament da parti tieghu kien ser jigi avanzat lill-Bank attur fit-30 ta` Jannar 2012 ;

7. Illi sadanittant il-Bank attur ircieva talba mill-beneficjarju Emirates Aircrte Industries Co. Corporation Ltd sabiex isir il-pagament dovut lilu taht l-imsemmi strument ta` kreditu u konsegwentement il-Bank attur iproceda bil-pagament billi ma kien hemm xejn li fil-fehma tieghu u dik tal-Bank konvenut kien ta` ostaklu sabiex isir l-imsemmi pagament; il-Bank attur f-dawn ic-cirkostanzi kellu l-konfort bil-fatt illi l-Bank konvenut kien gja accetta d-dokumenti kollha u relativi ghall-imsemija letter of credit u fuq kollox kellu ukoll konferma ta` meta il-Bank konvenut kien mistenni jagħmel l-pagament ossia rimbors a favur il-Bank attur ;

8. Illi fuq kolloxx il-Bank attur kien konsapevoli illi a tenur tal-UCP 600 Rules u senjatament l-art. 7 [c] u l-artikolu 12b tal-istess regoli, li japplikaw ghall-kaz in ezami, il-Bank konvenut kien u għadu legalment obbligat li jħallas il-Bank attur ;

9. Illi fil-25 ta` Jannar 2012 il-Bank attur gie avzat mill-Bank konvenut illi l-imsemmija socjeta li talbet il-hrug tal-strument ta` kreditu hawn fuq imsemmi u cioe Ahmed Mansoor ALA Ali Co BSC (C) kienu rregistraw xi thassib tagħhom rigward l-awtenticita` ta` whud mid-dokumenti sottomessi mill-beneficjarji ndikati fl-imsemmija letter of credit u li l-istess Bank konvenut kien ukoll qed jiġi suspetta fl-awtenticita` tal-imsemmija dokumenti ;

10. Illi billi kien għajnej sar il-pagament a favur tal-imsemmi beneficjarju kif hawn fuq spjegat, fis-26 ta` Jannar 2012 il-Bank attur informa lill-Bank konvenut illi r-imbors da parti tal-Bank konvenut kien f'kull kaz dovut u mistenni entro d-data indikata tat-30 ta` Jannar 2012 ;

11. Illi fis-27 ta` Jannar 2012 il-Bank konvenut avza lill-Bank attur li ma kienx f'qaghda li jirrimborsa l-ammont dovut lill-Bank attur billi kien gie vjetat jagħmel l-imsemmi hlas b'ordni mahruga mill-Qrati tal-Bahrain fuq talba tal-imsemmija Ahmed Mansour AL Ali BSC ;

12. Illi l-ordni tal-Qorti ta` Bahrain mhijiex ta` xkiel biex il-Bank konvenut jonora l-obbligi tieghu fil-konfront tal-Bank attur fuq ordni tal-Qrati tal-lok ta` pagament a tenur tal-letter of credit ;

13. Illi l-bank konvenut qatt ma gab ragunijiet ohra għaliex dan il-pagament m`għandux isir ;

Jghid għalhekk il-Bank konvenut għaliex għar-ragunijiet kollha hawn fuq premessi u prevja kull dikjarazzjoni necessarja u opportuna fil-kaz, din l-Onorabbli Qorti m`għandhiex :-

1. Tiddikjara illi l-Bank attur huwa kreditur tal-Bank konvenut u b`hekk intitolat għar-rimboris ta` dawk l-ammonti kollha li huwa hallas lill-imsemmi beneficjarju Emirates Aircrēte Industries Co. Corporation Limited (C-51943) a tenur tal-letter of credit ossia Documentary Credit (DC) Number 682010006026-L fit-30 ta` Jannar 2012.

Bl-ispejjez kontra l-Bank konvenut li jibqa` ngunt ghas-subizzjoni u b`riserva li l-Bank attur jiprocedi ghall-likwidazzjoni u kundanna tal-hlas talammonti u imghaxijiet relativi talvolta dovuti lilu f`giudizzju separat.

Rat il-lista tax-xhieda ndikati mill-bank attur u l-elenku ta` dokumenti esebiti mar-rikors guramentat.

Rat ir-risposta guramentata li kienet prezentata fit-23 ta` Settembru 2013 li taqra hekk :–

1. *Preliminarjament illi din il-Qorti m`ghandhiex gurisdizzjoni biex tiehu konjizzjoni tal-kawza stante li si tratta ta` socjeta` li mhiex registrata Malta, mhix prezenti Malta, m`ghandha l`ebda assi Malta li fuqhom fkaz ta` ezitu favorevoli ghas-socjeta` attrici tkun tista` tesegwixxi fuqhom u ghal ragunijiet ohra imsemmija fl-Artikolu 742 tal-Kodici tal-Organizazzjoni u Procedura Civili kif jigi spjegat dettaljatamente waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza b`dan li s-socjeta` konvenuta għandha konsegwentement tigi lliberata mill-osservanza tal-gudizzju.*

*Illi inoltre l-Artikolu 2 para 1 tad-Direttiva tal-Unjoni Europea numru 44/2001 jistipula illi “**persons should be sued in the member state where they are domiciled**” u għalhekk għal din ir-raguni wkoll dejjem għar-rigward tal-eccezzjoni dwar in-nuqqas ta` gurisdizzjoni ta` din il-Qorti s-socjeta` konvenuta għandha tigi lliberata mill-osservanza tal-gudizzju la darba kemm Malta kif ukoll ir-Renju Unit huma t-tnejn membri tal-Unjoni Europea u firmatarji tal-Konvenzioni Europee*

2. *Mingħajr pregudizzju ghall-ewwel eccezzjoni u in via preliminari wkoll illi fkaz li l-Qorti ma tilqax l-ewwel eccezzjoni s-socjeta` eccipjenti mhix illegittimu kuntraditħur billi kien Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch illi kien hareg il-letter of credit meritu tal-kawza u qatt is-socjeta` eccipjenti u se mai din il-kawza kellha tigi ntavolata kontra Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain u/jew is-socjeta Maltija, il-Beneficjarja, klijenta tal-Bank attur, jew kontra ohrajn, u qatt kontra s-socjeta` eccipjenti, kolloxx kif jigi spjegat iktar dettaljatamente waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza.*

3. *Mingħajr pregudizzju ghall-ewwel zewg eccezzjonijiet u in via preliminari wkoll is-socjeta` esponenti qed titlob is-soprasessjoni ta` din l-Onorabbi Qorti ai termini tal-Artikolu 792 et seq tal-istess Kodici billi bħalissa u qabel ma gew intavolati dawn il-proceduri nbdew proceduri għid-did u li għadhom pendenti quddiem il-Qorti Superjuri tal-Bahrain fuq l-istess meritu u li*

fihom il-bank attur huwa wkoll parti, kollox kif jigi spjegat dettaljatament waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza.

4. Minghajr pregudizzju ghall-ewwel tlett eccezzjonijiet, u in via preliminari wkoll, il-UCP 600 rules li l-bank attur ghamel riferiment ghalihom fil-paragrafu numru 8 tar-rikors guramentat, bl-ebda mod ma japplikaw fil-konfront tas-socjeta eccipjenti u dan kif ser jigi spjegat izjed dettaljatament waqt it-trattazzjoni ta` din il-kawza.

5. Bla pregudizzju ghall-ewwel erba` eccezzjonijiet u fil-mertu, il-letter of credit li kien hareg Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain a favur il-beneficjarja s-socjeta` Maltija fuq il-letter of credit, kienet ibbazata fuq dokumenti formanti parti integrali tal-istess letter of credit, bhal ma kienu l-bill of lading, packing list, invoice, certificate of origin u dokumenti ohra li ghalkemm mad-daqqa t`ghajnej deheru li kienu awtentici, `il quddiem irrizultaw li setghew jekk mhux probabilment ukoll kienu foloz jew iffalsifikati u kien biss meta gie skopert dan l-allegat qerq, cirkostanza dina, li sfortunatament giet skoperta meta l-ammont imsemmi fil-letter of credit, ghalkemm ma kienx ghadu gie trasferit minn SCB Bahrain Branch lil Bank Malti, dan ta` l-ahhar, kien gja trasferixxa dawn il-flejjes lill-kumpannija Maltija Beneficjarja, kumpannija registrata hawn Malta bl-isem ta` Emirates Aircrete Industries Co. Ltd. li kienet il-klijenta tal-bank attur. Kien biss immedjatament wara li gie skopert dan il-qerq illi s-socjeta tal-Bahrain, the applicant, li taht l-awtorizzazzjoni tagħha SCB Bahrain Branch harget il-letter of credit, u cioe` Ahmed Mansoor AL A Ali Co BSC (C), il-klijenta tal-istess bank, illi kienet talbet u otteniet l-hrug ta` Mandat ta` Inibizzjoni mill-Qorti Superjuri tal-Bahrain kontra Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain sabiex din tigi inibita milli titrasferixxi l-ammont miftiehem fil-letter of credit li kellu jigi trasferit lis-socjeta` attrici u kienet din, f'dak l-istadju, l-unika raguni ghaliex dan it-trasferiment baqa` ma sehhx, ordni din, illi l-Bank tal-Bahrain ma seta` bl-ebda mod ma joqghodx ghaliha.

Illi għalhekk għandu jirrizulta illi l-letter of credit kienet wisq probabbli affetwata bi frodi imputabbi unikament għas-socjeta` Maltija, billi din ta` l-ahhar apparentement irnexxielha tidhak b'kulhadd inkluz allura l-bank attur li tieghu kienet klijenta, tant illi mingħandha rceviet l-ammont miftiehem fil-letter of credit, filwaqt li l-merkanzija li s-socjeta` Maltija kienet intrabtet li tghaddi lis-socjeta` fil-Bahrain, dejjem skont kif gie miftiehem fil-letter of credit u li a bazi tal-istess ftehim kellha tithallas, baqghet qatt ma ghaddiet il-merkanzija u minflok sparrixxiet u hadd ma jaf fejn jinsabu d-diretturi u/jew is-sidien tagħha, raguni ohra ghaliex qatt ma giet notifikata bil-proceduri inizjati gewwa l-Bahrain.

