

31 ta' Lulju, 1997

Imħallef:-

exactly the charges which were being preferred against him. This is the whole purpose of section 360 (2) of the Criminal Code. Since no substantial change was made to the charges by the said correction there was no need for the charges to be served afresh upon the accused.

The Court:-

Having seen the charges preferred against Kenneth McLeod, to wit that on the 12th April, 1994 at about 4.00 p.m. at The Strand, Gzira, he drove car number X-0762: (1) in a negligent; and (2) dangerous manner; and (3) at an excessive speed; and moreover (4) driven the said car under the influence of drugs or alcoholic drinks; also (5) with having driven the said car when not in possession of a police driving licence; and thus (6) not covered by a policy of insurance regarding third party risks; also with having (7) through imprudence, negligence and non-observance of the regulations hit and knocked down to the ground Ronald Farrugia causing him involuntary slight injuries as certified by Dr. Jonathan Joslin M.D.;

Having seen the judgement of the Court of Magistrates (Malta) of the 30th June, 1997, whereby that Court acquitted the said Kenneth McLeod by declaring the proceedings time barred;

Having seen the appeal application of the Attorney General of the 7th July, 1997 whereby the said appellant requested the revocation of the said judgement;

Having seen the record of the case, having noted that respondent failed to appear at the last sitting of the 7th January, 1998 although duly notified; considers:

The facts of the case are quite simple. Respondent was

Xjentement ghamel dikjarazzjoni falza f'talba jew rakkomandazzjoni li għandha x'taqsam mal-hruġ jew tiġidid ta' passaport bi ksur ta' l-artikolu 6 tal-Kap. 61 tal-Ligijiet ta' Malta; u

Kiser il-kundizzjonijiet mogtija lilu b'sentenza tal-Qorti tal-Magistrati tad-9 ta' Awissu, 1988 li ma jikkommettix reat iehor fi żmien tliet snin;

U kkundannatu kif segwenti:

“Fuq l-ewwel akkuża tikkundannah għal multa ta’ Lm400;

Fuq it-tieni akkuża tikkundannah għal tliet xħur priġunerija u l-Qorti a tenur ta' l-artikolu 109 tal-Kap. 9 qiegħda timponi fuq Carmelo Abdilla interdizzjoni generali kif ukoll interdizzjoni milli jservi bhala xhud hlief quddiem il-Qrati tal-Ğustizzja u bhala perit f'kull każ li jkun u dana għal żmien ta' sitt snin mil-lum;

Fuq it-tielet akkuża tikkundannah għal tliet xħur prigunjerija;

Fuq ir-raba’ akkuża tikkundannah għal multa ta’ Lm800”;

Rat ir-rikors ta’ appell li bih l-imputat talab li din il-Qorti tirrevoka dik is-sentenza u tilliberah mill-imputazzjonijiet kollha jew alternativament timmitiga l-piena inflitta lilu b'dik is-sentenza;

Rat l-atti l-ohrajn kollha u tikkunsidra illi:

Omissis;

L-ewwel aggravju ta' l-appellant jikkonsisti filli jirritejni li ma ngiebet ebda prova li huwa kien "akkwista xi vantagg jew beneficiċċju" li huwa wieħed mir-rekwiżiti tar-reat dedott fl-ewwel imputazzjoni. F'dan ir-rigward jiġi rrilevant li dan ir-rekwiżit mhuwiex li wieħed ikun realment akkwista xi vantagg, iżda hu li d-dikjarazzjoni falza tkun saret bl-iskop li jiġi miksub vantagg. Fil-każ odjern, jirriżulta mill-istqarrija ta' l-appellant lill-Pulizija li d-dikjarazzjoni falza bil-ġurament huwa kien għamilha biex jikseb il-vantagg li fuq il-passaport tieghu ma jkeelu xejn x'juri li hu kien ġie deportat mill-Ingilterra;

Aggravju iehor ta' l-appellant hu fis-sens li kull meta huwa għamel dikjarazzjoni dwar telf ta' passaport, fil-mument tad-dikjarazzjoni l-passaport partikolari verament kien mitluf. Jirriżulta pero` li fil-każ tad-dikjarazzjoni dwar it-telfien tal-passaport numru 174875 mhux hekk kien. Di fatti, kif ga` ntqal, l-appellant stqarr lill-Pulizija li dik id-dikjarazzjoni kien għamilha biex jakkwista passaport gdid. Fl-istqarrija l-appellant qa'l testwalment:

"Nixtieq nghid iżda li fil-fatt dan il-passaport ma kienx intilifli";

Omissis;

Din il-Qorti ma jidhrilhiex li għandha tvarja l-piena inflitta mill-Ewwel Qorti. In vista pero` tal-prova prodotta f'din l-istanza li l-appellant kien qiegħed jikkura ruhu ghall-vizzju tat-tehid tad-droga, thalli f'idejn id-Direttur tal-Habs biex jikkonċedi lill-appellant il-leave li jkun meħtieg ghall-kura tieghu;

Għall-motivi premessi tħad l-appell u tikkonferma s-sentenza appellata b'dan li t-terminu ta' sitt snin relativ għall-

interdizzjoni ta' l-appellant għandu jibda jiddekorri mil-lum u tordna lir-Registratur jippubblika din il-kundanna għall-interdizzjoni mill-aktar fis fil-Gazzetta tal-Gvern skond il-ligi;

Barra minn hekk billi jidhriha li dan l-appell huwa fieragħ, rat l-artikolu 429 tal-Kodiċi Kriminali u tikkundanna lill-appellant barra milli għall-ispejjeż ghall-hlas ta' ħamsa u għoxrin lira ammenda, li hija l-massimu preskritt mil-ligi.