Di piu, jidher ukoll illi minbarra li l-persuni kollha interessati jidhru li gew defrodati mis-socjeta` Maltija, u cioe` s-socjeta` applikanti gewwa l-Bahrain,

SCB Bahrain, kif ukoll il-bank attur, li lkoll imxew skond dak patwit fil-letter of credit, kienu wkoll it-tlieta li huma kellhom il-kunsens taghhom ivvizjat bi zball billi kieku xi hadd minnhom kien jaf jew seta` ntebah bil-manuvri malinji tal-beneficcjarju, certament ma kinux Jim Xu fuq il-kontenut u l-obbligazzjonijiet miftehma fil-letter of credit, sabiex allura, il-ftehim kontenut fl-istess ma jghoddx kif jigi spjegat izjed dettaljatament waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza.

In segwitu ghal hrug tal-Mandat ta` Inibizzjoni gewwa l-Qorti tal-Bahrain, is-socjeta` Ahmed Mansoor AL A Ali Co BSC (C) inizjat proceduri legali gewwa l-Bahrain mhux biss kontra s-socjeta` Maltija beneficcjarja u SCB Bahrain izda wkoll kontra l-Bank attur liema kawza ghadha pendent, li sfortuna pero` li kwazi sentejn wara l-ftuh ta` din il-kawza, s-socjeta` Maltija ghadha sal-lum ma tistax tigi notifikata bil-kawza wieqfa minhabba f-hekk.

La darba allura, s-socjeta attrici hija wkoll parti ta` dawn il-proceduri, bhala konvenuta flimkien mas-socjeta` Maltija klijenta tagħha, kellha kull interessa li tagħmel l-almu tagħha biex tinnotifikasi lill-klijenti tagħha stess hawn Malta billi kienet is-socjeta` Maltija biss li kienet agixxiet bl-akbar mala fede u litteralment serqitilha mal-USD 1,000,000 u n-nuqqas tagħha milli almenu tiprova tinnotifikasiha, ma tistax ma tigix interpretata bhala negligenza grossolana billi kienet biss is-socjeta` Maltija hatja tal-kerq u hadd iehor. Kien ukoll wisq facili għal bank attur illi iħarrek lil min dahak bih, il-klijent tiegħu stess, il-kumpannija registrata Malta bl-ufficju tagħha fit distanza bogħod mill-wieħed mill-branches tagħha stess u dana m`ghamlitux, qed jigi sottomess, ghall-unika raguni illi kienet taf ben tajjeb illi l-amministraturi u/jew il-beneficcjarji ta` l-istess kumpanniji, zewg persuni ta` nazzjonali Torka u li dwarhom kellha tagħmel due diligence biex tara x-hobz jieklu qabel ma tivversalhom mal-USD 1,000,000, kienu għosfru; u meta kienet jafu wkoll illi din il-kumpannija ma kelliex hlief paid up share capital ta` €1,500 u kienet ilha rregistrata hawn Malta biss fit-xhur qabel ma saret il-letter of credit bir-registered address tagħha probabilment xejn hlief indirizz brass plate.

Jigi sottomess illi kienu għal dawn ir-ragunijiet illi s-socjeta` attrici, konsapevoli ta` dana kollu u mingħajr speranza li hi setghet qatt tirkupra dan l-ammont mingħandha, li flok mexxiet kontra minn dahak biha u harab, iddecidiet illi tiprova tirrikupra l-ammont mingħand min ikun, hlief mingħand min kellha u għalhekk flok harket lill-klijent tagħha, harket lis-socjeta` konvenuta. Ma rnexxiliex tidhol mill-bieb u issa qed tiprova tidhol mit-tieqa. Forsi għalhekk ukoll illi m`ghamlet xejn sabiex tikkoopera fil-proceduri tal-Bahrain, istitwiti wkoll kontra tagħha, al menu sabiex il-klijenta tagħha tigi notifikata!

Minn dana kollu għandu jirrizulta illi din il-Qorti għandha tkun moralment konvinta illi dawn ic-cirkostanzi ma jistghux ma jwasslux għal konvinciment morali illi s-socjeta` Maltija bil-manuvri tagħha irnixxielha

taproprja ruhha indebitament u b`mod illegali l-ammont ta` circa €1,000,000 u allura hija s-socjeta` Maltija biss u hadd iehor partikolarment is-socjeta` eccipjenti li għandha tbat l-konsegwenza ta` dan l-ghemil.

Is-socjeta` esponenti ttendi illi l-principju generali tal-ligi Maltija li, fraus omnia corrumpit, la darba ppruvat a sodisfazzjon tal-Qorti, għandu jwassal illi meta l-fraus huwa ppruvat f`obbligazzjoni bilaterali u letter of credit m`hi xejn hliet ftehim bilaterali, bil-quid pro quo, dan għandu jwassal lill-Qorti tiddikjara illi l-letter of credit għandha tigi mhassra/hija nulla jew annullabli, kif jigi spjegat izqed dettaljatament waqt it-trattazzjoni tal-kawza.

6. In vista ta` dan kollu u billi t-talbiet attrici huma nfondati fil-fatt u fid-dritt dawn għandhom jiġu michuda bl-ispejjeż kontra l-istess socjeta` attrici.

7. Is-socjeta` konvenuta minn issa tirriserva d-dritt li tressaq eccezzjonijiet ulterjuri skond il-ligi.

Rat il-lista tax-xhieda ndikati minnu fir-risposta guramentata.

Rat id-digriet illi tat fl-udjenza tas-7 ta` Ottubru 2013 fejn tat direzzjoni lill-partijiet sabiex iressqu provi u jittrattaw l-ewwel eccezzjoni tal-konvenut. In segwit, il-partijiet kienu diretti sabiex jittrattaw it-tieni eccezzjoni wkoll.

Rat il-provi kostitwiti minn dokumenti (inkluzi affidavits u stqarrijiet dwar *proof of foreign law*), u semghet lix-xhieda li ddeponew *viva voce*.

Rat in-noti ta` osservazzjonijiet tal-partijiet.

Semghet is-sottomissionijiet tal-ahhar bil-fomm waqt l-udjenza tat-2 ta` Novembru 2015.

Rat id-digriet illi tat fl-istess udjenza fejn halliet il-kawza għas-sentenza dwar l-ewwel u t-tieni eccezzjoni.

Rat l-atti l-ohra tal-kawza.

II. Xieħda

Gaetano Sammut xehed illi huwa jokkupa l-kariga ta` Head of Trade and Receivables Finance tal-bank attur. Il-kumpannija registrata Malta bl-isem ta` Emirates Aircrete Industries Co. Corporation Ltd (C51943) kienet giet rakkomandata lill-bank minn *third party introducers* ta` fiducja. Wara li sar *due diligence test*, din il-kumpannija fethet kont mal-bank u bdiet tgawdi facilitajiet bankarji. Il-kumpannija talbet li tithallas ghal bejgh ta` azzar permezz ta` *letter of credit* u talbet sabiex din il-*letter of credit* tigi kkonfermata mill-bank attur.

Kompla jghid illi fl-24 ta` Awissu 2011, il-fergha tal-Bahrain ta` Standard Chartered Bank fuq struzzjonijiet ta` Ahmed Mansoor AL-A` ALI CO (C) BSC (Structural and Mechanical Division) (AMA) harget *documentary letter of credit* bin-numru 682010006026-L ghal US\$ 680,000 (+/- 5%) favur Emirates Aircrete Industries Co. Corporation Limited. Il--*letter of credit* intbagħtet minn Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch tramite Standard Chartered Bank ta` Londra b` *Authenticated Swift Message*.

Stqarr illi d-*documentary letter of credit* giet mibdula mill-*issuing bank* (ossija Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch) billi giet mizjudha ghall-ammont ta` US\$ 866,000 (+/-10%). Fl-20 ta` Ottubru 2011, id-*documentary credit* kien innomina lil HSBC Bank Malta plc fejn il-bank kien awtorizzat li jonora zbank fuq din id-*documentary credit* billi jaccetta kambjala migbuda fuqu u pagabbli hawn Malta.

Spjega li ai termini tal-Artikolu 12B tal-UCP 600 (*Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits*), il-bank gie awtorizzat li jhallas qabel ma` timmatura il-kambjala accettata minnu. L-AMA bhala l-applikant ghall-hrug ta` *documentary credit* u Standard Chartered Bank bhala l-*issuing bank* stipulaw li l-kreditu kien jirrikjedi l-prezentazzjoni ta` dawn id-dokumenti : (i) kambjala magħmula fuq HSBC Bank Malta plc ; (ii) *commercial invoices* iffirmati mill-beneficjarju : wahda originali u tlett kopji ; (iii) *packing list* : wahda originali u tlieta kopji ; (iv) sett komplut ta` *combined transport bill of lading* li jiġi specifikaw li l-merkanzija kellha titgħabba qabel il-31 ta` Ottubru 2011 b` certifikat mahrug minn *carrier/master/agent/shipping company* li jikkonferma li l-vapur li kellu jgħabbi l-merkanzija kien vapur li jithalla jidhol fil-portijiet ta` Stati Għarab ; (v) *certificate of origin* ; u (vi) *mill test certificate*.

Fisser illi huma bhala bank ma nnotaw xejn stramb fid-*documentary credit* u għalhekk avzaw lill-beneficjarju. Billi Standard Chartered Bank huwa bank li jgħawdi fiducja, huma kkonfermaw il-kreditu skont l-istruzzjonijiet li kellhom. Fl-14 ta` Novembru 2011, il-beneficjarju ossija Emirates Aircrete Industries Co Corporation Limited ipprezenta d-dokumenti rikjesti fil-Business Banking Centre tal-HSBC, Mill Street, Qormi. Saret il-verifika tad-dokumenti u

peress li qablu ma` dak rikjest fil-letter of credit, il-kambjala kienet accettata u d-dokumenti kienu mibghuta lil Standard Chartered Bank fit-18 ta` Novembru 2011. Huwa spjega li ma kien hemm xejn li seta` jqajjem suspett u d-dokumenti kienu evidenza li l-merkanzija giet mgħobbija fuq il-vapur fil-Port ta` Izmir fit-Turkija fil-31 ta` Ottubru 2011.

Xehed illi wara li nqalghet il-kwistjoni, huwa kien għamel stħarrig ma` agenti tal-vapuri li nfurmawh li bejn il-port ta` Izmir u l-Bahrain fil-United Arab Emirates it-tragħi jieħu bejn sitta u tmint ijiem. Standard Chartered Bank wara li qajjmet punt zghir dwar *Certificate of Origin*, eventwalment accettat id-dokumenti fis-7 ta` Dicembru 2011 u kkonfermat li Standard Chartered Bank kien lest li jħallas lil HSBC Bank Malta plc fit-30 ta` Jannar 2012.

Qal illi l-beneficjarju lokali kien ottjena mingħand AMA informazzjoni li dawn accettaw id-dokumenti u kienu taw lil Standard Chartered Bank struzzjonijiet sabiex jaccettaw id-dokumenti. Fl-14 ta` Dicembru 2011, il-kumpannija beneficjarja talbet lill-bank attur biex tiskonta l-kambjala accettata u billi ma kienx hemm suspecti, il-bank attur *in good faith* hallas ghax id-dokumenti kienu dawk rikjesti mid-documentary letters of credit.

Sostna illi ladarba Standard Chartered Bank kienet accettat id-dokumenti, dak kien ifisser li fid-data tal-maturita` tal-letter of credit il-bank kien cert illi Standard Chartered Bank kien se jaqdi l-obbligi tieghu skont il-letter of credit li kien hareg. Għalhekk HSBC Malta accetta li jħallas il-kambjala anke qabel id-data tal-maturita` tagħha.

Fisser illi skont 1-*International Standard Banking Practice* u skont ir-regoli tal-Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits li jirregolaw Documentary Letters of Credit u minhabba l-fatt li d-Documentary Letter of Credit hija kuntratt indipendenti mill-kuntratt kummerciali li a bazi tieghu qed isir il-pagament, kien ifisser illi l-issuing bank kienet obbligata li taqdi l-impenji tagħha lejn il-bank attur u li thallas l-flus dovuti fuq id-Documentary Letter of Credit meta din timmatura.

Qal illi fil-25 ta` Jannar 2012, ossija hamest ijiem qabel ma kellu jimmatura l-letter of credit u 78 jum wara li l-merkanzija waslet il-Bahrain, HSBC Bank Malta plc ircieva komunikazzjoni elettronika msejha MT999 mingħand Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch li kienet tħid : “*Letter of credit applicant Ahmed Mansoor AL-A-ALI Co BSC, has brought to our attention concerns surrounding the genuineness of the underlying shipment and the authenticity of the shipping documents including the bills of lading. Our own initial investigations also bear out these suspicions. The purpose of this swift is to bring this matter to your urgent attention.*”

Stqarr illi fis-26 ta` Jannar 2012, il-bank attur informa lil Standard Chartered Bank li kienu qed jistennew pagament minghandhom fil-jum tal-maturita` tad-*Documentary Letter of Credit* sabiex jirrimborsaw il-pagament li sar *in good faith* bhala l-*confirming bank* taht id-*documentary letter of credit*. Fis-27 ta` Jannar 2012, il-bank attur ircieva *swift transmission* minghand il-fergha tal-Bahrain ta` Standard Chartered Bank, fejn il-bank kien infurmat li l-pagament gie inibit sakemm jiircieu struzzjonijiet ohra u li l-bank attur kien gie ndikat bhala *third (3rd) respondent* fil-kawza hemm miftuha.

Fisser illi l-ordni tal-Qorti ta` Bahrain kienet intiza sabiex twaqqaf il-pagament lill-beneficjarju izda dan kien gia sar hafna zmien qabel ma tqajjem suspett li kien hemm frodi. Fil-21 ta` Frar 2012, il-bank attur kiseb minghand Standard Chartered Bank kopja tal-kawza pprezentata fil-High Civil Court tal-Bahrain minn Ahmed Mansoor Al A`Ali BSC kontra Standard Chartered Bank, Emirates Aircrete Industries Co, u HSBC Malta. In segwitu l-bank kien notifikat bil-kawza pprezentata fil-Bahrain izda l-bank attur ha l-posizzjoni illi huwa ma kellux x` jaqsam max-xiri u bejgh ta` azzar izda mad-*documentary letter of credit* li kellha tithallas Malta u li ma kellux konnessjoni mal-Bahrain. Qal illi meta l-bank attur ghamel kuntatt mal-beneficjarju tal-*letter of credit*, dan insista li l-merkanzia intbaghtet u li l-bank ma kellux ghaflejn jigri warajh izda kelli dritt li jdur fuq Standard Chartered Bank sabiex jithallas skont il-*letter of credit*.

Xehed illi fl-ebda stadju waqt li kienu qed jamministrax id-*documentary letter of credit* jew waqt il-prezentazzjoni tad-dokumenti ma kien hemm xi suspett ta` frodi. HSBC Bank Malta plc agixxa korrettement u *in bona fede* kull hin u strettament skont l-istruzzjonijiet ta` Standard Chartered Bank u in konformita` mar-regoli tal-UCP 600. Qal illi bejn Jannar 2012 u l-prezentata ta` din il-kawza, saru diversi kuntatti ma` Standard Chartered Bank u dawn qatt ma ressqu xi raguni ghaliex ma kinux hallsu hlied li kellhom ordni mill-Qorti tal-Bahrain sabiex ma jhallsux. Fis-26 ta` Lulju 2012, Standard Chartered Bank infurmaw lill-bank attur bi *swift message* li : “We do not consider that we are the proper party to challenge the injunction as it was obtained in relation to the underlying dispute between the beneficiary and the applicant. We are therefore not taking any steps to challenge the injunction in the Court proceedings.”

Għalaq billi kkonferma illi HSBC Bank Malta plc għadu ma thallasx dak li hu dovut skont id-*documentary letter of credit*. Il-bank attur hallas lill-beneficjarju wara li accerta ruhu li d-dokumenti kollha rikjesti kienu *in order* kif ukoll gie accertat minn Standard Chartered Bank qabel ma` sar il-pagament Malta. Propju minhabba dan kollu Standard Chartered Bank għandu obbligu li jirrifondi lill-bank attur kull ammont li hallas lill-beneficjarju skont il-*letter of credit*.

Fil-kontroezami, Gaetano Sammut spjega li Emirates Aircrte Industries Co Corporation Limited (il-kumpannija beneficjarja) hija socjeta` registrata Malta u l-bank kellu relazzjoni magħha qabel inqalghet il-vertenza. Qal illi dan kien l-ewwel kaz fejn taw assistenza lil din il-kumpannija, u saret *due diligence* dwar din il-kumpannija. Ikkonferma li l-kumpannija beneficjarja kienet registrata mal-MFSA fit-8 ta` Frar 2011 u l-letter of credit bdiet tigi diskussa fis-sajf tal-2011. Qal illi meta din il-kumpannija kienet introdotta lill-bank, kienu prezenti t-third party introducers` firm kif ukoll l-ultimate beneficial owner tal-kumpannija li kien mit-Turkija. Id-due diligence sar għal darba, darbtejn, u jsir mill-international banking centre peress li l-klient huwa international client registrat taht l-International Trading Company Act. Insista illi l-flus ingħataw lil beneficjarju peress li kien issarraf strument ta` Standard Chartered Bank.

Stqarr illi l-letter of credit inharget mill-issuing bank li kien Standard Chartered Bank - Bahrain Branch. Din il-letter of credit ghaddiet mingħand Standard Chartered Bank – London, għaliex Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain m'għandhomx direct authentication agreement.

Qal illi Bahrain ghaddew il-letter of credit lil Head Office tagħhom Londra sabiex dawn jittrasmettiha lil bank attur.

Kompli jghid illi Head Office kellha tawtentikaha illi veru harget mill-branch tal-Bahrain u tghaddi għand il-bank attur.

Stqarr illi l-advising bank, li huwa l-bank li jigi mqabbar javza l-letter of credit lill-beneficjarju kien l-HSBC Bank Malta plc mentri l-issuing bank kien Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain.

Kompli jghid illi l-bank attur tqabbar minn Standard Chartered Bank sabiex javza u jikkonferma l-kreditu, biex ikun il-confirming bank, anke sabiex jaccettaw kambjala fuq isimhom migħand il-beneficjarju.

Ikkonferma li l-klient li applika biex tinhareg letter of credit kienet socjeta` fil-Bahrain li avvicinat lil Standard Chartered Bahrain u għalhekk, ir-responsabilita` tal-letter of credit hija tagħha.

Mistoqsi dwar jekk jafx li skont l-Art 2 tal-UCP 600, *branches ta` bank f` postijiet differenti jitqiesux bhala banek separati, ix-xhud stqarr illi jaf bi klawsola ta` dik ix-xorta.*

Qal illi peress li Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain ma tistax tibghat il-kreditu bis-sistema ta` *swift* lejn HSBC Bank Malta plc għaliex ma hemmx komunikazzjoni bejniethom, *is-swift transfer* sar tramite bank iehor.

Ikkonferma illi l-funzjoni ta` Standard Chartered Bank fl-Ingilterra kienet ta` messaggier ; għalhekk saret il-konferma ta` l-awtenticità` tal-*letter of credit* li harget Bahrain.

Qal ukoll illi l-*issuing bank* kienet Bahrain Branch u l-flus li kellhom jithallsu lill-beneficjarju kienu tad-ditta tal-Bahrain sabiex il-Bank tal-Bahrain jghaddi lilk il-flus li ftehma li jhallsu lill-beneficjarju.

Qal illi l-kuntatt bejn il-banek bejn Standard Chartered - Bahrain Branch u HSBC Bank Malta plc.

Stqarr illi l-kawza fil-Bahrain bdiet jumejn qabel ma kien dovut li jithallas il-bank, peress li l-bank attur kellu jithallas fi 30 ta` Jannar 2012.

Qal ukoll illi l-kawza tal-lum saret sentejn wara li sehh dan kollu għaliex il-bank attur kien qed jigri wara Standard Chartered Bank sabiex jonora l-obbligu tieghu. Dan tal-ahhar kien igib bhala skuza l-pendenza gudizzjarja tal-Bahrain.

Aravind Kumar Vaidyantathan xehed illi minn Ottubru 2012 huwa jokkupa l-kariga ta` Head of Trade Finance - Operations fi Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch. Qalli illi l-applikant għal *letter of credit* kien Ahmed Mansoor Al-A`ali (AMA) li hija kumpannija registrata fil-Bahrain u li għadha klijenta ta` l-istess bank. L-applikant għal *letter of credit* ikun solitament importatur/kumpratur ta` beni mentri l-beneficjarju solitament ikun l-esportatur/bejjiegh ta` l-beni. Fil-kaz tal-lum, l-esportatur Emirates Aircrēte Industries Corporation Limited ikollu bzonn li ta` *letter of credit* qabel jgħabbi l-merkanzija sabiex ikollu sigurta` li ser jithallas tax-xogħol. Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kien l-*issuing bank* għal din il-*letter of credit* li saret fit-28 ta` Awissu 2011. L-*issuing bank* huwa l-bank li jiftah il-*letter of credit* u jagħti struzzjonijiet sabiex il-flus ighaddu lill-beneficjarju wara li jiġu sottomessi dokumenti rikjesti fil-*letter of credit*. L-*issuing bank* jiġi jinnomina bank iehor li normalment jkun fl-istess pajjiz tal-beneficjarju sabiex javza lill-beneficjarju li

l-letter of credit giet miftuha, liema bank ikun *l-advising bank*. *L-issuing bank* jista` jinnomina lill-*advising bank* sabiex ikun il-bank fejn il-beneficjarju jissottometti d-dokumentazzjoni rikjesti u jircievi *l-pagament* ; dan jissejjah ukoll *in-nominated bank*. Fil-kaz tal-lum *in-nominated bank* kien HSBC Bank Malta plc.

Qal illi *n-nominated bank* jista`, jekk permissibbli skont *il-letter of credit*, ikun *il-Confirming bank*, fis-sens li thallas hi stess lill-beneficjarju wara *l-prezentata* tad-dokumenti rikjesti. Minn qari *tal-letter of credit* in kwistjoni, jirrizulta li HSBC bank Malta plc kien *is-second advising bank*, *in-nominated bank* u *l-Confirming bank*. Qal illi *l-kambjala* setghet tigi maghmula a favur tal-beneficjarju u skont *l-artikolu 12B* ta` UCP 600, HSBC Bank Malta plc kienet awtorizzata li thallas tali somma. Billi *l-bank* attur kien *il-Confirming bank* assuma tlett riskji : (a) *Issuing bank risk* fis-sens li *l-issuing bank* seta` spicca *bankrupt* fil-perijodu ta` bejn id-data meta sar il-hlas lill-beneficjarju u d-data ta` *maturita` tal-letter of credit* ; (b) *Issuing bank country risk* fis-sens li *l-ligi* ta` Bahrain setghet tghaddi ligi li *timpedixxi* li *l-bank* jigi rimborzat ; u (c) *documentary risk* fis-sens li jkun hemm vjolazzjoni tat-termini *tal-letter of credit* jew ta` *l-UCP 600*.

Stqarr illi SWIFT (The Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunication) jagħmilha possibbli biex *financial institutions* jibghatu u jircieu messaggi f'ambjent sikur. Spjega li *l-cover letter* li nharget minn Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch lil AMA kienet tispjega li *letter of credit* hija regolata bil-UCP 600 Rules (Uniform Customs and Practices governing the operation of letter of credit). Skont dawn ir-regoli, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch trid tigi trattata bhala entita` separata. Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kienet obbligata tirrimborsa *l-HSBC Bank Malta plc* meta timmatura *l-letter of credit*.

Qal illi Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch ma kellhiex il-facilita` li tagħmel il-ftuh tal-kreditu direttament ma` *l-HSBC Bank Malta plc* peress li hija setghet biss tibghat *unauthenticated SWIFT messages*, ossija dawn magħrufa bhala *MT999 messages*. Spjega li *MT 7999* huma *free authenticated format for a SWIFT message*. Huwa spjega li *letter of credit* dejjem għandha tigi notifikata lil *nominated bank* tramite *MT 700* li huwa tip ta` *Authenticated SWIFT message*. Kompli jghid li inizjalment Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch bagħtiet *il-letter of credit* lil HSBC Hong Kong sabiex dawn jittrasmettu l-ftuh ta` *letter of credit* lil HSBC Bank Malta plc, izda dawn spjegaw fid-29 ta` Awissu 2011 via *MT799* illi dan ma setax isir ghax il-beneficjarju ma kienx `Hong Kong. Fis-6 ta` Settembru 2011, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch għamel *routing* ta` din *il-letter of credit* tramite Standard Chartered Bank London sabiex din tghaddiha lil HSBC Bank Malta plc. Għalhekk Standard Chartered Bank London kienet *il-first advising bank*. Din ghaddiet *il-letter of credit* lil HSBC Bank Malta plc. Huwa kkonferma li dan il-bank ma

kellux substantive role fil-letter of credit u kien qieghed jagixxi biss bhala messaggier. Standard Chartered Bank London kelli jara l-awtenticita` ta` letter of credit trasmessu. L-applikant kien talab li jsiru zewg emendi fit-termini ta` letter of credit f zewg okkazjonijiet diversi u dawn intbaghtu permezz ta` Standard Chartered Bank London.

Fisser illi fit-22 ta` Novembru 2011, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch irceviet minghand HSBC Bank Malta plc id-dokumenti li kien ipprezenta il-beneficjarju. Dawn kienu ntbaghtu fit-18 ta` novembru 2011 u kien hemm talba biex Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch tirrimborsa lil HSBC Bank Malta plc. Huwa spjega li meta kienu qed jigu vverifikati d-dokumenti, instab li kien hemm diskrepanza zghira *fic-certificate of origin*. Skont ix-xhud, HSBC Bank Malta plc kienet hadet *issuing bank country risk* u *documentary risk*. In vista ta`dan, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch bagħtet *MT999* b`talba li jigi kkunsidrat bhala *MT 734* ai termini ta` l-artikolu 16d ta` l-UCP 600 Rules. Fl-1 ta` Dicembru 2011, AMA accettat dan id-dokument li kelli diskrepanza, u l-bank f` Malta kien infurmat tramite *MT999* fl-4 ta` Dicembru 2011. Il-bank Malti kien talab tramite *MT999* sabiex din l-approvazzjoni tintbagħħat tramite *MT 799* u għalhekk kelli jerga` jintuza Standard Chartered Bank London bhala l-messaggier.

Sostna li Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch infurmat lil bank attur dwar irregolaritajiet fil-merkanzija u fit-23 ta` Jannar 2012. Il-bank Malti injora dan u bagħat sempliciment *MT999* direttament lil Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch fejn talab li fid-data tal-maturita` kellijs ir-hlas. AMA ottjena *injunction* mill-Qorti ta` Bahrain sabiex ma jinharigx pagament ai termini ta` l-letter of credit. Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch infurmat b`dan lil HSBC Bank Malta plc.

Fil-**kontroeżami**, ix-xhud ikkonferma li l-UCP Rules ma jitrattaww kwistjonijiet ta` gurisdizzjoni. Huwa kkonferma li li kieku ma saritx l-injunction, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kienet thallas. Anke li kieku kien hemm kawza biss, kienet thallas. Huwa kkonferma li l-pagament kellijs ir-hlas Malta. Skont il-ligi tal-Bahrain, ma jistax isir il-hlas in vista ta` l-injunction. Din tissupera dak li jistipulaw l-UCP Rules.

Fir-**riezami**, ix-xhud qal illi d-dokumenti nghataw mill-beneficjarju lil HSBC Bank Malta plc u dawn ghaddewhom lill-bank fil-Bahrain. Dawn id-dokumenti jigu l-ewwel ivverifikati mill-bank Malta. Ikkonferma li kien hemm diskrepanza zghira fid-dokumenti li giet accettata. Izda mbaghad kien hemm problema ghax il-merkanzija ma waslitx u nstab li l-bill of lading kienet ffalsifikata. Meta nfurmaw lil HSBC Bank Malta plc dwar din il-falsifikazzjoni, il-bank ta` Malta ma dahalx fil-kwistjoni izda nsista li jithallas.

Fil-kontroezami, ix-xhud spjega li meta d-dokumenti rrizultaw li kienu ffalsifikati, HSBC Bank Malta plc kien gia` hareg il-pagament. Huwa kkonferma li kienu gew skambjati *SWIFT messages* fejn gie kkonfermat illi Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain accettat id-dokumenti. Huwa kkonferma ghalhekk li gimgha qabel ma` nhareg il-pagament minn HSBC Bank Malta plc, id-dokumenti kienu gew approvati kollha.

Zoi Karali xehdet illi hija tokkupa l-kariga ta` Head of Legal and Compliance ta` Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch. Qalet illi AMA ottjeniet *injunction* li skont pariri legali li kisbu kien ifisser li sakemm tigi risolta l-litigazzjoni pendenti quddiem il-Qrati tal-Bahrain, l-obbligu ta` Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch li tirrimborza lil HSBC Bank Malta plc kien sospiz. Fil-fatt bl-*injunction* kienet iffrizata l-letter of credit fis-sens li zzomm lil Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch milli tghaddi pagamenti lil kwalunkwe persuna. Sussegwentement AMA ghamlet kawza kontra Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch bhala l-issuing bank, Emirates Aircrte Industries Corporation bhala l-beneficjarja, u HSBC Bank Malta plc bhala nominated/confirming bank. Il-mertu tal-kawza huwa l-veracita` tal-merkanzija liema mertu ma jikkoncerna l-ebda bank. Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch ipprezentat id-difiza tagħha għal din il-kawza izda HSBC Bank Malta plc ma ppartecipax. Spjegat li HSBC Bank Malta plc kienet talbet lil Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch biex dan jitlob lill-Qorti sabiex tneħhi l-injunction izda kien hemm rifjut in vista ta` konflitt ta` interessa. Il-beneficjarju gie notifikat billi saret pubblikazzjoni fil-Gazzetta Ufficijali u l-kaz għadu pendenti. Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch infurmat lil HSBC Bank Malta plc b`li kien qed jigri u dana permezz ta` diversi *SWIFT messages*. Hija rceviet diversi drabi emails b'talba sabiex Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch ihallas izda hija dejjem irrispondiet li dan ma setax isir minhabba l-injunction. Ikkonferma li l-ufficju legal ital-bank attur kien ikkomunika magħha u kien konsapevvoli li Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kienet l-issuing bank.

Fil-kontroezami, ix-xhud spjegat li Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain hija licenzjata mill-Bank Centrali ta` Bahrain bhala branch overseas company biex topera bhala bank. Ghalkemm hija branch hija entita` legali u separata ai termini tal-ligi ta` Bahrain in vista ta` sentenza li kienet ingħatat fl-1992. Il-branch għandha c-certifikat tagħha ta` inkorporazzjoni u registrazzjoni. Il-branch mhijiex sussidjarja. Ai termini ta` UCP Rules din għandha titqies bhala entita` separata. Il-branch għandha separate balance sheet u t-transazzjonijiet li jsiru fiha ma jiegħi riflessi għand il-head office. Standard Chartered Bank għandu d-domicilju tiegħi fir-Renju Unit. Fil-proceduri li saru fil-Bahrain, Standard Chartered Bank kien imharrek mingħajr ma sar accenn jekk hijiex qed issir referenza għal branch. Fir-Renju Unit, hemm biss il-headquarters tal-global operations tal-bank.

Fir-riezami, ix-xhud ikkonfermat illi f'Jannar 2012 huma ircevew l-*injunction*. Qalet li l-ahhar *email* li ntbagħat lil HSBC Bank Malta plc kienet fis-6 ta` Awissu 2013. Spjegat li meta kienu qed jigu skambjati messaggi dwar l-*injunction* u l-kawza, Standard Chartered Bank fir-Renju Unit ma kienx involut. Aktar tard lejn tmiem l-2012 jew fil-bidu ta` 2013, bdew isiru diskussionijiet ma` CEOs u ufficjali għoljin, izda kollox baqa` li kien.

Fil-**kontroezami**, spjegat illi huma marbuta bil-*UCP rules* u jirriko noxxu illi hemm l-obbligu li jsir hlas peress li l-allegat frodi huwa irrelevanti għalihom. L-unika fatt li qed izommhom milli jħallsu lill-bank attur hija l-*injunction*. Taqa` dik, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain thallas lill-bank attur.

III. L-ewwel eccezzjoni (Gurisdizzjoni)

B`din l-eccezzjoni, kien eccepit illi din il-Qorti m`ghandhiex gurisdizzjoni sabiex tiehu konjizzjoni tal-kawza stante li l-konvenuta hija socjeta` li mhijiex registrata Malta, mhijiex prezent i Malta, m`ghandha l-ebda assi Malta li fuqhom fil-kaz ta` eżitu favorevoli għas-socjeta` attrici tkun tista` tagħmel eżekuzzjoni fuqhom, kif ukoll għal ragunijiet ohra ndikati fl-Art 742 tal-Kap 12. Inoltre skont l-Art 2(1) ta` UE Council Regulation 44/2001 magħruf bhala **Brussels 1** “*persons should be sued in the member state where they are domiciled.*” Għalhekk tħid illi għandha tigi liberata mill-ħossvaranza tal-gudizzju ladarba kemm Malta kif ukoll ir-Renju Unit huma t-tnejn membri tal-UE.

Dwar il-gurisdizzjoni, il-Qorti tosserva li l-UCP 600 ma jsemmi xejn dwar il-kwistjoni ta` gurisdizzjoni.

Għalhekk il-Qorti trid tqis u tevalwa dak li jistipola l-Brussels 1.

Għaliex huwa EU Regulation, il-Brussels 1 jagħmel parti mil-ligijiet Maltin b`effett dirett, għad-differenza ta` Directive.

Skont l-Art 3 tal-Brussels 1 :

1. *Persons domiciled in a Member State may be sued in the courts of another Member State only by virtue of the rules set out in Sections 2 to 7 of this Chapter.*

2. In particular the rules of national jurisdiction set out in Annex I shall not be applicable as against them.

L-Annex I tal-istess Regulation ighid illi ghal Malta, l-artikoli li mhumieks applikabbi ai termini ta` l-Artikolu 3(1) huma l-Artikoli 742, 743 u 744 tal-Kodici ta` Organizzazzjoni u Procedura Civili (Kap 12 tal-Ligijiet ta` Malta) u l-Artikolu 549 tal-Kodici tal-Kummerc(Kap 13 tal-Ligijiet ta` Malta).

In vista ta` dan, huma l-artikoli minn 2 sa 7 ta` r-Regulation li huma applikabbi ghal dan il-kaz, fejn sa dan l-istadju hemm konvenuta wahda li hija persuna domiciljata fir-Renju Unit, hekk kif irrizulta mix-xiehda ta` Zoi Karali.

Analizi tal-Brussels 1 saret fis-sentenza li tat din il-Qorti (**PA/FS**) fid-9 ta` Jannar 2012 fil-kawza fl-ismijiet "**Av. Dr. Edward DeBono noe v. No Stop Technology Limited (C49765)**".

Inghad hekk :-

L-artikolu 2(1) ta` l-EC Regulations 44/2001 jghid hekk :

“1. Subject to this Regulation, persons domiciled in a Member State shall, whatever their nationality, be sued in the courts of that Member State.”

Għalhekk, generalment il-gurisdizzjoni tissejjes fuq id-domicilju tal-parti mharrka. Madanakollu, imbagħad l-artikolu 5 jitkellem dwar Special jurisdiction u jghid hekk :

“A person domiciled in a Member State may, in another Member State, be sued :

1.

(a) in matters relating to a contract, in the courts for the place of performance of the obligation in question ;

(b) for the purpose of this provision and unless otherwise agreed, the place of performance of the obligation in question shall be :

in the case of the sale of goods, the place in a Member State where, under the contract, the goods were delivered or should have been delivered, .

in the case of the provision of services, the place in a Member State where, under the contract, the services were provided or should have been provided,

(c) if subparagraph (b) does not apply then subparagraph (a) applies ...

Wara jitkellem fuq maintenance, tort, delict or quasi-delict, civil claim for damages or restitution which is based on an act giving rise to criminal proceedings, dispute arising out of the operations of a branch, agency or other establishment.

Interessanti huwa dak li jsemmi l-artikolu 23 ta` l-EC Regulation 44 ta` l-2001 li f'Malta kien applikabbli mill-1 ta` Mejju, 2004. Dan l-artikolu jghid hekk

"1. If the parties, one or more of whom is domiciled in a Member State, have agreed that a court or the courts of a Member State are to have jurisdiction to settle any Disputes which have arisen or which may arise in connection with a particular legal relationship, that court or those courts shall have jurisdiction. Such jurisdiction shall be exclusive unless the parties have agreed otherwise. Such an agreement conferring jurisdiction shall be either :

- (a) *in writing or evidenced in writing ; or*
- (b) *in a form which accords with practices which the parties have established between themselves ; or*
- (c) *in international trade or commerce, in a form which accords with a usage of which the parties are or ought to have been aware and which in such trade or commerce is widely known to, and regularly observed by, parties to contracts of the type involved in the particular trade or commerce concerned..."*

*... Kif qalet din il-Qorti kif presjeduta, fid-decizjoni tagħha tat- 23 ta` Jannar, 2007 fil-kawza fl-ismijiet **Mrbookmaker.com Ltd. (C27649) vs Stichting De Nationale Sporttotalisator, Entita` Estera**, wara ezami tal-Brussels Regulations, persuna b`domicilju fi Stat Membru għandha tigi imfittxija f'dak l-Istat Membru u dan ghall-fini ta` l-artikolu 2 (1). Il-preambolu 11 ta` l-istess regolamenti jghid :*

"The rules of jurisdiction must be highly predictable and founded on the principle that jurisdiction is generally based on the defendant's domicile and jurisdiction must always be available on this ground ...The domicile of a legal person must be defined autonomously so as to make the common rules more transparent and avoid conflicts of jurisdiction."

*Fil-kawza deciza mill-Qrati Europej fl-ismijiet **Kalfelis vs Shroder**, Case 189/1987 (Reports 1988 page 05565) ingħad li "all exceptions to the rule that the defendant must be sued in the state of his domicile are to be construed narrowly". Dan kien bazat fuq il-principju tad-dritt generali tal-konvenut, ossija dak li jigi imharrek fid-domicilju tieghu (Ara f'dan is-sens ukoll is-sentenza tal- European Court of Justice fil-kawza "**Athanasios Kalfelis vs Bankhaus Schroder, Munchmeyer, Hengst and Co.**", deciza mill-Fifth Chamber fis-27 ta` Settembru 1988, Case no 189/87 European Court Reports 5565, u s-sentenza lokali fl-ismijiet **Bell Med Limited C26412 Vs Pari Mutuel Urban** deciza mill-Qorti ta` L-Appell Civil Superjuri fit-18 ta` Settembru 2009.*

*Il-Qorti tirreferi ghall-kawza deciza fit-30 ta` Gunju, 2011 minn din il-Qorti presjeduta mill-Imhallef Mark Chetcuti fl-ismijiet **Avukat Dr. Edward DeBono nomine vs No Stop Technology Limited** (Citazz. Nru. 1049/10) fejn intqal :*

*“L-abdikazzjoni ghal gurisdizzjoni ta` forum skond il-principji normali legali kif enunzati fil-Council Regulation 44/2001 hi eccezzjoni ghar-regola u bhala tali trid tirrizulta b`mod car u univoku. Din hi l-interpretazzjoni kostanti kif tirrizulta mill-gurisprudenza Maltija u hi l-listess anki fil-forum Europew fejn fis-sentenza deciza mill-**First Chamber tal-European Court of Justice** fit-12 ta` Ottubru 2008 fl-ismijiet **Nicole Hassett vs South Eastern Health Board and Cheryl Doherty vs North Western Health Board** gie stipulat is-segmenti: 18. Moreover, as is stated in the 11th recital in the preamble to Regulation No. 44/2001, jurisdiction based on the defendant’s domicile – in accordance with the general rule – **must always be available, save in a few well defined situations** in which the subject matter of the litigation or the autonomy of the parties warrants a different linking factor. Such situations must accordingly be interpreted strictly.”*

Jidher ghalhekk li l-eccezzjonijiet ghal artikolu 2 fuq riferit ta` din l-EC Regualtion għandhom jigu interpretati b` mod ristrett.

Sentenza ohra rilevanti hija dik illi tat din il-Qorti (**PA/SM**) fl-4 ta` Dicembru 2014 fil-kawza “**Alpha Briggs Mediterranean Limited (C38859) v. Briggs Environmental Services Limited**”.

Inghad hekk :-

10.2 Ir-regolament tal-Unjoni Ewropea 44/2001 :

10.2.1. Illi dan ir-Regolament għandu forza ta` Ligi f`Malta u hu applikabbli b`mod dirett ;

10.2.2. Illi dan ir-Regolament japplika għal kaz odjern billi dan hu kwistjoni ta` natura civili u mhux eskluz mill-operat tal-istess Regolament ;

10.2.3. Illi dan ir-Regolament japplika wkoll a bazi tal-artiklu 742 (6) tal-Kap 12 tal-Ligi ta` Malta ;

10.2.4. Illi l-artiklu 2 tal-imsemmi Regolament jistabilixxi li :

“persons domiciled in a Member State shall, whatever their nationality, be sued in the Courts of that Member State”;

10.2.5. Illi dan gie ribadit mill-First Chamber tal-Qorti Ewropea tal-Gustizzja fil-kaz “Nicole Hassett vs South Eastern Health Board u Cheryl Doherty

vs North Western Health Board tat-2 t`Ottubru, 2008, citati mill-istess societa` intimata, (ara foll 80) ;

10.2.6. Illi kif kompliet tirribadixxi l-istess qorti indikata fil-paragrafu precedenti fil-kawza numru C - 281/02 minnha wkoll citata fl-istess nota: (ara foll 80) ;

“It must be observed, first, that Article 2 of the Brussels Convention is mandatory in nature and that, according to its terms, there can be no derogation from the principle it lays down except in the cases provided for by the Convention”;

10.2.7. Illi ghalhekk għandu jkun pacifiku li kemm ir-Regolamenti in dizamina u l-kazistika Ewropea li tinforzha, jirrikjedu li wieħed għandu jigi mħarrek fl-istat Membru fejn l-istess intimat ikun domiciljat ;

10.2.8. Illi jirrizulta li s-societa` intimata :

10.2.8.i. Hi registrata l-Iskozja, (ara fol 52) ;

10.2.8.ii. Topera wkoll l-Iskozja, (ara fol 50) ;

10.2.9. Illi l-ftehim tal-1 t`April, 2008, pattwit bejn il-partijiet ma jistabilixxi l-ebda klawsola rigwardanti l-gurisdizzjoni bejn il-kontendenti f`kaz ta`dizgwid ;

10.2.10. Illi l-oggett tal-istess ftehim kellu jigi ezercitat fl-Oman ;

Ikkunsidrat :

11.0. Illi minn ezami tal-fatti kif fuq sintetikament esposti jirrizulta segwenti :

11.1. Illi l-ftehim pattwit fuq riferit gie konkluz f`Malta ;

11.2. Illi s-societa` rikorrenti hi registrata u top era f`Malta ;

11.3. Illi s-societa` intimata hi registrata fl-Iskozja ;

11.4. Illi l-oggett meritu tal-ftehim hekk pattwit kellu jigi ezegwit l-Oman, (ara foll 77) ;

Ikkunsidrat :

12. Illi tenut kont tas-suespost għandu jkun pacifiku li l-anqas l-artiklu 5 tar-Regolament Ewropei Numru 44/2001, ma`japplikaw ghall-vertenza odjerna”.

L-eccezzjoni għal Reg 2(1) tal-Brussels 1 tinsab raffigurata fl-Art 5 :-

“A person domiciled in a Member State may, in another Member State, be sued :

1. (a) in matters relating to a contract, in the courts for the place of performance of the obligation in question ;

(b) for the purpose of this provision and unless otherwise agreed, the place of performance of the obligation in question shall be :

- in the case of the sale of goods, the place in a Member State where, under the contract, the goods were delivered or should have been delivered,

- in the case of the provision of services, the place in a Member State where, under the contract, the services were provided or should have been provided,

(c) if subparagraph (b) does not apply then subparagraph (a) applies ;

2. in matters relating to maintenance, in the courts for the place where the maintenance creditor is domiciled or habitually resident or, if the matter is ancillary to proceedings concerning the status of a person, in the court which, according to its own law, has jurisdiction to entertain those proceedings, unless that jurisdiction is based solely on the nationality of one of the parties ;

3. in matters relating to tort, delict or quasi-delict, in the courts for the place where the harmful event occurred or may occur ;

4. as regards a civil claim for damages or restitution which is based on an act giving rise to criminal proceedings, in the court seised of those proceedings, to the extent that that court has jurisdiction under its own law to entertain civil proceedings ;

5. as regards a dispute arising out of the operations of a branch, agency or other establishment, in the courts for the place in which the branch, agency or other establishment is situated ;

6. as settlor, trustee or beneficiary of a trust created by the operation of a statute, or by a written instrument, or created orally and evidenced in writing, in the courts of the Member State in which the trust is domiciled ;

7. as regards a dispute concerning the payment of remuneration claimed in respect of the salvage of a cargo or freight, in the court under the authority of which the cargo or freight in question :

(a) has been arrested to secure such payment, or

(b) could have been so arrested, but bail or other security has been given;

provided that this provision shall apply only if it is claimed that the defendant has an interest in the cargo or freight or had such an interest at the time of salvage."

Din il-Qorti qieset l-Art 5 sabiex tevalwa jekk il-kaz tal-lum jidholx fil-parametri ta` d-disposizzjoni u b`hekk ikun hemm eccezzjoni għar-regola generali li persuni b`domicilju fi Stat membru tal-Unjoni għandhom, independemment minn nazzjonallita` tagħhom, jigu mharrka fl-Istat Membru fejn huma domiciljati.

Ta` rilevanza dwar jekk fil-kaz ta` *letters of credit* tapplikax xi wahda mill-istanzi stipulati fl-Art 5 hija l-konsiderazzjoni ta` decizjoni li nghatnat ftit xhur qabel ma` dahlu fis-sehh l-emendi ghall-Brussels Convention 1968 bil-Brussels 1. Il-Qorti qed tirreferi għas-sentenza mogħija mis-Supreme Court of Judicature Cour of Appeal (Civil Division) on Appeal from the High Court of Justice Queens Bench Division (Commercial Court) fit-28 ta` Jannar 2000 fil-kawza bejn "Credit Agricole Indosuez (appellant)" u "Chailease Finance Corporation" (respondent).

Il-punti in disputa kienu :

(1) *whether England was "the place of performance of the obligation in question" for the purpose of Article 5(1) of the Brussels Convention and*

(2) *whether the bill of sale and acceptance of sale required to be presented by Chailease to CAI under an irrevocable stand-by letter of credit were non-conforming documents by reason of the fact that the date of delivery stated therein was 21 August 1998 when the credit stated that it was in respect of the sale agreement of a vessel for delivery during 17-20 August 1998.*

Il-fatti ta` dan il-kaz kienu :-

CAI kienet bank Franciz bi branch go Geneva. Din il-branch irrilaxxjat *letter of credit* a favur il-beneficjarju Chailease. Meta l-beneficjarju pprezenta d-dokumenti Geneva f'zewg okkazjonijiet separati, dawn gew rifjutati. Fit-tielet okkazjoni, regħġu gew ipprezentati d-dokumenti necessarji flimkien ma` struzzjonijiet li l-pagament kellu jsir by transmission to Chailease's account at the Midland Bank in London. Id-dokumenti kienu regħġu gew rifjutati u saret kawza. It-termini tal-letter of credit kienu jawtorizzaw lill-beneficjarju li jagħzel fejn kellu jsehh il-pagament.

Dwar l-ewwel punt li jista` jassisti lil din il-Qorti fil-kwistjoni li għandha quddiemha nghad hekk :-

"THE FIRST ISSUE

7. *It was and is the plaintiff's contention that its claim is based upon the failure of CAI to pay money and that, for the purposes of Article 5(1) of the Brussels Convention, the place of performance of the obligation in question was London in accordance with the instructions given to CAI upon the third presentation.*

8. So far as material, the Convention provides :
"Article 2.

Subject to the provisions of this Convention persons domiciled in a Contracting State shall, whatever their nationality, be sued in the courts of that State.

Special Jurisdiction.

Article 5

A person domiciled in a Contracting State may, in another Contracting State be sued :-

1. *matters relating to a contract in the Courts for the place of performance of the obligation in question .."*

9. *Thus, whereas, Article 2 prima facie required that the defendant, as a legal person domiciled in France, should be sued in that country, it was open to Chailease to establish the special jurisdiction of the English court under Article 5 on the basis that England was the place of performance of the obligation in question.*

What was the obligation in question ?

10. *The European Court of Justice has held that, in order to identify the obligation in question, one must identify the obligation "which corresponds to the contractual right on which the plaintiff's action is based" see **Custom Made Commercial Limited -v- Stawa Metallbau [1994] ECR I-2913** and 2957 (para 23), affirming **de Bloos -v- Bouver [1976] ECR 1947** at p.1508 (paras 11,14); and see generally **Kleinwort Benson -v- Glasgow City Council [1997]** per Lord Goff of Chieveley at 163H-164G.*

11. *The judge held that the relevant obligation was the obligation of CAI to pay the plaintiff in London pursuant to its instruction on the occasion of the third presentation. He said :*

"It is true that that obligation does not arise unless and until conforming documents are presented to the bank, and that on the facts of this case that presentation had to take place in Geneva. But, provided that conforming

*documents have been presented to the bank in Geneva, the contractual right on which the beneficiaries` action is thereafter based is the right to receive payment in London. No doubt the bank has a separate obligation to examine documents presented and to take up only conforming documents, although I should have thought that is likely to be a duty which is owed to the applicant for the credit, rather than to the beneficiaries. The beneficiary has no need to rely upon such a separate obligation. If conforming documents are presented, the bank owes an autonomous duty to the beneficiary to make payment to it at the place designated for payment. The beneficiary`s entitlement is contingent not upon examination of the documents but upon their objective conformity. If the documents are, objectively judged, conforming, then the bank is obliged to pay the beneficiary at the designated place of payment. In the event that it does not do so, then the contractual obligation whose performance is sought in the ensuing judicial proceedings (employing the language used in **Shenavai -v- Kreischer [1987] ECR 239** at para 18 on pages 255-6) is the obligation to pay the beneficiary at the designated place of payment.”*

12. For CAI, Mr Males QC has attacked those conclusions of the judge on the following basis. He submits that on the true construction of the letter of credit contract, and in accordance with the principles on which Article 5(1) is based, the `obligation in question` was the bank`s obligation to examine and take up conforming documents, which obligation was to be performed in Switzerland (`at our counters in Geneva`). He submits that the bank`s obligation following receipt of conforming documents in Geneva, to pay `as per your instructions` was dependent on and no more than secondary to that principal obligation. That being so, he relies upon the judgment of the European Court of Justice in **Shenavai v. Kreischer**, para 19:-

“... in a particular case of a dispute concerned with a number of obligations arising under the same contract and forming the basis of the proceedings commenced by the plaintiff ... it will be the principal obligation which will determine ... (the Court`s) jurisdiction”

He cites also the approach of the House of Lords in **Union Transport -v- Continental Lines [1992] 1 WLR 15** and the references in the judgment of Lord Goff of Chieveley to the "more fundamental obligation" and "the real ground of complaint".

13. Mr Males also submits that such a conclusion gives proper weight and effect to UCP 500 and the various articles within it which condition the bank`s obligation to pay upon the proviso that the stipulated documents are presented, as well as emphasising the need for examination of such documents to ascertain whether they comply with the credit.

14. Mr Males referred us to the decision in **Bank of Baroda -v- Vysya Bank [1994] 1 Lloyd`s Rep 87** in which, for the purposes of Article 4 of the Rome Convention on governing law, Mance J held that the performance which is

*characteristic of the contract of a letter of credit is the acceptance of conforming documents at the place of presentation, observing in that context that the place of payment under the credit is generally insignificant. Whilst acknowledging that the decision in that case was concerned with a different test, Mr Males relies upon it (a) as recognising the importance to banks and beneficiaries of a simple and clear test, a consideration which he submits is also applicable to 5(1) of the Brussels Convention, (b) as demonstrating that the principal matter with which such a contract is concerned is the presentation and acceptance of documents and (c) as demonstrating that to hold that the relevant obligation for the purposes of Article 5(1) is that of payment would mean that in many letter of credit cases there would be no substantial connecting factor between the dispute and the forum of the court called upon to hear it, contrary to the broad principle underlying Article 5(1) that a close connecting factor should be present to establish the special jurisdiction provided for: see **Shenavai -v- Kreischer** at para 18 and **Martin Peters Bauunternehmung GmbH -v- Zuid Nederlandse Aannemers Vereniging** [1993] ECR 987 at paras 9-11.*

15. Forceful as Mr Males` submissions have been, I do not consider that they can succeed. In the **Kleinwort Benson** case at p.164E-G Lord Goff stated: "(4) It follows that, in order to identify the relevant court, it is necessary first to identify the obligation in question. This was made plain in **Ets. A. de Bloos s.p.r.l. -v- Societe en Commandite Par Actions Bouyer** ... in which the European Court of Justice held, at p.1508, para. 11, that the word "obligation" in article 5(1) refers to "the contractual obligation forming the basis of the legal proceedings."

16. The Court of Justice subsequently affirmed that "the obligation" "cannot be interpreted as referring to any obligation whatsoever arising under the contract in question, but is rather that which corresponds to the contractual right on which the plaintiff's action is based." See **Custom Made Commercial Limited -v- Stawa Metallbau G.m.b.H.** (Case C288/92) [1994] ECR 1-2913 2957 (para 23)." See also the observation of Lord Clyde at p.181C: "Moreover the reference is to `the obligation in question'. That is a reference not to the contract but the obligation which is at the heart of the dispute."

17. The claim in this case is based on the contractual right of Chailease to be paid, conditional on presentation of conforming documents prior to expiry of the credit. Where a claim is based upon failure to pay money, the obligation in question is the obligation to pay the money, and the place of payment is the place of performance for the purposes of Article 5(1): see the **Custom Made Commercial** case referred to by Lord Goff at paras 23 and 29; see also **Briggs: Civil Jurisdiction and Judgments**(2nd ed) 1997 paras 2.136-137.

18. It seems to me that the attempt of Mr Males to characterise the obligation of CAI to accept the conforming documents as the principal obligation, with payment merely dependent upon (and therefore secondary to) it, must fail. It certainly does not seem to me that he can derive assistance from the **Bank of Baroda -v Vysya..** The test for ascertaining the jurisdiction with which the

*contract has the closest connection for the purposes of the Rome Convention, which was at issue in that case, is a different test for a different purpose from that under Article 5(1) of the Brussels Convention. In the **Custom Made Commercial** case, the European Court of Justice considered, and expressly rejected, the argument that Article 5(1) does not apply to the place of performance of a payment obligation because so to apply it would confer jurisdiction on a court which otherwise had no connection with the dispute.*

19. *So far as the obligation of CAI to examine and take up documents is concerned, Chailease do not sue or otherwise base their cause of action upon an allegation of breach of that obligation; they base it simply upon the refusal to pay. As Mr Page put it in argument, provided CAI pays under the letter of credit, it is a matter of complete indifference to Chailease whether it takes up or examines the documents. It is the failure to pay which is `the real ground`, if not the only ground, of Chailease's complaint.*

20. *In **Shenavai -v- Kreischer** the European Court of Justice was concerned with contracts of employment, which it was acknowledged (at para 16 of the judgment) differ from other contracts by virtue of `certain particularities', on account of which the court best suited to resolve disputes under such contracts is the court of `the place in which the characteristic obligation of such contract is to be performed'. However, the Court stated*

"17. When no such particularities exist, it is neither necessary nor appropriate to identify the obligation which characterizes the contract and to centralize at the place of performance thereof jurisdiction, based on place of performance, over disputes concerning all the obligations under the contract. The variety and multiplicity of contracts as a whole are such that the above criterion might in those other cases create uncertainty as to jurisdiction, whereas it is precisely such uncertainty which the Convention is designed to reduce.

18. On the other hand, no such uncertainty exists in most cases if regard is had solely to the contractual obligation whose performance is sought in the judicial proceedings. The place in which that obligation is to be performed usually constitutes the closest connecting factor between the dispute and the court having jurisdiction over it; it is this connecting factor which explains why, in contractual matters, it is the court of the place of performance of the obligation which has jurisdiction." (emphasis added)

*I have emphasised the word `usually` because it seems to me clear that the Court was there explaining the broad rationale for the special jurisdiction rule in Article 5(1), rather than indicating that it is necessary in all cases that the obligation sued on should be demonstrably the closest connecting factor. Thus, it also seems clear to me that, following the decision in **Shenavai -v- Kreischer**, in contract cases other than those concerning contracts of employment it is neither necessary nor appropriate to identify the obligation which characterises the contract, but rather to identify the contractual obligation of which performance is sought (in this case payment).....Accordingly, it seems clear to me that the*

obligation in question in these proceedings is the obligation to make payment under the credit.”

Imbagħad il-Qorti komplet telabora dwar fejn kien il-*place of performance* ta` l-obbligazzjoni, ossija l-*place of payment*.

Jidher illi l-kaz kien sottolineat illi huma l-qrati tal-post tat-twettiq ta` l-obbligazzjoni tal-pagament, li għandhom gurisdizzjoni jisimghu u jiddeciedu kaz dwar letter of credit.

Issa minn ezami tal-Art 5 jidher ukoll illi ladarba *letter of credit* ma tinkwadrax ruhha taht *bejgh ta` oggetti jew provvista ta` servizzi* kif ikkontemplati fis-subinciz (b) tal-Art 5, għandu jaapplika bhal fil-kawza fuq riferita dak stipulat fis-Subinciz (a) tal-Art 5(1) ossija illi l-qorti tal-post tat-twettiq ta` l-obbligazzjoni għandha gurisdizzjoni. L-obbligu kien li l-bank attur jwettaq l-obbligu tieghu bil-pagament li sar Malta. Għalhekk din il-Qorti għandha gurisdizzjoni sabiex tisma` u tiddeciedi din il-kawza fid-dawl ta` l-eccezzjoni għar-regola generali stabbilita` fl-Art 2(1) tar-Regulation.

Din il-Qorti mhijiex sejra tidhol fil-kwistjoni ta` l-applikabbilita` o meno ta` l-Art 5(5) tar-Regulation li jipprovdli li jiġi jkun hemm gurisdizzjoni f` dak li għandu x` jaqsam ma` kwistjoni li torigina mill-operazzjonijiet ta` fergha, agenzija jew stabbiliment iehor, fil-qrati tal-post li fih jkunu jinstabu l-fergha, l-agenzia jew l-istabbiliment, peress illi l-konvenut huwa Standard Chartered Bank registrat r-Renju Unit, u Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain mhuwiex parti fil-kawza. L-Art 2(1) tar-Regulation mhuwiex applikabbli.

L-ewwel eccezzjoni qegħda tkun respinta.

IV. It-tieni eccezzjoni (Legittimu kontradittur)

Bit-tieni eccezzjoni, il-bank konvenut qiegħed jeccepixxi li kien Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch li hareg il-*letter of credit* meriu tal-kawza mhux il-bank konvenut ; għalhekk il-kawza kellha tkun intavolata kontra Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch u/jew is-socjeta` Maltija beneficjarja, klijenta tal-bank attur jew kontra haddiehor.

Hemm qbil bejn il-kontendenti li Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kien l-*issuing bank*. Anke abbazi tad-dokumenti esebiti, il-kuntatti li

saru kienu bejn HSBC Bank Malta plc u Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch. Irrizulta wkoll illi kieku kellha titnehha l-*injunction* imposta mill-Qorti ta` Bahrain, Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kien lest jipprocedi bil-hlas lill-bank attur. Bhala fatt qed jistenna l-ezitu tal-pendenza gudizzjarja pendentni fil-Bahrain.

Għandu jingħad li sal-lum il-provi kienu kkoncentrati dwar jekk Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch hijiex entita` separata u distinta mill-bank konvenut ghall-fini ta` din il-kawza.

Il-bank konvenut isostni illi skont ir-regoli ta` l-UCP 600, huwa espressament dikjarat illi branch ta` bank għandha titqies bhala entita` separata mill-*Head office*.

Madanakollu l-bank attur laqa` għal dan billi sostna li dawk ir-regoli jaapplikaw għal *letter of credit rules* u għandhom jigu wzati ghall-iskop ta` l-istess regoli biss.

Kienet citata sentenza tal-High Court ta` New Delhi fil-kawza “**Tata Motors Ltd v. Jsc Vtb Bank**”, fejn ingħad illi l-Art 3 tal-UCP 600 “*has to be limited for the purpose of documentary credits and not to make branches of a bank separate entities in all respects.*”

Din il-Qorti hija tal-fehma li l-Art 3 tal-UCP 600 għandu jigi ezaminat fil-kuntest tieghu, u mhux jigi estiz għal materji ohra, fosthom id-determinazzjoni ta` jekk *branch* għandux ikun meqjus b`personalita` guridika separata mill-*head office*.

Din il-Qorti qieset dak li qalu l-esperti legali esteri tal-partijiet dwar jekk skont il-ligi tal-Bahrain, *branch* ta` bank titqiesx bhala entita` separata.

Il-Qorti tghid mill-ewwel li l-opinjoni espressi mill-konsulenti ghall-fini ta` *proof of foreign law* kienu konkliggenti u jaslu għal konkluzjonijiet opposti.

Il-Qorti rat xi siti elettronici fosthom, dak amministrat mill-*Bahrain Economic Development Board* fejn jingħad illi a foreign company branch (bhalma rrizulta li hija registrata Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain) giet definita bhala :

“A branch of a foreign company which is incorporated and registered outside the Kingdom of Bahrain may be registered as an operational office, a representative office, or a regional office.

8.1 Main features of a Foreign Company Branch :

- *The parent company shall bear all liability of its branch in Bahrain*
- *Business operations are allowed only for an operational offices*
- *Representative and regional offices are only permitted to undertake marketing and promotion*
- *Banking, insurance and investment activities are allowed (operational offices only)*
- *Local office presence is required*
- *Minimum share capital is not applicable*
- *Branch manager is required*
- *A local sponsor is required only for an operational office, with the exception of branches licensed by Central Bank of Bahrain (CBB) or the Committee For Organizing Engineering Professional Practice (COEPP)”.*

Mis-sit tal-Ministry of Industry and Commerce ta` The Kingdom of Bahrain irrizulta wkoll illi :

“Foreign Company Branch -A branch of a foreign company which is incorporated and registered outside the Kingdom of Bahrain, may be established as an operational office or as a representative office. The limits of liability are the same as the parent company. Local operations are allowed only for an operational office. A representative office is only permitted to undertake marketing and promotion. A branch of a foreign company requires a registered branch manager. A local sponsor is required only for an operational office. “

Anke f'siti elettronici privati li jassistu lil persuni interessati jaghmlu negozju fil-Bahrain, fosthom MoIC Centrers – Bahrain Investors Center jagħtu l-istess definizzjoni ta` Foreign Company Branch :

A branch of a foreign company which is incorporated and registered outside the Kingdom of Bahrain, may be established as an operational office, a representative office, or a regional office.

Main features of this company type are :

- *Limits of liability same as the parent company*
Local operations are allowed only for an operational office
- *Representative and regional Office are only permitted to undertake marketing and promotion*
- *Banking and insurance activities are allowed (operational offices only)*
- *Local office presence is required*

- *Minimum share capital is not applicable*
- *Branch manager is required*
- *A local sponsor is required only for an operational office*

Fl-istess sens huwa l-artikolu ta` *Info-Prod Research (Middle East) Ltd* li dwar id-diversi *business forms and structures* f'Bahrain spjega li bhala *legal structures for non-Bahraians* jezistu xi eccezzjonijiet ghar-regolazzjoni rigida ezistenti dwarf *foreign participation* fosthom l-eccezzjoni tal-branch of foreign company :

The Law of Commercial Companies provides that companies established outside Bahrain may open branches or offices in Bahrain provided that the approval of the Minister of Commerce and Agriculture is obtained and a local sponsor is appointed. The Minister will not grant approval unless he is satisfied that the parent company is financially sound and will assume full responsibility for liabilities of the branch. The sponsor must be a Bahraini merchant, either a company or an individual. The Companies Law exempts branch offices of foreign companies from having a Bahraini sponsor if these offices use Bahrain as a regional center or as a representative office for their business activities. (ara wkoll is-sit <http://www.albawaba.com/business/bahrain-legal-bank-trade-systems>)

Fil-Pag 88 tal-ktieb **Middle East and Arabic Countries : Company Laws and Regualtions Handbook Vol I. Strategic Information and Basic Laws (International Business Publishing USA 2013 Edition updated)** a branch of foreign companies fil-Bahrian hija deskritta:

"The law of commercial companies provides that companies established outside Bahrain may open branches or offices in Bahrain provided that the approval of the Minister of Commerce and Agriculture is obtained and a local sponsor is appointed. The Minister will not grant approval unless he is satisfied that the parent company is financially sound and will assume full responsibility for liabilities of the branch. The sponsor must be a Bahraini merchant, either a company or an individual. The Company Law exempts branch offices of foreign companies from having a Bahraini sponsor if these offices uses Bahrain as a regional center or a registration office for their business activities."

Referenza qed issir ukoll għad-decizjonijiet ikkwotati mill-bank konvenut, precizament dawk rapportati fil-pagna 3 sa 6 tad-dokument imhejji mill-espert legali tal-bank konvenut.

Minn qari ta` dak li kien deciz fil-Cassation case number 189/1995 u fil-Cassation case number 9/2007, jidher li dak li gie sottolinjat kien illi branch ta` bank fil-Bahrain għandha tigi kkunsidrata bhala "financial institution with its own balance sheet in which it records all its operations, profits, losses, rights and

obligations, ,...and that the financial institution is not empowered to transfer its profits abroad except within specified limits.” Minn dawn is-sentenzi li rrizulta kien li “*the existence of separate accounts in this manner invalidates the contention that the accounts of the Bahrain branch are unified with those of its head office or any of its other branches.*” Jidher ghalhekk li l-Qrati fil-kawzi citati ma ddikjarawx illi l-Bahraini Branch għandha personalita` legali u guridika li hija distinta minn dik tal-Main Office ta` l-istess Bank izda li *per se*, il-branch topera b` mod separat u distint mill-operazzjonijiet tal-Main Office.

Il-Qorti rat ukoll il-kummenti tal-espert legali tal-bank attur li ddikjara li dawn is-sentenzi ingħataw qabel ma sehhew emendi sostantivi fis-sistema legali relevanti għal dan il-mertu u għalhekk dawn ma jistghux jitqiesu li għadhom applikabbi.

Fl-isfond ta’ dan kollu, din il-Qorti tagħmel referenza għal decizjoni “**Smith, Stone and Knight Limited v. Birmingham**” ([1939] 4 All ER 116.

Hemm kienet qed tigi trattata l-kwistjoni dwar jekk kellhiex tigi applikata r-regola *to protect the fact of separate corporate identities*, li fil-kawza giet circumvented because the subsidiary was the agent, employee or tool of the parent.

Li huwa nteressanti minn din il-kawza, ghalkemm il-punt in kwistjoni ma jikkoincidix ma` dak in ezami, huma sitt kwesiti li gew avvanzati minn Atkinson J sabiex jassistu lil Qorti dwar jekk kumpanija kinitx biss agent jew *nominee* ta` parent company. Dawn huma :-

- “(a) Were the profits treated as profits of the parent?
- (b) Were the persons conducting the business appointed by the parent?
- (c) Was the parent the head and brain of the trading venture?
- (d) Did the parent govern the venture, decide what should be done and what capital should be embarked on the venture?
- (e) Did the parent make the profits by its skill and direction?
- (f) Was the parent in effectual and constant control?”

Fil-kaz taht ezami, fuq l-iskorta tal-provi, jidher li risposti għal dawn il-kwezzi jistgħu jingħataw biss għad-domandi bl-ittri c, d, e, u f. Ir-risposta għalihom hija li kien il-bank tal-Bahrain li kien involut fl-operazzjoni bankarja, ha hsiebha mill-bidu nett u li l-head office kienet qiegħda allegatament tagħixxi ta` messaggier meta kien hemm bżonn sabiex jigu osservati r-regoli ta` kif tintbagħħat letter of credit tramite authenticated SWIFT messages.

Din il-Qorti hija tal-fehma li ghalkemm mhijiex fil-kompetenza tagħha li tiddeciedi jekk skont il-ligi ta` Bahrain, *branch* għandhiex personalita` guridika separata u distinta minn dik tal-*Main Office*, jirrizulta li *branch* hija ufficċju fejn, tramite l-istess, kumpannija barranija tkun tista` topera negozju fil-Bahrain.

Irrizulta wkoll illi l-*branch* tigi trattata bhala separata mill-*main office* fi kwistjonijiet bhalma huma, fost ohrajn, taxxi, licenzji u anke transazzjonijiet partikolari li jigu effettwati fl-istess branch.

Irrizulta li r-registrazzjoni u l-licenzja ta` l-*branch* hija kompletament separata u distinta minn dik tal-*head office*.

Irrizulta li jinzammu *records* separati u distinti minn dawk tal-*head office*.

Madanakollu rrizulta wkoll li l-*main office* tinzamm responsabbi għal-*liabilities* ta` l-*branch* sabiex ir-registrazzjoni ta` l-*branch* tkun wahda valida.

Jidher li *branch as such* ma tistax tibdel il-*policies* tal-*main office* jew tagixxi b` mod kuntrarju għal dak li tordna l-*main office*.

Jirrizulta wkoll illi fil-Bahrain *branch office* tista` topera f` isimha u tista` tharrek jew tigi mharrka hi stess.

Din il-Qorti tifhem illi fil-proceduri li saru Bahrain kienet il-*branch* li giet notifikata bl-atti ghalkemm in-nomenklatura utilizzata fl-*occhio* kienet dik ta` Standard Chartered plc. Jidher li sar hekk ghaliex l-operazzjoni bankarja in kwistjoni saret permezz tal-*branch* kif ukoll ghaliex il-*branch* tal-Bahrain setgħet tigi mharrka hemm.

Minkejja li bhala l-*issuing bank*, l-iStandard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch kienet involuta fil-kwistjoni taht ezami ma jfissirx illi l-bank konvenut registrat fir-Renju Unit ma kellux ikun konvenut fil-kawza tal-lum.

Dan qed jingħad ghaliex peress li l-bank konvenut kien involut fl-operazzjoni anke jekk allegatament agixxa bhala messaggier bejn il-bank Malta u l-*branch* tal-Bahrain huwa imperattiv li l-bank konvenut ikun parti fil-procediment tal-lum sabiex iwiegeb ghall-istanza attrici.

Tajjeb jinghad illi fl-istadju attwali tal-kawza l-Qorti qegħda tillimita ruħha illi tiddeciedi l-eccezzjonijiet preliminari. Ghadha ma bdietx tqis il-mertu tal-kwistjoni. Ghadhom ma tressqux provi sabiex jistabilixxu fuq bilanc ta` probabilitajiet l-involviment tal-bank konvenut vis-à-vis il-bank attur. Tirrileva biss illi meta bdew isiru diskussionijiet dwar il-kwistjoni *at higher levels* dahlu fix-xena direttament ufficjali għolja tal-bank attur ukoll. Lanqas ma tressqu provi konklussivi fl-istadju attwali tal-kawza dwar ir-relazzjoni ta` bejn il-bank attur u Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch sabiex jigi stabbilit jekk fil-kaz illi Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch ikun inadempjenti in linea generali u in partikolari fil-konfront tal-bank attur, jidholx fix-xena l-bank konvenut. Dawn huma provi li jolqtu direttament il-mertu u li l-Qorti għadha ma semghetx. Aktar milli kif topera Standard Chartered Bank Bahrain Branch skont il-ligi tal-Bahrain, tkun trid issir il-prova ta` kif skont il-ligijiet bankarji hija regolata r-relazzjoni bejn il-bank attur u dak konvenut dan tal-ahhar kemm de proprio kif ukoll vis-à-vis il-fergha tiegħu fil-Bahrain. Għalhekk il-bank konvenut huwa legittimu kontradittur tal-bank attur.

Il-Qorti qegħda tichad it-tieni eccezzjoniji wkoll.

Decide

Għar-ragunijiet kollha premessi, il-Qorti qegħda taqta` u tiddeciedi billi tichad l-ewwel u t-tieni eccezzjonijiet tal-bank konvenut.

L-ispejjez tas-sentenza tal-lum jibqghu riservati għal gudizzju finali.

**Onor. Joseph Zammit McKeon
Imħallef**

**Amanda Cassar
Deputat Registratur**